1	MASTER INDEX	
2	OCTOBER 6, 2016	
3		
4	CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF WITNESSES	
5		
6	WITNESSES:	PAGE
7	RAFAELINA DUVAL DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MCMILLAN	5715
8	DONNIE COX	
9	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KING CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTERRES	5762 5791
10	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KING	5798
11		
12		
13	ALPHABETICAL INDEX OF WITNESSES	
14		
15	WITNESSES:	_
10	WIINESSES.	PAGE
16	DONNIE COX	
	DONNIE COX DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KING CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTERRES	5762 5791
16	DONNIE COX DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KING CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTERRES REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KING	5762
16 17	DONNIE COX DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KING CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTERRES	5762 5791
16 17 18	DONNIE COX DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KING CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTERRES REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KING RAFAELINA DUVAL	5762 5791 5798
16 17 18 19	DONNIE COX DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KING CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTERRES REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KING RAFAELINA DUVAL	5762 5791 5798
16 17 18 19 20	DONNIE COX DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KING CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTERRES REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KING RAFAELINA DUVAL	5762 5791 5798
16 17 18 19 20 21	DONNIE COX DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KING CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTERRES REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KING RAFAELINA DUVAL	5762 5791 5798
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DONNIE COX DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KING CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTERRES REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KING RAFAELINA DUVAL	5762 5791 5798
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DONNIE COX DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KING CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTERRES REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KING RAFAELINA DUVAL	5762 5791 5798
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	DONNIE COX DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KING CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTERRES REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KING RAFAELINA DUVAL	5762 5791 5798
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	DONNIE COX DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KING CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTERRES REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KING RAFAELINA DUVAL	5762 5791 5798
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	DONNIE COX DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KING CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTERRES REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KING RAFAELINA DUVAL	5762 5791 5798

1	MASTER INDEX
2	OCTOBER 6, 2016
3	0010DBR 0, 2010
4	EXHIBITS
5	<u>EXHIBITS</u> WITHDRAWN
6	PLAINTIFF'S MARKED RECEIVED REJECTED
7	11 0 11 10
8	11.8-11.12 5739 12 5726
9	207 5716 1088.32 5736
10	1088.34 5736
11	
12	(EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE WERE
13	DONE VIA STIPULATION OFF THE RECORD. PLEASE REFER TO CLERK'S TRANSCRIPT.)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1 CASE NUMBER: BC470714 3 CASE NAME: DUVAL V COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA THURSDAY, OCTOBER 6, 2016 4 5 DEPARTMENT: 89 HON. WILLIAM A. MACLAUGHLIN, JUDGE 6 APPEARANCES: (AS HERETOFORE NOTED.) 7 REPORTER: ALISIA PATRICIO, CSR NO. 13606 8 8:45 A.M. TIME: 9 10 ---000---11 12 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WE'RE ON THE RECORD. COUNSEL ARE PRESENT OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY. 13 14 THE VARIOUS THINGS THAT WE HAVE HAD IN PROCESS, WHAT 15 HAS OCCURRED WITH FIRST OF ALL REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS? YOU WERE GOING TO WORK ON PERHAPS A STIPULATION THAT WE 16 17 COULD --18 MR. MCMILLAN: RIGHT. 19 THE COURT: -- PRESENT. 20 MR. MCMILLAN: RIGHT. AND I FINISHED THAT UP. 21 WE MET AND CONFERRED WHILE WE WERE HERE IN COURT THE 22 OTHER DAY OR YESTERDAY AFTERNOON AND WE CAN GO AHEAD 23 AND DELETE OUT THE SEIZURE AND EXIGENCY DEFINITIONS. 24 AND THAT DEFINITION APPEARED IN A COUPLE OF PLACES, SO 25 THAT ACTUALLY COMPRESSED THE STIPULATION A LITTLE BIT. 26 AND THEN WITH RESPECT TO DR. EGGE, WE'RE STILL WORKING 2.7 ON THAT AND TALKING ABOUT IT. DEPENDING ON HOW THINGS 28 GO TODAY, IF PLAINTIFF IS ABLE TO REST TODAY, IT'S MY

```
1
      INTENTION TO DELETE OUT EGGE IF WE CAN READ THE
      STIPULATION TO THE JURY. IF WE'RE NOT ABLE TO WE'D
2
3
      LIKE TO GET A RULING ON THE ISSUE. THAT'S SORT OF
 4
      WHERE --
5
               THE COURT: WELL, YOU CAN REST SUBJECT TO
6
      EXHIBITS AND THAT, YOU KNOW, RESOLUTION OF THE ISSUE
7
      PERTAINING TO THE REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS, SO.
8
              MR. MCMILLAN: I GUESS IT'S JUST A TIMING
9
      ISSUE. IF IT COMES TO THAT POINT I THINK I'D PREFER TO
10
      JUST READ THE STIP AND FINISH THE CASE.
11
               THE COURT: OKAY.
12
               MR. MCMILLAN: OR NOT FINISH THE CASE I'M
1.3
      SORRY.
14
               THE COURT: NO, I UNDERSTAND.
15
               MR. MCMILLAN: FINISH OUR CASE.
16
               THE COURT: AT LEAST THIS PART OF IT.
17
               MR. MCMILLAN: RIGHT. RIGHT.
18
               MR. GUTERRES: THERE'S STILL A SECOND HALF,
19
      YOUR HONOR.
20
               MR. MCMILLAN: NO, I KNOW. I KNOW.
21
               THE COURT: YES.
22
               MR. GUTERRES: IN MR. MCMILLAN'S MIND, WE
      MIGHT JUST BE SITTING HERE.
23
24
               MR. MCMILLAN: I TOTALLY GET IT. THAT WAS
25
      LIKE A FAUX PAS ON MY PART. I APOLOGIZE.
26
               SO ANYWAY, THAT'S ONLY HANG UP ON THE WHOLE
2.7
      THING. LET ME THINK ABOUT IT SOME MORE. WE'RE NOT
28
      COMPLETELY ADVERSE TO THE IDEA OF JUST DELETING
```

```
DR. EGGE, AND IF WE'RE ABLE TO REACH THAT CONCLUSION,
1
2
      SAY, BEFORE NOON OR SO, THEN THAT'S WHAT WE'LL DO.
3
               THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. AND YOU WERE WORKING
 4
      ON WHAT TO DO WITH THE EXHIBITS.
5
               MR. MCMILLAN: WE ARE.
 6
               THE COURT: AND I SEE -- YOU LOOK TO MR. PARIS
7
      WHO IS NOW STEPPING FORWARD.
8
               MR. MCMILLAN: CORRECT, YOUR HONOR.
9
               THE COURT: DELEGATION IS A SIGN OF
10
      LEADERSHIP.
11
               MR. MCMILLAN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. I
12
      APPRECIATE THAT.
13
               MR. PARIS: SO I'VE STARTED THE PRELIMINARY
14
      REVIEW TO TRY TO REDUCE DUPLICATION, YOUR HONOR. AT
15
      THIS MOMENT I'M NOT QUITE FINISHED WITH CREATING AN
16
      INDEX. THE HOPE IS TO HAVE ON INDEX TO INDICATE A
17
      SPECIFIC TRIAL EXHIBIT AND WHICH ONES IT WILL CORRELATE
18
      TO FROM THE DEPOSITIONS.
19
               THE COURT: RIGHT.
20
               MR. PARIS: THAT PROCESS IS ALMOST COMPLETE.
21
      AT THIS TIME GOING THROUGH IT TO REDUCE DUPLICATION, WE
22
      ARE PREPARED TO WITHDRAW REQUESTS TO AT LEAST THREE
23
      EXHIBITS RIGHT NOW IF THAT'S ACCEPTABLE.
24
               THE COURT: CERTAINLY. WHEN DO YOU THINK THAT
25
      YOU'LL BE ABLE TO FINISH THIS UP SO WE CAN --
26
               MR. PARIS: I CAN HAVE IT PREPARED BY
2.7
      TOMORROW, YOUR HONOR.
               THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. HAS THE DEFENSE SEEN
```

28

```
HOW THAT IS COMING TOGETHER? OR AT LEAST HAVE YOU
1
2
      TALKED WITH THEM ABOUT IT?
3
               MR. PARIS: NOT YET, YOUR HONOR. I WAS HOPING
 4
      TO HAVE A COMPLETED INDEX.
               THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WELL, MAYBE IF YOU
5
 6
      WOULD, TOMORROW MORNING WOULD BE FINE.
7
               MR. PARIS: OKAY.
8
               THE COURT: AND I THINK IT MIGHT BE, WITHOUT
9
      SPENDING A LOT OF TIME, JUST SHOW MR. GUTERRES AND
10
      MS. SWISS WHAT IT IS YOU'RE WORKING ON SO THEY'LL
11
      UNDERSTAND WHERE THIS IS HEADED TO MAKE SURE THAT THEY
12
      AGREE THAT'S A REASONABLE PROCESS FOR US TO BE ABLE TO
1.3
      ADDRESS IT. WOULD YOU DO THAT?
14
               MR. PARIS: I WILL DO THAT, YOUR HONOR.
15
               THE COURT: OKAY. GOOD. THANK YOU.
               MR. PARIS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
16
17
               THE COURT: WELL, THAT GETS YOU OFF THE HOOK,
18
      DOESN'T IT, MR. MCMILLAN?
               MR. MCMILLAN: IT SURE DOES. I DO HAVE TO GET
19
20
      SOME SLEEP AT SOME POINT.
21
               THE COURT: OKAY. SO TODAY, BESIDES FINISHING
22
      WITH MS. DUVAL, AT LEAST HOPING THAT WE DO, REMAINS TO
23
      BE SEEN, DO YOU HAVE ONE OTHER WITNESS TODAY?
24
               MR. MCMILLAN: DONNIE COX IS --
25
               THE COURT: OH, THAT'S RIGHT. WE TALKED ABOUT
26
      THAT.
2.7
              MR. MCMILLAN: AT 10:30 IS WHEN --
28
              THE COURT: 10:30?
```

MR. MCMILLAN: YES. 1 THE COURT: SO WE'LL START AGAIN WITH 2 3 MS. DUVAL --4 MR. MCMILLAN: CORRECT. 5 THE COURT: -- WHEN WE RESUME? 6 MR. MCMILLAN: CORRECT. 7 THERE IS ONE ISSUE, YOUR HONOR, I DON'T KNOW 8 IF THE COURT HAS NOTICED PROBABLY, CERTAINLY, YOU 9 PROBABLY HAVE. BUT EACH TUESDAY AND THURSDAY, 10 MS. DUVAL ISN'T HERE AFTER ABOUT 3:00 O'CLOCK, 3:15. 11 THE COURT: RIGHT. 12 MR. MCMILLAN: SHE HAS HER SCHEDULED VISITS 13 WITH HER SON, AND THERE'S BEEN NO ACCOMMODATION MADE 14 FOR TODAY -- OR WE HAVEN'T BEEN ABLE TO REACH AN 15 ACCOMMODATION TO MOVING THE SCHEDULED VISIT OFF OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT. SHE WOULD LIKE TO BE ABLE TO MEET 16 17 THAT VISIT. 18 THE COURT: I THINK THE VISITATION IS 19 IMPORTANT. IF WE'RE NOT DONE WITH HER BY THE TIME 20 SHE'D HAVE TO LEAVE, THEN WE'LL JUST HAVE TO FINISH HER 21 UP ON NEXT TUESDAY. 22 AND REMEMBER TODAY, IN ANY EVENT, TO 23 ACCOMMODATE OUR NO. 3, JUROR MS. SALINAS, WE'RE GOING 24 TO RECESS AT ABOUT 4:10 ANYWAY. SO TODAY NO MATTER 25 WHAT, WILL BE A LITTLE SHORTER. I'M HOPING THAT WE CAN ALSO FINISH MS. DUVAL. I SUSPECT EVERYBODY MAYBE FOR 26 2.7 DIFFERING REASONS WOULD HOPE WE COULD DO THAT, AND I'M 28 SURE MS. DUVAL WOULD HOPE SO TOO. BUT I'M JUST SAYING

```
I HOPE -- THAT'S A HOPE AND IT'S CERTAINLY NOT
1
2
      SOMETHING THAT I'M GOING TO COMPEL.
               HER TESTIMONY IS IMPORTANT AND EVERYBODY
3
      HAS -- IT'S IMPORTANT THAT EVERYBODY HAVE A CHANCE TO
 4
5
      ASK THE QUESTIONS OF HER THAT SHOULD BE ASKED.
 6
               MR. MCMILLAN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
7
               THE COURT: OKAY.
               MR. MCMILLAN: WE APPRECIATE IT.
8
9
               THE COURT: ANYTHING ELSE, MR. GUTERRES?
10
               MR. GUTERRES: POINT OF CLARIFICATION, YOUR
11
      HONOR.
12
               THE COURT: SURE.
13
               MR. GUTERRES: SO DOES THAT MEAN THAT IF
14
      MS. DUVAL IS STILL ON THE STAND, THAT WE WILL ADJOURN
      AT 3:00 O'CLOCK TODAY?
15
               THE COURT: IT COULD MEAN THAT, YES. I DON'T
16
17
      KNOW.
18
               DO YOU HAVE AN ESTIMATE OF -- ABOUT TIMING?
      AND THIS IS AN ESTIMATE. THIS ISN'T SOMETHING THAT
19
20
      YOU'RE BOUND BY. I'M JUST TRYING TO GET AN IDEA.
21
               MR. MCMILLAN: I'M HOPING TO MAKE SIGNIFICANT
22
      PROGRESS WITH HER BEFORE MR. COX SHOWS UP, AND PERHAPS
23
      WE MIGHT MAKE IT THROUGH JURIS DISPO. AND THEN FROM
24
      THERE, THERE'S -- I'M NOT GOING TO COVER EVERY LAST
25
      MINUTE INFORMATION WITH HER BECAUSE I THINK OTHER
      WITNESSES HAVE DONE IT. SO TO THE EXTENT THAT WE HAVE
26
2.7
      OTHER WITNESSES THAT HAVE ADDRESSED THE SAME ISSUES,
28
      I'M TRYING TO CUT THAT OUT SO WE DON'T HAVE A LOT OF
```

DUPLICATION. BUT THERE ARE CERTAIN LAST MINUTE 1 2 INFORMATIONS THAT WE DO NEED TO TALK ABOUT. AND SO 3 THAT'S -- THEN WE HAVE, YOU KNOW, THE ULTIMATE 4 DISPOSITION, AND THEN MARCHING FORWARD TO TODAY, TO THE 5 CURRENT SITUATION. 6 SO MAYBE TWO, THREE HOURS. 7 MR. GUTERRES: YOUR HONOR, HOW I INTERPRET THAT IS WE ARE STILL IN NOVEMBER OF 2009 AND 8 9 JURISDICTION DISPOSITION WAS JULY 2010, SO HOPEFULLY WE 10 CAN --MR. MCMILLAN: WELL, THE JURIS DISPO HEARING, 11 12 THE FIRST JURIS DISPO HEARING WAS ACTUALLY IN JANUARY 13 AND JUST TO CORRECT, THE ULTIMATE TRIAL -- THE 14 JURISDICTIONAL TRIAL DIDN'T HAPPEN UNTIL AUGUST. BUT 15 WHEN I'M TALKING ABOUT SORT OF THE TIME LINE IN MY HEAD I'LL DO IT BY HEARING AND BY REPORT. SO THERE ARE TWO 16 17 JURIS DISPOS, BUT I THINK A LOT OF THAT MATERIAL IN 18 BETWEEN HAS BEEN COVERED SUBSTANTIALLY IN THE 19 DEPOSITION PRESENTATIONS THAT THE JURY'S ALREADY HEARD 20 FROM DEFENDANTS. 21 AND SO I DON'T INTEND WITH MS. DUVAL TO GO 22 BACK AND REPLOW THAT GROUND. THERE'S ONE IN 23 PARTICULAR -- ACTUALLY TWO WHERE WE DO NEED TO TALK A 24 LITTLE BIT, AND THAT'S WITH DR. GILL, BUT THAT 25 SHOULDN'T GO VERY LONG. SO I DON'T ANTICIPATE GETTING

28 HAPPENS A LITTLE LATER, BUT I'LL TRY TO AVOID THAT.

BOGGED DOWN AT DETENTION OR JURIS DISPO, THE INITIAL

JURIS DISPO. THERE MAY BE SOME BOGGING DOWN THAT

26

2.7

AND IF YOU SEE ME STRUGGLING, JUST TELL ME TO 1 MOVE IT ALONG. 3 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MR. GUTERRES: WE'LL HELP IN THAT REGARD TOO. 4 THE COURT: SHOWING GOOD WILL. MR. GUTERRES 5 6 WILL BE HAPPY TO ASSIST YOU. 7 MR. MCMILLAN: AND I APPRECIATE IT. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. SO I HAVE ANOTHER 8 9 E-MAIL FROM JUROR NO. 3, MS. SALINAS, AND SHE'S NOW 10 ASKING TO BE EXCUSED 20 MINUTES EARLY ON TUESDAYS AS 11 WELL AS THURSDAYS. SHE SAYS: 12 "MY ATTORNEY CALLED ME AND WANTED TO KNOW WHY I HAVEN'T BEEN GOING TO THE 13 14 CHIROPRACTOR AS OFTEN AS SHE ASKED ME 15 TO. I TOLD HER I AM ON JURY DUTY AND IT'S HARD TO THE GET TO THE DOCTOR ON 16 17 TIME BECAUSE THEY CLOSE EARLY. SHE 18 INFORMED ME IF I DON'T START GOING AT 19 LEAST TWO TIMES A WEEK, THEY WILL DROP 20 MY CASE. I KNOW I INCONVENIENCED THE 21 COURT AND ASKED TO LEAVE 20 MINUTES 22 EARLY ON THURSDAYS, BUT UNFORTUNATELY, 23 I ALSO NEED TO LEAVE ON TUESDAYS. I DO 24 UNDERSTAND THIS PUTS A STRAIN ON THE 25 TIME FRAME OF THE CASE, BUT I REALLY 26 NEED TO KEEP THIS LAWYER, ESPECIALLY 2.7 SINCE I HAD A HARD TIME FINDING ONE. I DO WANT TO BE HERE, BUT I ALSO NEED TO 28

DEAL WITH MY HEALTH. I AM IN PAIN 1 2 EVERY DAY, AND IF IT'LL HELP GOING TO 3 THIS DOCTOR, AND I WOULD LIKE TO TAKE EVERY OPPORTUNITY I HAVE AT THIS TIME." 4 5 WELL, I'LL DEAL -- I'M NOT SURE WHAT TO DO. 6 I'M RELUCTANT -- THE EXTRA 20 MINUTES ARE NOT HUGELY 7 MEANINGFUL EACH DAY, SO I'LL PROBABLY ACCOMMODATE THAT BECAUSE I DON'T WANT TO BE REPLACING HER AT THIS TIME 8 9 OUT OF CONCERN THAT WE HAVE TWO ALTERNATES THAT WE'RE 10 ON THE BRINK OF LOSING ANYWAY. SO JUST ADVISING YOU. 11 I'LL MAKE MY DECISION AS TO WHAT I THINK WE NEED TO DO. 12 ALL RIGHT. I THINK -- ANYTHING ELSE FOR NOW? 13 MR. GUTERRES: YOUR HONOR, WE ARE TRYING TO 14 STREAMLINE OUR CASE IN THE HOPES OF -- WELL, WE WERE 15 LOOKING AT TRYING TO SEE IF WE COULD REST BY THE 14TH. THAT MIGHT BE CUTTING IT A LITTLE TIGHT -- WHICH WOULD 16 17 BE NEXT FRIDAY. IT MIGHT GO INTO MONDAY. 18 THE COURT: OKAY. I UNDERSTAND. 19 ALL RIGHT. WELL, WE'LL GET STARTED AS SOON AS WE'RE TOLD THE -- ALL JURORS ARE HERE. 20 21 AS LONG AS WE'RE WAITING, I WANT TO GO BACK ON 22 THE RECORD. 23 MR. MCMILLAN, DID YOU HAVE A CHANCE TO TAKE A LOOK AT THE BRIEF THAT WAS FILED ON BEHALF OF THE 24 25 DEFENSE IN RESPONSE TO YOUR BRIEF ABOUT CAUSATION, ET 26 CETERA? 2.7 MR. MCMILLAN: I DID GO THROUGH IT, AND WE 28 SCANNED IT, AND I E-MAILED IT DOWN TO MR. DANER AT MY

OFFICE. HE'S GOING TO BE HERE TOMORROW, AND HE'S 1 2 WORKING UP, I GUESS YOU'D CALL IT A REPLY. BUT AT 3 FIRST GLANCE, THIS IS PROBABLY PREDICTABLE, WE DON'T AGREE WITH THEIR POSITION AT ALL. SO --4 5 THE COURT: OKAY. 6 MR. GUTERRES: YOUR HONOR, I ASSUME WE'RE 7 GOING TO ADDRESS IT TOMORROW? 8 THE COURT: WELL, I THINK WE SHOULD BECAUSE IT 9 DOES LEAD TO A COUPLE OF OTHER QUESTIONS I HAD AS TO --10 PART OF YOUR BRIEF ADDRESSED QUALIFIED IMMUNITY. AND I 11 THINK THAT ISSUE NEEDS TO BE DECIDED AS WE'RE PUTTING 12 TOGETHER A VERDICT FORM SO WE KNOW WHAT WILL BE IN OR 13 OUT. AND THAT'S ONE OF THE REASONS I WAS ASKING. 14 ALSO DID READ THE CASE OF MARSHALL VERSUS THE COUNTY OF 15 SAN DIEGO, AND WHICH I NOTED WAS YOUR CASE, AND 16 APPARENTLY, BECAUSE YOU DIDN'T CITE IT TO ME IN YOUR 17 BRIEF, YOU DON'T THINK IT HAS ANY APPLICABILITY TO THE 18 ISSUES IN THIS CASE. 19 MR. MCMILLAN: CAN I ADDRESS THAT, YOUR HONOR? 20 THE COURT: SURE. 21 MR. MCMILLAN: IT'S NOT THAT I DON'T THINK IT 22 HAS APPLICABILITY TO THE ISSUES IN THIS CASE. IN FACT, 23 WE ACTUALLY SAW MARSHALL AS A SUBSTANTIAL VICTORY FOR 24 THE PLAINTIFF'S SIDE OF THE BAR, AT LEAST IN THIS 25 PARTICULAR AREA OF PRACTICE BECAUSE THE COURT RESOLVED ONCE AND FOR ALL AN ISSUE THAT HAD PREVIOUSLY BEEN 26 2.7 RAISED IN THE 9TH CIRCUIT IN A CASE CALLED STANNIS

VERSUS THE STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL

28

SERVICES. IT WAS STANNIS DICTA THAT SAID GOING FORWARD FROM TODAY, OR GOING FORWARD, IT'S CLEARLY ESTABLISHED SOCIAL WORKERS CAN'T LIE ON COURT REPORTS.

2.7

AND WHAT HAS HAPPENED SINCE THE STANNIS -THIS IS A 2010 CASE -- IS THE TRIAL COURTS ON MOTION
FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT HAVE BEEN LATCHING ON TO THAT
GOING FORWARD LANGUAGE AND SAYING WELL SINCE THE 9TH
CIRCUIT IS DRAWING A TIME LINE ON WHEN IT WAS CLEARLY
ESTABLISHED, IT HAS TO BE 2010 BECAUSE THAT'S WHEN THE
DECISION WAS ISSUED. AND SO WE'VE BEEN DEALING WITH
THAT ISSUE EVER SINCE THE STANNIS. WE FINALLY GOT IT
RESOLVED IN MARSHALL AT LEAST IN THE STATE COURTS, AND
I THINK IN HUCK IN THE FEDERAL COURTS THE 9TH CIRCUIT,
SIMILAR ISSUES. AND MARSHALL WAS THE CASE WHERE WE GOT
THAT RESOLVED. IN FACT, AT ORAL ARGUMENT THE COUNTY
MADE THE ARGUMENT -- CLEARLY. AND THE COURT COMPLETELY
DISAGREED AND SAID WELL, WE CAN FIX THAT. AND THEY DID
IN MARSHALL. AND THEY PUBLISHED IT TO MAKE IT CLEAR.

QUALIFIED IMMUNITY ISSUE, WE NO LONGER HAVE THIS
CONFUSION AND HANG-UP ABOUT WHEN IT BECAME CLEARLY
ESTABLISHED. EVERYBODY AT LEAST IN THE COURT SEEMED TO
AGREE SOMEWHERE BACK IN 1999 TO 2000, SOMEWHERE IN THAT
RANGE IT STARTED TO BECOME VERY CLEARLY ESTABLISHED
THAT SOCIAL WORKERS CAN'T LIE ON REPORTS. OR ACTUALLY
THERE'S EVEN SOME AUTHORITY THAT SUGGESTS THEY CAN'T
LIE DURING SWORN TESTIMONY, A RECENT 9TH CIRCUIT CASE,
IT'S CALLED LITSKAR, AND WE HAD A SIMILAR SITUATION

THERE.

2.7

BUT I THINK POLICE AND A LOT OF CASE LAW DRAWS
THE ANALOGY BETWEEN POLICE AND SOCIAL WORKERS IN THEIR
INVESTIGATIVE REPORTING. AND LITSKAR AT LEAST IN OUR
VIEW OF THE LAW, WOULD STAND FOR THE PROPOSITION THAT
EVEN DURING TESTIMONY ON THE STAND WHICH NORMALLY
THEY'D HAVE IMMUNITY BUT THAT EVEN THAT POTENTIALLY IS
SUBJECT TO CHALLENGE. WE DON'T HAVE THAT SITUATION
HERE.

THE COURT: WHAT WAS THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THE WELFARE AND INSTITUTIONS CODE SECTION WHICH SPECIFICALLY ELIMINATED ANY IMMUNITY FOR PERJURY AND SO ON?

MR. MCMILLAN: IT'S 820.21, THAT WAS 1995.

THE COURT: YEAH, SO WHAT I'M WONDERING IS I'M

JUST ASKING WHY WAS IT EVER IN QUESTION IN CERTAINLY IN

CALIFORNIA STATE COURTS ABOUT A WELL ESTABLISHED RIGHT

BECAUSE THAT'S CODE SECTION SEEMS TO ADDRESS THE HEART

OF YOUR CLAIM OF DECEPTIVE EVIDENCE?

MR. MCMILLAN: RIGHT. AND THAT'S BEEN OUR
POSITION FOR YEARS. BUT WHAT HAPPENS, BECAUSE WE ARE
IN STATE COURT ON A FEDERAL CLAIM, THE CASE LAW
SUGGESTS CERTAINLY THE 9TH CIRCUIT AND THERE'S
SIGNIFICANT CALIFORNIA CASE LAW THAT SAYS WHEN WE'RE
DEALING WITH THE SUBSTANTIVE ELEMENTS OF THE CLAIM, WE
HAVE TO APPLY FEDERAL LAW. AND UNDER FEDERAL LAW, IF
WE LOOK AT I THINK IT'S MARTINEZ VERSUS COUNTY OF LOS
ANGELES AND THEN SUBSEQUENTLY IN CACI, THEY BOTH SAY WE

TAKE STATE LAW IMMUNITIES AND APPLY THEM TO FEDERAL CASES.

2.7

SO FOR EXAMPLE SECTION 820.20 CANNOT BE

APPLIED TO THE FEDERAL CLAIM AND THEN RC CAME OUT WITH
RESPECT TO THE MANDATED REPORTER IMMUNITY AND SAID
YEAH, MANDATED REPORTER IMMUNITY CAN BE APPLIED TO THE
STATE CLAIMS IN STATE COURT BUT NOT TO THE FEDERAL
CLAIMS. SO WE FACE THE SAME SORT OF CONUNDRUMS WITH
820.21.

THE COURT: YEAH, I DON'T SEE WHY IN FEDERAL COURT WOULD BE HAVING A PROBLEM WITH THAT.

MR. MCMILLAN: BECAUSE IT'S THE --

THE COURT: IN OTHER WORDS THE STATE IMMUNITY ISN'T GOING TO IMMUNIZE SOMEONE GUEST AGAINST A FEDERAL CLAIM. AND IT SEEMED TO ME THAT THAT WOULD BE WELCOME BY THE FEDERAL COURT BECAUSE THAT MAKES IT CONSISTENT WITH THEIR VIEW THAT STATE CAN'T GRANT AN IMMUNITY OR HAVE AN IMMUNITY FOR A MATTER BASED ON, FOR EXAMPLE, A SECTION 1983 CLAIM. SO.

MR. MCMILLAN: WELL, THAT'S BEEN OUR POSITION,
BUT THERE'S BEEN THIS DIVERGENCE WITH RESPECT

SPECIFICALLY TO 820.21. THERE'S BEEN THIS DIVERGENCE
BETWEEN THE FEDERAL AND THE STATE DECISIONS. AND IT

STARTED WITH PARKS VERSUS COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, AND
THERE, THE COURT DID NOTE THAT YEAH CALIFORNIA HAS THE

STATUTE. IT'S BEEN AROUND FOR A LONG TIME, AND IT

LOOKS LIKE IT'S PRETTY CLEAR THIS TYPE OF BEHAVIOR

CAN'T BE HAPPENING. BUT -- AND THEN THEY RELY ON

SUPREMACY TO SAY WE CAN'T APPLY IT HERE IN THE IMMUNITY 1 2 ANALYSIS BECAUSE STATE LAW DOESN'T AFFECT FEDERAL 3 IMMUNITIES. 4 SO EVER SINCE PARKS THERE'S BEEN THIS STRUGGLE TO KIND OF CLOSE THAT GAP. AT LEAST FROM OUR 5 6 PERSPECTIVE WITH MARSHALL, THAT GAP GOT CLOSED. 7 THE COURT: SEEMS TO ME THERE ARE OTHER PARTS IN READING THE MARSHALL CASE IT LOOKED TO ME LIKE THERE 8 9 WERE PARTS OF THE DECISION THAT ALSO ISSUES IN THIS 10 CASE AND THAT'S WHY I WAS CURIOUS WHY IT WASN'T CITED 11 TO ME. 12 MR. MCMILLAN: I CAN TELL YOU THE MAIN REASON 13 IS BECAUSE WE HAVE ALREADY RELIED IN THAT CASE PROBABLY 14 ON TEMPER TO SOME EXTENT, ON RC TO SOME EXTENT. I'M 15 NOT SURE ON THE FEDERAL SIDE WHICH OF OUR CASES WE'VE RELIED ON, BUT I WAS LOOKING THROUGH THE STUFF AND IT 16 17 STARTED TO LOOK LIKE WE WERE PUTTING TOO MANY OF OUR 18 OWN CASES IN THERE. AND I THOUGHT IT DIDN'T LOOK GOOD. 19 THE COURT: OKAY. 20 MR. MCMILLAN: I DON'T KNOW, CALL IT AN EGO 21 THING OR WHATEVER, BUT IT HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH THE 22 CASE. 23 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 24 EVERYONE IS READY, SO WE'LL GET THE JURORS IN. 25 (JURY PRESENT) THE COURT: EVERYONE MAY BE SEATED. WE'RE ON 26 2.7 THE RECORD. EVERYBODY IS PRESENT. BEFORE WE RESUME 28 WITH THE TESTIMONY, MS. SALINAS I DID RECEIVE YOUR

1	MESSAGE AND WE'LL DO THE BEST THAT I CAN TO ACCOMMODATE
2	THAT. AND WE WILL BE INCLUDING TODAY.
3	SO MR. MCMILLAN AT THIS TIME WE'RE GOING TO
4	RESUME WITH MS. DUVAL.
5	MR. MCMILLAN: THAT'S CORRECT YOUR HONOR.
6	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MS. DUVAL, WILL YOU
7	PLEASE RETURN TO THE STAND.
8	MR. MCMILLAN, YOU MAY CONTINUE.
9	
10	RAFAELINA DUVAL,
11	WAS CALLED AS A WITNESS AND, HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY
12	SWORN, WAS EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
13	
14	DIRECT EXAMINATION
15	BY MR. MCMILLAN:
16	Q I WANT TO TAKE YOU BACK JUST FOR A MOMENT
17	YESTERDAY WHEN WE BROKE FOR THE NOON BREAK YOU WERE
18	SHARING WITH US ABOUT THE DAY, NOVEMBER 3RD, THE TBM
19	WHEN YOUR BABY WAS TAKEN FROM YOU.
20	DO YOU REMEMBER THAT TESTIMONY?
21	A I DO.
22	Q JUST WANT TO STEP BACK A LITTLE BIT IN TIME
23	TO IT WAS AFTER THE BREAK IN THE TBM AND THE SOCIAL
24	WORKERS COME BACK INTO THE ROOM.
25	DO YOU REMEMBER THAT?
26	A YES.
27	Q DO YOU RECALL WHO CAME INTO THE ROOM FIRST?
28	

IN FIRST, AND THERE WERE OTHER PEOPLE WITH THEM BUT 1 2 RIGHT NOW I CAN'T REMEMBER WHO THEY WERE. 3 DO YOU RECALL IF ANYBODY SPOKE TO YOU 4 PERSONALLY AS OPPOSED TO THE GROUP IF ANYBODY SPOKE TO 5 YOU WHEN THE THREE CAME BACK INTO THE ROOM? 6 A YES. 7 O WHO? A PENDER AND ROGERS. 8 9 0 WHAT DID THEY SAY? 10 THEY WERE UPSET. AND AFTER EILEEN ANNOUNCED Α 11 THAT THEY HAD DECIDED TO DETAIN THE BABY, I ASKED WHY? 12 AND THEN PENDER AND ROGERS THEY STARTED ARGUING WITH ME AND SAYING", WE'RE GOING TO TAKE THE BABY," AND ROGERS 1.3 14 TOTALLY WITH AN ATTITUDE. I'M HERE, SHE'S HERE. SHE'S 15 LIKE, "WE'RE GOING TO TAKE THE BABY. HE'S NOT THRIVING 16 WITH YOU." AND I'M LIKE, "WHAT ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT? 17 WE'RE NOT DECIDING CUSTODY HERE. YOU CAN'T TAKE MY 18 BABY." AND SO THE BOTH OF THEM WERE WE'RE GOING TO 19 TAKE THE BABY AND JUST BEING REALLY OPPRESSIVE ABOUT 20 IT. 21 I'D LIKE YOU TO TURN TO EXHIBIT 207. Q 22 (PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT NO. 207, WAS 23 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION BY THE 24 COURT.) 25 BY MR. MCMILLAN: I'LL GRAB YOU THE BOOK. I'M GOING TO GIVE YOU 26 2.7 A BATES NUMBER, 002876. AND JUST DESCRIBE BRIEFLY WHAT 28 IS THAT DOCUMENT.

1	MS. SWISS: I'M SORRY WHAT BATES NUMBER?
2	MR. MCMILLAN: 002876.
3	THE WITNESS: THIS IS A CIVIL RIGHTS STATEMENT
4	DECLARATION.
5	BY MR. MCMILLAN:
6	Q OKAY.
7	MS. SWISS: YOUR HONOR, I'D LIKE TO OBJECT ON
8	FOUNDATION.
9	MR. MCMILLAN: YOUR HONOR, I'LL WITHDRAW JUST
LO	TO SAVE SOME TIME ON THAT. I CAN GET IT A DIFFERENT
L1	WAY.
L2	BY MR. MCMILLAN:
L3	Q IF YOU LOOK AT THE BOTTOM OF THE STATEMENT
L 4	THERE IT SAYS:
L 5	"I'VE READ THE ABOVE STATEMENT AND
L 6	IT'S MY OWN WRITTEN BY THE INVESTIGATOR
L7	IN THE ABOVE REFERENCED ALLEGED
L 8	DISCRIMINATION COMPLAINT. MY SIGNATURE
L 9	BELOW INDICATES THAT I ACCEPT AND
20	DECLARE UNDER THE PENALTY OF PERJURY
21	UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF
22	CALIFORNIA THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE
23	AND CORRECT."
24	FIRST DID I READ THAT CORRECTLY?
25	A YOU DID.
26	Q LOOK UP AT THE TOP OF THE PAGE THERE, CAN YOU
27	TELL WHOSE STATEMENT THIS IS?
28	A SOI KIMBERLY ROGERS.

Q KIMBERLY ROGERS. 1 AND I'M FIRST GOING TO DRAW YOUR ATTENTION 3 TO -- LET ME ASK YOU THIS: AS YOU WERE DESCRIBING FOR 4 US YESTERDAY THE TEAM DECISION MEETING AND YOUR FATHER 5 GOT UPSET AND SAID SOME THINGS. 6 DO YOU RECALL THAT? 7 A I DO. O I THINK YOU SAID HE CALLED SOMEONE TRASH OR 8 9 COCKROACHES THAT SORT OF THING? 10 Α YES. IF I CAN GET YOU TO READ TO YOURSELF -- WELL, 11 12 LET ME ASK YOU THIS: READ TO YOURSELF THE LAST SENTENCE OF THAT STATEMENT. 1.3 14 A ADDITIONALLY --15 TO YOURSELF. OKAY. DOES THAT REFRESH YOUR RECOLLECTION 16 17 ABOUT NOT ON THE WHAT YOUR FATHER SAID BUT WHO HIS 18 STATEMENTS WERE DIRECTED TOWARDS? 19 A YES. 20 CAN YOU PLEASE SHARE WITH US YOUR REFRESHED 21 RECOLLECTION? 22 SUSAN PENDER AND WENDY CAMPO WERE SITTING 23 TOGETHER AND THEY WERE SMIRKING AND LAUGHING AT ME, AND 24 MY FATHER WAS GETTING TRIGGERED BY THAT. AND THAT'S 25 WHEN HE SAID THAT. Q I UNDERSTAND. I APPRECIATE THAT. 26 2.7 MY QUESTION REALLY IS WHAT EXACTLY WAS IT THAT 28 YOUR FATHER SAID?

1	A WHITE TRASH.
2	Q TO WHO?
3	A SUSAN PENDER.
4	Q AND HAVING REVIEWED THAT DECLARATION UNDER
5	PENALTY OF PERJURY BY MS. ROGERS, DID THAT HELP YOU GET
6	BACK INTO THE TIME IN YOUR MIND?
7	A YES.
8	Q DO YOU REMEMBER
9	MS. SWISS: OBJECTION. MOVE TO STRIKE
10	COUNSEL'S COMMENTS. HE'S NOT TESTIFYING HERE. LACKS
11	FOUNDATION AND LEADING.
12	THE COURT: OBJECTION IS SUSTAINED. COUNSEL'S
13	STATEMENT IS ORDERED STRICKEN.
14	PLEASE JUST ASK A QUESTION.
15	BY MR. MCMILLAN:
16	Q IN REVIEWING THAT DOCUMENT, DID THAT ASSIST
17	YOU IN GETTING A MORE SPECIFIC RECOLLECTION OF WHAT
18	HAPPENED BETWEEN YOUR FATHER AND MS. PENDER IN THAT
19	TDM?
20	MS. SWISS: OBJECTION. ASKED AND ANSWERED.
21	THE COURT: OVERRULED.
22	THE WITNESS: YES.
23	BY MR. MCMILLAN:
24	Q OKAY. I THINK WHERE WE LEFT OFF YESTERDAY
25	BEFORE THE NOON BREAK, WE WERE DOWNSTAIRS WITH
26	MS. PENDER AND MR. MILLS AND YOU WERE GIVING UP YOUR
27	BABY TO MR. MILLS.
2.8	RICHT? DO VOU REMEMBER THAT?

```
Α
               I DO.
1
               DID YOU CONSENT TO THAT?
 3
          Α
               NO.
              DID YOU ARGUE?
 4
 5
          Α
               YES.
 6
              DID YOU FEEL LIKE YOU HAD A CHOICE?
 7
             NO.
          A
             DID YOU BRING ANY -- ANYTHING WITH YOU TO THE
 8
          0
9
      MEETING THAT DAY TO CARE FOR THE BABY?
10
         Α
              YES.
             SHARE WITH US, WHAT DID YOU BRING?
11
12
          A I HAD SNACKS, I HAD FOOD, AND I HAD FROZEN
13
      BREAST-MILK IN LIKE A POUCH THAT KEEPS IT REFRIGERATED.
14
             AND JUST ROUGHLY HOW LONG WAS THE MEETING,
15
      TDM?
16
              MORE THAN FOUR HOURS, FOUR TO SIX AND A HALF
      A
17
      HOURS.
18
        O NOW THAT FOUR TO SIX AND A HALF PERIOD, IS
19
      THAT JUST THE MEETING IN THAT CONFERENCE ROOM OR DOES
20
      THAT COVER MORE EVENTS THAT NIGHT?
21
         Α
              IT COVERS SOME OF THE EVENTS OF THAT NIGHT.
22
             OKAY. DO YOU RECALL ROUGHLY WHAT TIME IT WAS
23
      THAT YOU ARRIVED AT THE TDM?
24
         A
              YES.
25
             ABOUT WHEN WAS THAT?
         A I WAS RUNNING LATE AFTER LEAVING THE FAILURE
26
2.7
      TO THRIVE CLINIC. IT WAS AFTER 3:30 WHEN I ARRIVED
28
      THERE.
```

```
1
              OKAY. AND THEN YOU RECALL JUST ROUGHLY WHEN
      IT WAS THAT YOU LEFT THE BUILDING TO HEAD ON HOME?
 3
         Α
              I DO.
 4
          Q
              ROUGHLY WHAT TIME WAS THAT?
 5
          Α
              AFTER 9:00 O'CLOCK.
              I'M SORRY?
 6
 7
          A AFTER 9:00 O'CLOCK.
 8
          Q SO ABOUT FIVE AND A HALF, SIX HOURS SOMETHING
9
      LIKE THAT?
10
             YES, IN THE BUILDING, BUT I COULDN'T DRIVE
         A
      AFTER THAT.
11
12
             RIGHT. I JUST WANT TO FOCUS FOR THE MOMENT ON
1.3
      WHEN YOU LEFT NOT HOW YOU LEFT, OKAY?
14
         Α
             SURE.
15
         0
             OKAY. SO 9:00 O'CLOCK IS YOUR ESTIMATE?
16
         A
             AFTER 9:00.
17
         0
             AFTER 9:00.
18
              WHILE YOU WERE THERE, AT LEAST UP UNTIL THE
19
      POINT THAT YOU HAD TO GIVE THE BABY TO MR. MILLS,
20
     PERIODICALLY DID YOU FEED THE BABY DURING TIME PERIOD?
21
         Α
              YES.
22
          O EVEN DURING THE TDM?
23
         A YES.
24
         O WHAT DID YOU FEED HIM?
25
         Α
              I HAD VEGGIE PUFFS AND THEN A BOTTLE LIKE
26
     AROUND 6:30.
2.7
         Q A WHAT I'M SORRY?
         A A BOTTLE OF BREAST MILK AROUND 6:30.
28
```

THEN GOING DOWN INTO THAT ROOM DOWNSTAIRS, I 1 THINK YOU TESTIFIED THAT IT WAS KIND OF DARK, AND THEN 3 MR. MILLS CAME OUT, AND THERE WAS THIS EXCHANGE OF THE 4 BABY. WHAT DID YOU DO WITH YOUR FOOD, MILK, AND THE BABY THINGS, IF ANYTHING? 5 6 I HAD ASKED MS. PENDER IF SHE WOULD ALLOW ME 7 TO JUST AT LEAST BREAST-FEED ONE MORE TIME BECAUSE THE BABY NEEDED TO EAT SOMETHING BEFORE HE -- HE GOT 8 9 SOMEWHERE ELSE. AND SHE SAID NO. I BEGGED HER TO LET 10 ME JUST BREAST-FEED HIM ONE MORE TIME, AND SHE SAID NO. 11 OKAY. WHAT HAPPENED FROM THERE? 12 I TURNED TO RYAN MILLS, AND I ASKED HIM, I 13 SAID, "PLEASE JUST TAKE THE POUCH," AND I TAKE IT OUT 14 OF THE BAG AND I'M HANDING IT TO HIM. AND I'M BEGGING 15 HIM, "JUST TAKE IT. AND THERE'S A CLEAN BOTTLE IN THERE, JUST GIVE IT TO HIM." 16 17 DOES HE TAKE THE POUCH? 18 YES. Α 19 WHAT HAPPENS FROM THERE? 20 HE TAKES THE BABY, AND HE WALKS OUT. AND AS 21 HE'S WALKING OUT, I FOLLOW HIM, AND KIMBERLY ROGERS IS 22 THERE, AND I PLEAD WITH HER, I BEGGED HER NOT TO DO 23 THIS. AND THEN I NOTICED THAT HE LEFT THE POUCH WITH 24 THE BREAST-MILK ON A TABLE. 25 HE. HE WHO? Q 26 Α RYAN MILLS. 2.7 SO HE DIDN'T TAKE THE MILK WITH HIM? 0

28

Α

NO.

1	Q WHERE WERE YOUR PARENTS WHEN ALL THIS WAS
2	HAPPENING?
3	A AFTER WHAT HAPPENED EARLIER, MY FATHER LEFT
4	THE BUILDING. I DIDN'T KNOW WHERE HE WAS. AND MY
5	MOTHER WAS FINISHING UP HER FINGERPRINTING.
6	Q AT SOME POINT YOU WERE ABLE TO FIND YOUR
7	FATHER. AND TOGETHER YOU ALL WENT HOME RIGHT?
8	A YES.
9	Q YOU NEED TO BREATHE. BREATHE. I WANT TO HEAR
LO	YOU BREATHE.
L1	CAN YOU SHARE WITH US A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THAT
L2	EVENING?
L3	A IT WAS THE WORST DAY OF MY LIFE. MY MOM AND I
L 4	JUST HUGGED EACH OTHER IN THE LIVING ROOM IN THE
L 5	WAITING ROOM. AND I'M JUST SAYING, "OH, MY GOD, THEY
L 6	TOOK MY BABY. I DON'T KNOW WHY. I HAVEN'T DONE
L 7	ANYTHING."
L 8	THE COURT: MR. MCMILLAN, WE'RE GOING TO TAKE
L 9	A MOMENT HERE. NO MORE QUESTIONS. LET'S JUST TAKE A
20	MOMENT. WE CAN TAKE A RECESS IF SHE NEEDS TO.
21	MR. MCMILLAN: COULD WE DO THAT, YOUR HONOR?
22	THE COURT: MS. DUVAL WOULD YOU LIKE US TO
23	TAKE A SHORT RECESS?
24	THE WITNESS: JUST A LITTLE I'M SORRY. LET
25	ME JUST GO TO THE BATHROOM IF THAT'S OKAY.
26	THE COURT: SURE.
27	ALL RIGHT. WE'RE GOING TO TAKE A SHORT
28	RECESS. WE'LL 10 MINUTES. ALL JURORS, PLEASE

REMEMBER THE ADMONITION. 1 2 (JURY EXCUSED) 3 (RECESS) MR. MCMILLAN: WE'RE JUST GOING TO MOVE PAST 4 THAT NIGHT ON TO OTHER STUFF. I THINK WE --5 6 THE COURT: I'LL LEAVE IT UP TO YOU. WE'RE ON 7 THE RECORD. COUNSEL IS PRESENT. THERE'S A LADY IN THE SEATED PART OF THE COURTROOM, I BELIEVE TRYING TO GET 8 9 THE ATTENTION OF MS. DUVAL. YES, YOU. WELL, I'M NOT 10 CRITICIZING IN ANY WAY, IF THERE'S SOMETHING THAT YOU 11 WANT TO CALL TO HER ATTENTION, WE'LL DO SO BEFORE WE 12 START. 13 PLEASE REMEMBER, I KNOW IT'S A VERY DIFFICULT 14 TIME FOR MS. DUVAL TO BE TESTIFYING. WE'RE GOING TO DO 15 THE BEST WE CAN TO HELP HER GET THROUGH IT. BUT THAT WON'T INCLUDE ANY KIND OF SIGNALING FROM ANYBODY IN THE 16 17 COURTROOM BECAUSE THAT WOULD NOT BE ON THE RECORD, AND 18 NO WAY TO PLACE IT ON THE RECORD. SO WHATEVER WE NEED 19 TO DO WE'LL DO BUT IT WILL BE DONE ON THE RECORD AND THROUGH COUNSEL OR OTHER PERSONS THAT ARE BEFORE ME. 20 21 IT'S JUST THE NATURE OF THE PROCEEDING, IT'S NOT --22 SHOULD NOT BE INTERPRETED BY YOU IN ANY WAY AS ANY FORM 23 OF CRITICISM IT'S JUST SIMPLY INFORMATION I'M PROVIDING 24 TO YOU AS TO HOW WE'RE GOING TO PROCEED. 25 SO IF THERE IS SOMETHING THAT EITHER YOU WANT 26 TO CALL TO COUNSEL'S ATTENTION OR MS. DUVAL'S ATTENTION 2.7 WE'RE GOING TO DO IT WHILE WE DON'T HAVE THE JURY HERE.

OKAY. MS. DUVAL, ARE YOU READY TO GO ON?

28

1	THE WITNESS: YES.
2	THE COURT: OKAY. LET'S GET THE JURORS BACK.
3	(JURY PRESENT)
4	THE COURT: EVERYONE MAY BE SEATED. WE'RE ON
5	THE RECORD. EVERYBODY IS PRESENT.
6	GO AHEAD, MR. MCMILLAN.
7	MR. MCMILLAN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
8	BY MR. MCMILLAN:
9	Q AFTER THAT FIRST NIGHT AT HOME WITHOUT YOUR
10	BABY, AT SOME POINT DO YOU FIND OUT WHAT THE NEXT STEP
11	IN THIS PROCESS IS?
12	A YES. YES.
13	Q HOW SO NO? HOW DO YOU FIND OUT?
14	A AT THE TDM, AT THE END I WAS TOLD THAT I HAD
15	TO GO TO COURT ON THE 6TH.
16	Q COURT YOU SAID ON THE 6TH?
17	A YES.
18	Q WHO TOLD YOU THAT?
19	A ONE OF THEM, I DON'T REMEMBER RIGHT NOW.
20	Q DO YOU GO TO COURT ON THE 6TH?
21	A I DO.
22	Q OKAY. SHARE WITH US A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THAT
23	PROCESS. BY THAT I DON'T MEAN HOW YOU GOT TO COURT
24	THOSE SORTS OF THINGS. ONCE YOU GET TO COURT, WHAT
25	HAPPENS FROM THERE?
26	A I MET THE ATTORNEY I HAD HIRED. IT WAS ABOUT
27	8:15, AND HE WAS JUST HANDED THE DETENTION REPORT.
28	Q DID YOU GET AN OPPORTUNITY BEFORE THE HEARING

TO SIT DOWN WITH YOUR ATTORNEY AND GO THROUGH THAT 1 2 REPORT IN DETAIL? 3 Α NO. DID YOU GET AN OPPORTUNITY TO SIT DOWN WITH 4 5 YOUR ATTORNEY BEFORE THAT HEARING AND GO THROUGH THE 6 REPORT AT ALL? 7 NO. А O HOW LONG BEFORE THE HEARING STARTED WAS IT 8 9 THAT YOU MET YOUR ATTORNEY AND HAD THE DETENTION 10 REPORT? 11 15, 20 MINUTES BEFORE. A 12 O IF I CAN GET YOU TO TURN -- I'LL GRAB YOU THE 13 BINDER. IF I COULD GET YOU TO TURN TO EXHIBIT 14 NUMBER 12. IN FACT, I'LL JUST TAKE CARE OF IT. 15 (PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT NO. 12, WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION BY THE COURT.) 16 17 BY MR. MCMILLAN: 18 Q IF YOU CAN TAKE A MOMENT, I DON'T HAVE TO READ 19 IT IN DETAIL, BUT YOU WOULD LIKE YOU TO TAKE A CLOSE 20 ENOUGH LOOK AT THE DETENTION REPORT SO THAT YOU'RE ABLE 21 TO TELL ME, OR TELL US, WHETHER OR NOT THAT DETENTION 22 REPORT THAT'S MARKED AS EXHIBIT 12 APPEARS TO YOU TO BE 23 A TRUE AND ACCURATE COPY OF THE DETENTION REPORT THAT 24 YOU SAW THAT MORNING ON NOVEMBER 6TH. 25 IT LOOKS LIKE IT, YES. Α OKAY. YOU SEE A MENTION OF -- IF YOU CAN TURN 26 2.7 TO PAGE -- ACTUALLY, LET ME GIVE PROTECTIVE ORDER THE 28 BATES RANGE NUMBER IT'S 000014 THROUGH AND INCLUDING

1	000024. IS THAT RIGHT?
2	A YES.
3	Q YOU NOTICE ON PAGE NUMBER 000022, TOWARDS TWO
4	BOTTOM OF THE PAGE THERE'S A SECTION TITLED
5	ATTACHMENTS?
6	A YES.
7	Q THE REPORT THAT YOU SAW WITH YOUR ATTORNEY,
8	DID DO YOU RECALL WHETHER OR NOT IT HAD ANY
9	ATTACHMENTS ACTUALLY ATTACHED TO IT?
10	A I DON'T KNOW.
11	Q OKAY. BUT THE ONE WE HAVE HERE IN COURT
12	TODAY, IT DOESN'T, DOES IT?
13	A NO.
14	Q NOW, WALK US THROUGH THE PROCESS. YOU MEET
15	YOUR ATTORNEY. WERE YOU THERE WHEN HE GOT THE REPORT
16	OR DID HE ALREADY HAVE THE REPORT WHEN HE MET WITH YOU?
17	A HE HAD JUST COME OUT COUNTY COUNSEL'S OFFICE
18	OR SOMETHING WHEN I MET HIM HE SAID HE HAD JUST GOTTEN
19	TO REPORT.
20	Q OKAY. AND THEN THE WHO OF YOU BEFORE YOU
21	WENT INTO THE HEARING, WHAT HAPPENED BEFORE YOU WENT
22	INTO THE HEARING? WHAT HAPPENED WITH BEFORE YOU BEFORE
23	YOU WENT INTO THE HEARING?
24	A THERE WAS NO TIME TO TALK HE SAID HE NEEDED TO
25	GO BACK IN TO FILL OUT A FORM AND THAT HE WILL COME OUT
26	AND GET ME WHEN IT WAS TIME TO GO IN.
27	Q DID HE COME OUT AND GET YOU WHEN IT WAS TIME
28	TO GO IN?

1	A YES.
2	Q AND THEN YOU WENT INTO COURT?
3	A YES.
4	Q TELL US WHAT HAPPENS FROM THERE?
5	A WE WERE CALLED AND I STOOD THERE. HE TALKED
6	TO THE JUDGE.
7	Q DID HE TALK TO THE JUDGE ABOUT THE SPECIFIC
8	CONTENTS OF THE REPORT?
9	A I DON'T RECALL. I KNOW THERE WAS SOME
10	QUESTIONS THAT THE JUDGE HAD OFFHAND, AND I I CAN'T
11	RECALL RIGHT NOW ALL THE SPECIFICS OF THAT.
12	Q DID YOU HAVE AT THE OPPORTUNITY AT THAT
13	HEARING DID YOU HAVE WITNESSES COME IN AND TESTIFY TAKE
14	THE STAND THAT SORT OF THING?
15	A NO.
16	Q HOW LONG DID THAT HEARING LAST?
17	A I DON'T KNOW SPECIFICALLY, BUT MAYBE 15,
18	20 MINUTES ESTIMATE.
19	Q WELL, IF I CAN GET YOU TO TURN TO PAGE NUMBER
20	000018 OF EXHIBIT NUMBER 12. LET ME KNOW WHEN YOU'RE
21	THERE. ABOUT HALF WAY DOWN THE PAGE THERE'S AN ENTRY
22	IT SAYS ON NOVEMBER 2, 2009?
23	A YES.
24	Q OKAY. IT SAYS:
25	"ON NOVEMBER 2, 2009, CSW SPOKE
26	WITH DR. JASMEET GILL WHO STATED THAT
27	SHE IS A PARTNER TO DR. YIM WHO MOTHER
28	HAD PREVIOUSLY STATED HAD QUIT ON

1	MOTHER DUE TO HARASSMENT FROM FATHER
2	RYAN. DR. GILL STATED TO CSW THAT HER
3	PRACTICE HAD BEEN FORCED TO RELUCTANTLY
4	DISMISS FAMILY FROM THEIR PRACTICE DUE
5	TO THE FAMILY BEING TOO DEMANDING.
6	DR. GILL FURTHER INDICATED THAT THE
7	MAIN REASON FOR FAMILY BEING DISMISSED
8	WAS THAT MOTHER HAD BEEN GIVEN SPECIFIC
9	DIRECTIONS IN TERMS OF FEEDING THE
10	CHILD, AND IT WAS EVIDENT TO HER THAT
11	THE DIRECTIVES WERE NOT BEING FOLLOWED
12	BY MOTHER IN ORDER TO HELP THE CHILD
13	MAINTAIN AND ATTAIN HEALTHY GROWTH
14	PATTERNS."
15	DID I READ THAT CORRECTLY?
16	A YES.
17	Q THAT DAY WHEN YOU WERE IN COURT, HAD YOU HAD
18	HA CHANCE TO READ THROUGH THAT IN DETAIL TO FIND OUT
19	WHAT IT WAS THEY WERE SAYING ABOUT YOU THAT DAY?
20	A NO.
21	Q DID YOU KNOW WHEN YOU WERE STANDING THERE IN
22	FRONT OF THE JUDGE IN COURT, THIS IS WHAT MS. PENDER
23	WAS TELLING THE JUDGE HAPPENED?
24	A NO.
25	Q DID YOUR ATTORNEY KNOW?
26	A I DON'T KNOW
27	MS. SWISS: OBJECTION. CALLS FOR SPECULATION.
28	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

1	MR. MCMILLAN: LET ME BACK THAT UP.
2	BY MR. MCMILLAN:
3	Q YOU HAD A FEW MINUTES BEFORE THE HEARING TO
4	TALK TO YOUR ATTORNEY IN THE HALLWAY?
5	A NOT REALLY. IT WAS REALLY FAST.
6	Q LET ME ASK YOU THIS WAY: YOU WERE AT THE
7	HEARING?
8	A I WAS.
9	Q DO YOU RECALL YOUR ATTORNEY STANDING UP AND
LO	SAYING, "WELL JUDGE, YOU KNOW, LET'S LOOK AT THIS HEAR
L1	FOR A MINUTE. THE SOCIAL WORKER IS SAYING THAT SHE
L2	TALKED TO THE DOCTOR AND THAT THE DOCTOR SAID THESE
L3	THINGS ABOUT MOM. CAN WE HAVE A HEARING ON THAT?"
L 4	DID THAT HAPPEN?
L 5	MS. SWISS: OBJECTION. LEADING.
L 6	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
L 7	BY MR. MCMILLAN:
L 8	Q DESCRIBE FOR US IF YOU WOULD WHAT YOU RECALL
L 9	HAPPENING AT THAT HEARING?
20	A I REMEMBER HIM ANSWERING THE QUESTIONS
21	COMMISSIONER MARTINEZ HAD, AND THEN HIM EXPRESSING TO
22	THE COURT THAT THIS CASE WAS JUST A DISPUTE BETWEEN THE
23	PARENTS, THAT THIS WAS NOT A NEGLECT ISSUE. AT THAT
24	POINT I DENT HEAR OR I DON'T RECALL HEARING ANY OF THE
25	STUFF AT THAT POINT.
26	Q WELL THE JUDGE, SHE ISSUED SOME ORDERS THAT
27	DAY, DO YOU REMEMBER?
28	A YES.

1	Q DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT THE ORDERS WERE?
2	A YES.
3	Q YES?
4	A YES.
5	Q CAN YOU SHARE WITH US WHAT THOSE ORDERS WERE?
6	A THAT MY BABY WAS GOING TO CONTINUE TO BE
7	DETAINED FROM ME AND I WAS GOING TO RECEIVE VISITATION
8	TWICE A WEEK FOR AN HOUR AND A HALF, AND THAT I I
9	WAS GOING TO BE GIVEN SERVICES.
LO	Q GIVEN SERVICES, WHAT KINDS OF SERVICES?
L1	A HOPEFULLY REUNIFICATION SERVICES.
L2	Q DID SOMEBODY EXPLAIN TO YOU WHAT THAT MEANT?
L3	A BY THEN, I KNEW WHAT THAT MEANT.
L 4	Q OKAY. WHY DON'T YOU SHARE WITH US YOUR
L 5	UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT IT MEANT THAT YOU WERE SUPPOSED
L 6	TO GET THESE REUNIFICATION SERVICES?
L 7	A I WILL GET SERVICES FROM THE DEPARTMENT
L 8	PARENTAL CLASSES OR WHATEVER, AND THAT AT SOME POINT
L 9	VISITS WILL GET LIBERALIZED MAYBE MORE TIME MAYBE TO GO
20	OUTSIDE THE FACILITY AND EVENTUALLY WORK UP TO MORE
21	TIME WITH THE BABY AND ULTIMATELY HAVE SOME SORT OF
22	CUSTODY RIGHTS BACK. CUSTODIAL TIME RIGHTS BACK.
23	Q BUT WHEN YOU LEFT THE HEARING THAT DAY, YOU
24	DIDN'T HAVE CUSTODY OF THE BABY?
25	A NO.
26	Q I THINK YOU SAID YOU HAD VISITS ONE AND A HALF
27	HOURS TWICE A WEEK?
28	A MONITORED, YES.

1	Q MONITORED. EXPLAIN TO US WHAT THAT MEANS?
2	A SUPERVISED VISITATION, BASICALLY YOU HAVE AS
3	PART OF THEIR SERVICES SOMEONE WHO IS THERE TO ENSURE
4	THE SAFETY, SAFETY OF THE CHILD WHILE THE PARENT IS
5	VISITING THE CHILD.
6	Q THESE VISITS WHERE DO THEY TYPICALLY HAPPEN?
7	A THEY BEGAN AT THE WILSHIRE OFFICE THE METRO
8	NORTH OFFICE. AND WHEN THE CASE WAS TRANSFERRED IT WAS
9	IN LAKEWOOD.
10	Q LET'S JUST FOCUS FOR THE MOMENT ON THAT PERIOD
11	OF TIME IN NOVEMBER. DID YOU GET A VISIT THAT DAY
12	AFTER COURT?
13	A I DON'T RECALL RIGHT NOW.
14	Q AND IF I RECALL CORRECTLY, YOU WERE STILL
15	BREAST-FEEDING ABOUT THAT PERIOD OF TIME. IS THAT;
16	RIGHT?
17	A I WAS ON DEMAND, YES.
18	Q I'M SORRY?
19	A ON DEMAND, YES IF THE BABY WANTED IT.
20	Q DID AFTER RYAN WAS TAKEN FROM YOU, DID YOU
21	CONTINUE TO PUMP BREAST MILK?
22	A YES.
23	Q WHY?
24	A I WOULD STILL GET ENGORGED, MEANING MY BREASTS
25	STILL HAD MILK, AND IF I LEAVE IT, IT HURTS A LOT AND
26	IT BECOMES REALLY HARD. SO YOU NEED TO EXPRESS IT.
27	Q BUT WHAT DID YOU DO WITH THAT MILK?
28	A FROZE IT.

ON THESE -- GOING FORWARD TO THESE VISITS, DO 1 YOU REMEMBER WHEN YOU GOT YOUR FIRST VISIT? 3 IT WOULD HAVE BEEN EITHER I THINK THAT DAY, IT WAS -- IT WOULD HAVE BEEN EITHER THAT THURSDAY OR THAT 4 5 TUESDAY AFTER. I DON'T RECALL RIGHT NOW. 6 WHICH OFFICE WAS THAT AT? 7 A METRO NORTH ON WILSHIRE. O AND YOU TOLD US THAT THESE VISITS WERE 8 9 MONITORED. DO YOU REMEMBER WHO THE MONITOR WAS AT YOUR 10 FIRST VISIT? 11 YES? A 12 O WHO WAS THAT? 13 A PENDER. 14 Q IT WAS MS. PENDER? 15 A SHE'S NOT HERE. CAN YOU SHARE WITH US A LITTLE BIT ABOUT HOW 16 0 17 THAT VISIT WENT? A THAT WAS A HARD VISIT. I -- I TRIED TO NURSE 18 19 THE BABY, AND HE -- HE WASN'T TAKING THE BREAST. I HAD 20 SNACKS WITH ME, I PROVIDED THE SNACKS, BUT ONE OF THE 21 HARDEST THINGS WAS THAT THE ROOM WAS REALLY FILTHY AND 22 SMELLED TERRIBLE. AND I DIDN'T HAVE ANYTHING TO CLEAN 23 IT WITH OR -- SO THAT WAS VERY HARD BECAUSE I WAS ITCHY 24 AND ALLERGIC TO DUST. SO IT WAS UNCOMFORTABLE. 25 AND DID YOU HAVE A CHANCE THERE AT THAT FIRST 26 VISIT AT ALL TO SPEAK WITH MS. PENDER? A I DON'T RECALL RIGHT NOW. 2.7 28 O ABOUT ANYBODY COME WITH YOU TO THAT VISIT?

1	A YES.	
2	Q SORRY?	
3	A YES.	
4	Q WHO?	
5	A MY MOM.	
6	Q WAS SHE PERMITTED TO GO INTO THE VISIT YOU AND	
7	SEE THE BABY?	
8	A YES.	
9	Q WAS SHE ALLOWED TO INTERACT WITH THE BABY,	
10	HOLD THE BABY?	
11	A YES.	
12	Q I WANT TO MARCH FORWARD A LITTLE BIT. AT SOME	
13	POINT THE PERSON MONITORING SUPERVISING THESE VISITS	
14	CHANGED.	
15	DO YOU REMEMBER THAT?	
16	A YES.	
17	Q DO YOU RECALL HOW LONG WAS IT AFTER THAT FIRST	
18	VISIT BEFORE MS. ENNIS STARTED ASSISTING WITH THE	
19	SUPERVISION?	
20	A PROBABLY THE FOLLOWING VISIT AFTER THAT. I	
21	KNOW THAT THERE WERE SOME SCHEDULING ISSUES, AND SOME	
22	DAYS I DIDN'T EVEN KNOW WHETHER WHO WAS GOING TO DO	
23	IT. IT WAS JUST CHAOTIC, YOU KNOW, DISORGANIZED.	
24	Q LET ME ASK YOU, MS. ENNIS, DO YOU KNOW WHETHER	
25	OR NOT AT EACH OF THE VISITS THAT SHE MONITORED WHETHER	
26	OR NOT SHE TOOK NOTES OF WHAT WAS GOING ON AT THE	
27	VISIT, THOSE SORTS OF THINGS. SHE TOOK NOTES?	
28	DID SHE SHARE THOSE NOTES WITH YOU?	

A NOT AT THE TIME, NO. 1 AT SOME POINT IN TIME DID SHE SHARE THOSE 3 NOTES WITH YOU? 4 Α YES. 5 Q WHEN WAS THAT? 6 Α 2012. 7 MS. SWISS: COUNSEL, COULD YOU LET ME KNOW THE 8 EXHIBIT NUMBER. 9 MR. MCMILLAN: YEAH IT'S 1088. THEN I HAVE A 10 GET THE SPECIFIC BATES NUMBER, GIVE ME ONE SECOND. BY MR. MCMILLAN: 11 12 O OKAY. IF I CAN GET YOU TO TURN TO EXHIBIT NUMBER 1088.28. AND THE PAGE NUMBERS ARE IN THE BOTTOM 13 14 OF THE PAGE TOWARDS THE CENTER OF THE PAGE IN BOLD BLOCK LETTERING. OKAY LET ME KNOW WHEN YOU'RE THERE? 15 16 A I AM. O OKAY. IF YOU CAN LOOK AT 1088.28 THROUGH 17 1088.29? 18 19 A OKAY. 20 IS THIS A TRUE AND ACCURATE COPY OF DEPICTION 21 OF THE REPORT FOR NOVEMBER 10TH AS YOU RECALL IT BEING 22 GIVEN TO YOU BY MS. ENNIS? 23 A YES. 24 Q AND THEN GOING TO THE NEXT ONE, 25 EXHIBIT 1088.30, IT'S A NOVEMBER 12TH NOTE. TAKE A 26 MOMENT TO TAKE A LOOK AT THAT. 2.7 A YES. Q IS THAT A TRUE AND ACCURATE COPY AND DEPICTION 28

```
1
      OF THE NOTE OF THE MONITORED VISIT ON NOVEMBER 12TH BY
      MS. ENNIS TO THE EXTENT YOU RECALL WHEN SHE GAVE IT TO
 3
      YOU?
 4
         Α
              YES.
 5
               TURNING TO PAGE NUMBER 1088.31. TAKE A
 6
      MOMENT, LOOK THAT OVER.
 7
               IS THAT ALSO A TRUE AND ACCURATE DEPICTION
 8
      COPY OF THE MONITORING NOTE OF MS. ENNIS FOR
9
      DECEMBER 8TH THAT SHE GAVE YOU?
10
          A
              YES.
          Q GOING TO EXHIBIT NUMBER 1088.32?
11
12
              (PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT NO. 1088.32, WAS
               MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION BY THE
1.3
14
               COURT.)
15
               THE WITNESS: I'M THERE.
16
     BY MR. MCMILLAN:
17
          O IS THAT A TRUE ACCURATE COPY AND DEPICTION OF
18
      THE NOTE OF DECEMBER 9TH BY MS. ENNIS THAT SHE GAVE TO
19
      YOU?
20
          Α
              YES.
21
              TURNING TO EXHIBIT 1088.33 THROUGH 1088.34.
          Q
22
               (PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT NO. 1088.34, WAS
               MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION BY THE
23
24
               COURT.)
25
               THE WITNESS: OKAY.
     BY MR. MCMILLAN:
26
2.7
             THOSE TWO PAGES THERE IS THIS A TRUE AND
          Q
28
      ACCURATE COPY OR DEPICTION OF MS. ENNIS'S NOTE OF
```

1	DECEMBER 10TH, AT LEAST INSOFAR AS SHE GAVE IT TO YOU?
2	A YES.
3	Q TURNING TO EXHIBIT NUMBER 1088.35, IS THAT
4	ALSO A TRUE AND ACCURATE COPY AND DEPICTION OF THE
5	MONITORING NOTE THAT MS. ENNIS PROVIDED TO YOU FOR THE
6	VISIT ON DECEMBER 11TH?
7	A YES.
8	Q AND JUST SO WE'RE CLEAR ALL THESE VISITS I
9	DON'T SEE A YEAR THESE WERE ALL 2009 RIGHT?
10	A YES THESE ARE ALL 2009.
11	Q I SEE THIS ONE HERE FOR DECEMBER 11, SIX LINES
12	DOWN TOWARD THE MIDDLE, IT SAYS:
13	"BABY RYAN IS LAUGHING AND
14	SMILING."
15	DO YOU SEE THAT?
16	A YES.
17	Q (READING:)
18	"MOTHER TAKES OUT BLANKET TO PUT
19	ON GROUND FOR BABY. MOTHER TAKES OUT
20	HEALTHY SPINACH SNACKS, TOYS AND RICE.
21	BABY RYAN IS EATING A FEW SPOONFULS OF
22	RICE. MOTHER IS GIVING HIM AVOCADO."
23	DID I READ ALL THAT RIGHT?
24	A YES.
25	Q THOSE SORTS OF THINGS, ARE THOSE THE THINGS AT
26	LEAST TO YOUR UNDERSTANDING WHEN SOMEBODY IS SITTING
27	THERE WATCHING YOU INTERACT WITH YOUR SON, ARE THOSE
28	THE TYPE OF THINGS THEY'RE SUPPOSED TO WRITE AND REPORT

AND RECORD? 1 2 A YES --3 MS. SWISS: OBJECTION. LACKS FOUNDATION. 4 CALLS FOR SPECULATION. THE COURT: SUSTAINED. 5 BY MR. MCMILLAN: 6 7 O DID ANYBODY EVER EXPLAIN TO YOU WHEN THIS REOUIREMENT THAT ALL OF YOUR INTERACTIONS WITH YOUR 8 9 BABY BE SUPERVISED BY SOMEONE ELSE, DID ANYBODY EVER 10 EXPLAIN TO YOU HOW THAT WAS SUPPOSED TO HAPPEN OR WHY? 11 YES. A 12 O OKAY. AND WHEN THEY GAVE YOU THE EXPLANATION 1.3 ABOUT HOW AND WHY YOU WERE HAVING THESE PEOPLE WATCH 14 YOUR INTERACTIONS WITH YOUR BABY, DID ANYBODY EVER 15 EXPLAIN TO YOU THAT SOMEBODY WAS GOING TO BE -- WHETHER 16 OR NOT SOMEBODY WAS GOING TO BE REPORTING AND RECORDING 17 THOSE INTERACTIONS? 18 A YES. 19 AND WHEN THEY EXPLAINED THAT TO YOU, DID THEY 20 EXPLAIN TO YOU THE SORTS OF THINGS THAT THEY WERE WATCHING FOR AND REPORTING? 21 22 A YES. Q CAN YOU SHARE WITH US THAT EXPLANATION? 23 24 SURE. THE MONITOR WAS THERE FIRST AND 25 FOREMOST TO ENSURE THE SAFETY OF THE CHILD. AND THEY WERE ALSO THERE TO ENSURE THAT THE COURT ORDER WAS 26 2.7 FOLLOWED. AND THEY WERE SUPPOSED TO WRITE DOWN THEIR 28 OBSERVATIONS OF MY INTERACTIONS WITH MY BABY, AND

ANYTHING THAT HAD TO DO WITH HOW I CARED FOR MY BABY, 1 HOW THE BABY REACTS TO MY CARE. SO I UNDERSTAND THAT. 2 3 DID ANYBODY EVER EXPLAIN TO YOU WHY IT WAS 4 THAT THESE SUPERVISORS WERE SUPPOSED TO BE WRITING DOWN 5 YOUR INTERACTIONS WITH THE BABY, HOW THE BABY RESPONDS 6 TO YOUR INTERACTIONS AND YOUR CARE AND THAT SORT OF 7 THING, DID THEY EVER EXPLAIN THAT? 8 A YES. 9 SHARE WITH US WHAT WAS THE EXPLANATION. 10 Α BECAUSE THE ALLEGATIONS THAT HAD BEEN ALLEGED AGAINST ME. 11 12 AND BY NOW YOU KNEW WHAT THOSE ALLEGATIONS 0 1.3 WERE? 14 Α YES. 15 LET ME ASK YOU WE TALKED A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THE -- WE'LL COME BACK TO THESE MONITORING REPORTS A 16 17 LITTLE BIT LATER. 18 WERE YOU ALSO GIVEN ANOTHER DOCUMENT THAT DAY 19 ON NOVEMBER 6TH AT COURT, DO YOU RECALL? 20 A I DON'T RECALL. 21 Q. SORRY? 22 A I DON'T RECALL. 23 Q OKAY. I WILL GIVE YOU ANOTHER DOCUMENT, MAYBE 24 THAT WILL HELP YOU RECALL. ALL RIGHT I'VE GOT EXHIBIT 25 NO. 11 OPEN IN FRONT OF YOU BATES NUMBER 000008 THROUGH AND INCLUDING 000012. 26 2.7 (PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT NO. 11.8-11.12 28 WERE MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION BY THE

COURT.) 1 BY MR. MCMILLAN: 3 AND IF YOU CAN LOOK THAT OVER JUST FOR A 4 MOMENT, THEN WE'LL TALK ABOUT IT? 5 Α I SEE IT. 6 DO YOU RECALL -- OR LET ME ASK YOU THIS WAY: 7 IN LOOKING AT THIS DOCUMENT EXHIBIT NO. 11, DOES THAT 8 REFRESH YOUR RECOLLECTION AT ALL AS TO WHETHER OR NOT 9 YOU RECEIVED OR AT LEAST SAW SOME OTHER DOCUMENTS THAT 10 DAY ON NOVEMBER 6TH? I -- I DON'T RECALL EVER SEEING THIS THEN. 11 12 O DO YOU RECALL EVER SEEING THIS DOCUMENT? 13 NO, ACTUALLY. I DON'T RECALL SEEING THIS A 14 DOCUMENT UNTIL TODAY. 15 LET ME ASK YOU --OH, I'M SORRY. AT SOME POINT -- SORRY I JUST 16 Α 17 SAW SOMETHING HERE. AT SOME POINT I DON'T REMEMBER 18 WHEN BUT IT WASN'T THAT DAY, I SAW THIS DOCUMENT ONCE 19 BEFORE AND IT MAY HAVE BEEN AT MAYBE MS. PINEDO'S 20 DEPOSITION. 21 YOU MEAN IN THIS CASE? Q 22 YES. I THINK THAT'S ONE TIME BEFORE I SAW IT 23 PRIOR TO THAT I HAD NOT SEEN THIS. 24 WELL, LET ME ASK YOU THIS: AS YOU WERE 25 FLIPPING THROUGH IT JUST NOW, IT LOOKED LIKE YOU'D SEEN SOMETHING ON A PAGE THAT KIND OF SPARKED A MEMORY? 26 2.7 A YES. 28 Q WHICH PAGE WERE YOU LOOKING AT?

1	A THE SECOND PAGE.
2	Q SO THAT WOULD BE 000009?
3	A YES.
4	Q WHAT WAS IT ABOUT THE SECOND PAGE THAT PARKED
5	A MEMORY FOR YOU?
6	A I SEEN THE MIDDLE PART OF THAT PAGE ONCE
7	BEFORE WHERE IT SAYS TO PARENT YOUR PARENTAL RIGHTS MAY
8	BE PERMANENTLY TERMINATED. AND THEN THERE'S ALL THIS
9	STUFF ABOUT, YOU KNOW, CHILD SUPPORT AND STUFF LIKE
10	THAT.
11	Q OKAY. SO YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT THE PART HERE
12	IN THE MIDDLE OF THE PAGE UNDER NOTICE WHERE IT SAYS:
13	"YOUR PARENTAL RIGHTS MAY BE
14	TERMINATED TO PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS YOU
15	MUST APPEAR IN COURT AND ANSWER THIS
16	PETITION."
17	IS THAT WHAT YOU'VE REFERENCING?
18	A YES.
19	Q AND YOU MAY NOT RECALL THIS, DO YOU
20	REMEMBER I THINK YOU ALREADY TOLD US YOU DON'T
21	REMEMBER HAVING SEEN IT BEFORE?
22	A SO SORRY. WHAT I HAD NEVER SEEN WAS THE
23	FIRST PAGE.
24	Q OH, OKAY.
25	A WHAT I HAVE SEEN BEFORE IS PAGE 9, 10, 11. I
26	HAVE NOT SEEN 12, I DON'T RECALL SEEING 12, BUT THESE
27	THREE I HAVE SEEN AT SOME POINT BEFORE.
28	Q OKAY. AS TO THESE THREE PAGES, NUMBERS 9

THROUGH 11, DO YOU RECALL WHEN IT WAS THAT YOU SAW 1 2 THOSE THREE PAGES? 3 9, I DON'T RECALL WHEN WAS THE FIRST TIME. 4 10, I DO RECALL SOMETIME AFTER DETENTION SEEING 10 AND 5 11, BUT I DON'T RECALL SEEING 12. 6 O OKAY. WITH RESPECT TO PAGE NUMBERS 10 AND 11, 7 CAN YOU GIVE US AN ESTIMATE ROUGHLY WHEN IT WAS -- I THINK YOU SAID AFTER DETENTION RIGHT? 8 9 A YES. 10 BUT ROUGHLY HOW LONG AFTER DETENTION IT WAS 11 BEFORE YOU SAW THESE PAGES? 12 IT COULD HAVE BEEN -- I'LL GIVE YOU AN 1.3 ESTIMATE. IT WAS EITHER THAT AFTERNOON AFTER -- AFTER 14 TO ABOUT A WEEK FROM THE DEPENDENCY HEARING. 15 O OKAY. SO AT SOME POINT FAIRLY CLOSE IN TIME TO THE HEARING, YOU SOMEHOW GOT A HOLD OF THIS 16 17 DOCUMENT? 18 A AFTER THE HEARING, YES. Q OKAY. AND WHEN I'M SAYING THIS DOCUMENT, FOR 19 20 THE RECORD, EXHIBIT NO. 11 IS THE JUVENILE DEPENDENCY 21 PETITION. 22 THE PAGES I MENTIONED TO YOU. THE FIRST AND Α 23 THE LAST PAGE, I DON'T RECALL EVER SEEING THAT. 24 O OKAY. IF YOU CAN TURN TO PAGE NUMBER TEN --25 LET ME ASK YOU THIS: WHEN YOU FIRST SAW PAGE NUMBER 26 TEN, DID YOU READ IT? 2.7 A YES. 28 Q DID YOU UNDERSTAND IT?

```
A
               YES.
1
               WERE YOU ALONE OR WITH YOUR ATTORNEY?
 3
          Α
               WITH MY ATTORNEY.
 4
               OKAY. IF YOU'D LIKE AT THE CENTER OF THE PAGE
5
      THERE -- ACTUALLY, LET ME ASK YOU THIS FIRST: GOING
      BACK ONE PAGE TO PAGE 9, I BELIEVE AT THE TOP OF THE
 6
7
      PAGE THERE'S A NAME THERE WITH A SIGNATURE NEXT TO IT.
 8
              DO YOU SEE THE NAME?
9
          Α
              YES.
10
               WHO IS THAT?
          Q
11
          Α
               I SEE THE NAME.
12
               WHO IS IT?
          0
13
          A ELBA PINEDO.
14
          Q
               BEFORE THIS CASE HAD YOU EVER MET ELBA PINEDO?
15
          Α
               NO.
16
               DID SHE EVER CALL YOU ON THE PHONE?
          Q
17
          A
              NO.
18
               SO YOU NEVER TALKED TO HER?
          Q
19
          Α
               NO.
20
               THEN LOOKING UP JUST ABOVE HER SIGNATURE PAGE,
21
      IT SAYS HERE.
22
               I DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY UNDER THE
23
      LAWS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA THAT THE FOREGOING AND
24
      ALL ATTACHMENTS ARE TRUE AND CORRECT.
25
               DID I READ THAT; RIGHT?
26
          Α
              YES.
2.7
          Q AND THE DATE HERE IS NOVEMBER 5, 2009?
28
          A YES.
```

1	Q	ALL RIGHT. TURNING TO THE NEXT PAGE, THAT'S
2	PAGE 10,	ABOUT THE MIDDLE OF THE PAGE, DO YOU REMEMBER
3	WHEN YOU	READ THAT? I THINK YOU SAID YOU WERE WITH
4	YOUR ATTO	DRNEY?
5	А	YES.
6	Q	DID THE TWO OF YOU TALK ABOUT WHAT YOU READ
7	HERE?	
8	А	YES.
9	Q	ABOUT HOW LONG WAS THAT MEETING OR
LO	CONVERSA	TION?
L1	А	AT LEAST HOUR AND A HALF TO TWO AND A HALF.
L2	Q	DID YOU HAVE YOUR MEDICAL RECORDS WITH YOU?
L3	А	YES.
L4	Q	ALL THE BINDERS, TABS?
L5	А	YES.
L 6	Q	SIT DOWN WITH THE ATTORNEY AND GO THROUGH
L7	THEM?	
L8	А	YES.
L9	Q	LET'S TAKE A LOOK AT IT. TOP OF THE PAGE IT
20	SAYS:	
21		"THE CHILD HAS SUFFERED OR THERE
22		IS A SUBSTANTIAL RISK THAT THE CHILD
23		WILL SUFFER SERIOUS PHYSICAL HARM OR
24		ILLNESS."
25		THEN THERE'S A COUPLE BOXES CHECKED THERE.
26		DO YOU SEE THAT?
27	А	YES.
28	Q	ONE OF THE BOXES THAT'S CHECKED SAYS:

1		"AS A RESULT OF THE FAILURE OF
2		INABILITY OF HIS OR HER PARENT OR LEGAL
3		GUARDIAN TO SUPERVISE OR PROTECT THE
4		CHILD ADEQUATELY."
5		DID I READ THAT; RIGHT?
6	А	YES.
7	Q	THE NEXT CHECKED BOX SAYS:
8		"AS A RESULT OF THE WILLFUL OR
9		NEGLIGENT FAILURE OF THE CHILD'S PARENT
10		OR LEGAL GUARDIAN TO SUPERVISE THE OR
11		PROTECT THE CHILD ADEQUATELY FROM THE
12		CONDUCT OF THE CUSTODIAN IN WHOM THE
13		CHILD HAS BEEN LEFT."
14		DID I READ THAT ONE; RIGHT?
15	А	YES.
16	Q	THEN THE THERE'S A PARENTHETICAL STATEMENT
17	DOWN HER	E THAT SAYS:
18		"STATE SUPPORTING FACTS CONCISELY
19		AND NUMBER THEM."
20		DO YOU SEE THAT?
21	A	YES.
22	Q	THEN THE FIRST SUPPORTING FACT IS UNDER B1.
23	IT SAYS:	
24		"THE CHILD RYAN DUVAL'S MOTHER
25		RAFAELINA DUVAL WILLFULLY AND
26		INTENTIONALLY FAILED AND REFUSED TO
27		PROPERLY FEED RYAN"
28		IT ACTUALLY SAYS THE 15-MONTH OLD CHILD BUT

THAT'S CROSSED OUT; RIGHT?
A YES.
Q (READING:)
"RESULTING IN THE CHILD BEING
DIAGNOSED WITH FAILURE TO THRIVE DUE TO
BEING UNDERFED AND UNDERNOURISHED AND
BEING FED AN INADEQUATE DIET WHILE IN
THE CARE, CUSTODY, AND CONTROL OF HIS
MOTHER."
FIRST OF ALL, DID I READ THAT RIGHT?
A YES.
Q WHAT WAS YOUR UNDERSTANDING ABOUT WHAT THEY
WERE ACCUSING YOU OF THERE?
A THAT I JUST DECIDED TO JUST SIT THERE AND NOT
FEED MY BABY.
Q AT ANY POINT IN TIME DID YOU EVER JUST DECIDE
TO SIT THERE AND STARVE YOUR BABY?
A NO.
Q IN FACT I THINK YOU TALKED ABOUT THIS A LITTLE
BIT AS SOON AS YOU NOTICED BACK IN NOVEMBER, DECEMBER
2008 THAT THERE WAS AN ISSUE WITH BABY'S WEIGHT GAIN
DID YOU TAKE SOME ACTION?
A YES.
Q AND DID YOU CONTINUE TAKING ACTION ALL THE WAY
UP UNTIL THEY TOOK YOUR BABY?
A YES. AND AFTER.
Q YOU WENT TO DOCTORS, SOME SPECIALISTS?
MS. SWISS: OBJECTION. LEADING.

1		THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
2		PLEASE ASK HER A QUESTION, MR. MCMILLAN.
3	BY MR. MO	CMILLAN:
4	Q	LET'S GO TO THE NEXT SENTENCE. IT SAYS:
5		"THE 15-MONTH OLD CHILD IS NOW
6		BELOW THE 5TH PERCENTILE IN HEIGHT AND
7		WEIGHT FOR CHILD'S AGE. THE CHILD HAS
8		BEEN DIAGNOSED AS DEVELOPMENTALLY
9		DELAYED. THE CHILD'S FAILURE TO THRIVE
10		CONDITION IS DUE TO ENVIRONMENTAL
11		CAUSES AND HAS NO ORGANIC CAUSE FOR"
12		I'M SORRY.
13		"AND NO ORGANIC CAUSE FOR THE
14		CHILD'S CONDITION HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED."
15		DID I READ THAT RIGHT?
16	A	YES.
17	Q	GOING BACK FOR A MOMENT TO THAT VISIT THAT YOU
18	HAD WITH	DR. EVANS AT THE CATC CLINIC, DO YOU RECALL
19	THAT VISI	IT?
20	A	YES.
21	Q	DO YOU RECALL WHETHER OR NOT SHE HAD RULED OUT
22	ALL POTE	NTIAL ORGANIC CAUSES FOR THE CHILD'S CONDITION?
23	А	NO.
24	Q	NO, YOU DON'T RECALL OR?
25	А	NO.
26	Q	THAT WAS A BAD QUESTION.
27	А	NO, THEY HAVE NOT NOT EVERYTHING HAD BEEN
28	RULED OUT	Γ.

1	Q WELL, HOW DO YOU KNOW THAT? DID SOMEBODY TELL
2	YOU, OR HOW DO YOU KNOW?
3	A I SPOKE TO THE DOCTOR THAT DAY. I
4	SPECIFICALLY SPOKE TO HER ABOUT, YOU KNOW, THE FAILURE
5	TO THRIVE. SHE EXPLAINED TO ME WHAT IT WAS. SHE
6	EXPLAINED TO ME THE DEVELOPMENTAL DELAY AND THE ISSUES
7	WITH IT. WE TALKED ABOUT NUTRITIONAL CONSULT, ALL
8	THESE DIFFERENT THINGS THAT SHE HAD CONCERNS OVER THE
9	WEIGHT. THERE WAS A DISCUSSION ABOUT IT.
10	Q BUT SHE DID DO SOME TESTING THAT DAY?
11	A SHE DID.
12	Q DID SHE EXPLAIN TO YOU AT SOME POINT IN TIME
13	WHETHER ADDITIONAL TESTING MIGHT BE NEEDED?
14	A I DON'T RECALL RIGHT NOW IF SHE WANTED TO DO
15	MORE TESTING. I DON'T RECALL RIGHT NOW.
16	Q DID SHE ADMINISTER ANY EMERGENCY MEDICAL CARE
17	TO THE BABY THE DOCTOR AT THE CLINIC?
18	A NO. NO.
19	Q DID SHE SEND YOU HOME WITH ANY MEDICATIONS,
20	VITAMINS, THINGS LIKE THAT?
21	A NO.
22	Q THEN THERE'S THE NEXT SENTENCE HERE. IT SAYS:
23	"THE FATHER WAS AWARE OF THE
24	CHILD'S FAILURE TO THRIVE CONDITION AND
25	FAILED TO TAKE ACTION TO PROTECT THE
26	CHILD."
27	DID I READ THAT RIGHT?
28	A YES.

1	Q	BUT THAT LINE'S CROSSED OUT.
2		DO YOU SEE THAT?
3	А	YES.
4	Q	DID YOU CROSS THAT LINE OUT?
5	А	NO.
6	Q	DO YOU KNOW WHO CROSSED THAT LINE OUT?
7	А	I DON'T KNOW. I DON'T KNOW.
8	Q	DID ANYBODY EVER TELL YOU OR DID YOU TALK TO
9	ANYBODY	ABOUT THIS LINE HERE BEING CROSSED OUT?
10	А	NO.
11	Q	NEXT SENTENCE THE NEXT SENTENCE SAYS:
12		"SUCH WILLFUL AND INTENTIONAL
13		FAILURE OF THE MOTHER TO PROPERLY FEED
14		THE CHILD AND THE FATHER'S FAILURE TO
15		PROTECT THE CHILD ENDANGERS THE
16		PHYSICAL AND EMOTIONAL HEALTH AND
17		SAFETY OF THE CHILD AND PLACES THE
18		CHILD AT RISK OF PHYSICAL AND EMOTIONAL
19		HARM, DAMAGE, DANGER, AND FAILURE TO
20		PROTECT."
21		DID I READ THAT CORRECTLY?
22	А	YES.
23	Q	BUT IF WE GO BACK, PART OF THAT LINE IS
24	CROSSED	OUT TOO, ISN'T IT?
25	А	YES.
26	Q	AND THAT'S THE PART THAT SAYS:
27		"AND THE FATHER'S FAILURE TO
28		PROTECT THE CHILD."

1	А	YES.
2	Q	DO YOU KNOW WHO CROSSED THAT OUT?
3	А	NO.
4	Q	THEN GOING ON TO THE B2 STATEMENT OF
5	SUPPORT	ING FACTS, IT SAYS:
6		"THE CHILD RYAN DUVAL WAS
7		DIAGNOSED WITH FAILURE TO THRIVE. THE
8		MOTHER RAFAELINA DUVAL AND FATHER RYAN
9		MILLS KNEW OF THE CHILD'S MEDICAL
10		CONDITION AND FAILED TO PROVIDE TIMELY
11		NECESSARY MEDICAL CARE FOR THE CHILD
12		FROM JUNE 2009 TO SEPTEMBER 2009."
13		FIRST, DID I READ THAT CORRECTLY SO FAR?
14	А	YES.
15	Q	BUT GOING BACK A LINE, THE REFERENCE TO THE
16	FATHER,	RYAN MILLS, THAT'S CROSSED OUT?
17	А	YES.
18	Q	DID YOU DO THAT? DO YOU KNOW WHO DID?
19	А	NO.
20	Q	THEN THE SENTENCE OR THE PARAGRAPH CONTINUES
21	ON:	
22		"SUCH MEDICAL NEGLECT OF THE CHILD
23		ON THE PART OF THE PARENTS ENDANGERS
24		THE CHILD'S PHYSICAL AND EMOTIONAL
25		HEALTH AND SAFETY AND PLACES THE CHILD
26		AT RISK OF PHYSICAL AND EMOTIONAL HARM,
27		DAMAGE, DANGER, AND MEDICAL NEGLECT."
28		SO FAR DID I READ THAT RIGHT?

1	А	YES.
2	Q	THERE'S AN X THROUGH THAT ENTIRE PARAGRAPH.
3		DID YOU PUT THAT THERE?
4	А	NO.
5	Q	DO YOU KNOW WHO DID?
6	А	NO.
7	Q	TURNING OVER TO THE NEXT PAGE, NO. 11, AND IT
8	SAYS, "S	EVERE PHYSICAL ABUSE" THERE AT THE TOP OF THE
9	PAGE.	
10		DO YOU SEE THAT?
11	A	YES.
12	Q	THEN IN SMALL PRINT:
13		"THE CHILD IS UNDER THE AGE OF
14		FIVE AND HAS SUFFERED SEVERE PHYSICAL
15		ABUSE BY THE PARENT OR BY ANY PERSON
16		KNOWN BY THE PARENT AND THE PARENT KNEW
17		OR REASONABLY SHOULD HAVE KNOWN THAT
18		THE PERSON WAS PHYSICALLY ABUSING THE
19		CHILD."
20		FIRST, DID I READ THAT CORRECTLY?
21	А	YES.
22	Q	THEN THERE'S ONE OF THOSE PARENTHETICAL
23	STATEMEN	TS THAT SAYS STATE SUPPORTING FACTS?
24	A	YES.
25	Q	AND THEN UNDER E1, IT LOOKS LIKE IT'S THE
26	IDENTICA	L STATEMENT AS WE READ JUST A LITTLE EARLIER.
27		WOULD YOU AGREE WITH THAT?
28	А	YES. YEAH, YES.

```
AND THEN THAT WHOLE PARAGRAPH E1 ON PAGE 11,
1
      THAT'S GOT A BIG X THROUGH IT?
 3
          Α
               YES.
 4
          Q
               DID YOU DO THAT?
 5
          Α
               NO.
 6
          0
              DO YOU KNOW WHO DID?
 7
          Α
               NO.
             AND THIS CONVERSATION THAT YOU HAD WITH YOUR
 8
          0
9
      ATTORNEY, THAT HOUR AND A HALF OR SO, DID YOU DO SORT
10
      OF MORE OR LESS THE SAME THING WE JUST DID HERE, GOING
      THROUGH IT LINE-BY-LINE?
11
12
          Α
              YES.
13
          O AND AS YOU WENT THROUGH EACH LINE, DID YOU
14
      TAKE OUT -- OR TELL US WHAT YOU DID, AS YOU WENT
15
      THROUGH LINE-BY-LINE WITH YOUR ATTORNEY, WHAT DID YOU
16
      DO?
               I SHOWED HIM ALL OF THE EVIDENCE THAT I HAD AT
17
          Α
18
      THE TIME OF WHAT I DID TO CARE FOR RYAN. I BROUGHT
19
      MEDICAL RECORDS, WE WENT THROUGH SOME OF THE MEDICAL
20
      RECORDS. EVEN THROUGH THAT TIME, TO ME, THIS WAS LIKE
21
      SURREAL, A FOG, AND...
22
              IF YOU DON'T REMEMBER CLEARLY, THAT'S FINE.
23
      JUST LET ME KNOW.
24
               OBVIOUSLY, I DIDN'T AGREE WITH THE
25
      ALLEGATIONS, SO I WANTED TO CONTEST IT.
26
          Q
              OKAY. AND AT THE DETENTION HEARING, DID THE
2.7
      COURT SET ANY FURTHER HEARINGS IN THE CASE, IF YOU
28
      RECALL?
```

1	A YES.
2	Q WHAT WAS THE NEXT HEARING THAT YOU RECALL
3	BEING SET?
4	A THERE WAS A HEARING SET FOR JANUARY 4TH.
5	Q DO YOU RECALL WHAT HEARING THAT WAS WHAT
6	THE NAME OF IT IF YOU DON'T THAT'S FINE. I CAN HELP
7	YOU.
8	A I DO. IT WAS THE JURISDICTION HEARING.
9	Q OKAY. AND THEN THESE MONITORED VISITS THAT WE
10	WERE GOING THROUGH WITH MS. ENNIS'S NOTES, ALL THOSE
11	WERE GOING ON IN BETWEEN THE TWO HEARINGS?
12	A YES.
13	Q WHAT I'D LIKE TO DO, LET'S OKAY. IF I CAN
14	GET YOU TO TAKE A LOOK AT EXHIBIT NUMBERS 1088.35 OR
15	PAGE NUMBERS 1088.35 THROUGH AND INCLUDING 1088.41?
16	A IN THIS BINDER?
17	Q OH, I'M SORRY. IT'S THE ONE BEHIND YOU. I
18	SHOULD HAVE TOLD YOU THAT WE WERE SWITCHING BINDERS.
19	AND I DON'T NECESSARILY NEED YOU TO READ THOSE
20	IN DETAIL. I'M JUST GOING TO ASK YOU QUESTIONS ABOUT,
21	ACTUALLY, WHETHER THEY ARE TRUE, ACCURATE COPIES AND
22	DEPICTIONS OF THE MONITORING REPORTS THAT MS. ENNIS
23	PROVIDED TO YOU?
24	A OKAY.
25	Q OKAY. SO 1088.35, THAT'S THE DECEMBER 11TH
26	REPORT, IS THAT A TRUE AND ACCURATE DEPICTION OF WHAT
27	MS. ENNIS PROVIDED YOU?
2.8	A VES

OKAY. GOING TO THE NEXT ONE, 1088.36, IT'S 1 THE DECEMBER 15TH REPORT, IS THAT A TRUE AND ACCURATE 3 COPY AND DEPICTION OF THE REPORT THAT MS. ENNIS 4 PROVIDED TO YOU? 5 А YES. O OKAY. GOING TO 1088.37, THROUGH AND INCLUDING 6 7 1088.38, IS THAT A TRUE AND ACCURATE COPY AND DEPICTION 8 OF THE REPORT OF DECEMBER 22ND THAT MS. ENNIS PROVIDED 9 TO YOU? 10 Α YES. ALL RIGHT. THE NEXT ONE DECEMBER 24TH, IT'S 11 12 EXHIBIT NUMBER 1088.39. IS THAT A TRUE AND ACCURATE 13 COPY AND DEPICTION OF THE REPORT THAT MS. ENNIS 14 PROVIDED TO YOU? 15 Α YES. ALL RIGHT. AND THE LAST ONE DECEMBER 28TH, 16 17 EXHIBIT NUMBER 1088.40. IS THAT A TRUE AND ACCURATE 18 DEPICTION COPY OF THE REPORT THAT MS. ENNIS PROVIDED TO 19 YOU? 20 A YES. 21 YOU RECALL A LITTLE EARLIER, WE WERE TALKING 22 ABOUT HOW YOU'D COME TO LEARN ABOUT THE FACT THAT 23 SOMEBODY WAS GOING TO BE WRITING DOWN EVERYTHING THEY 24 SAW AT THESE VISITS. 25 DID ANYBODY EVER EXPRESS TO YOU OR TELL YOU THAT THESE REPORTS OR WHAT WOULD HAPPEN WITH THESE 26 2.7 REPORTS? 28 A I DON'T RECALL SOMEONE SPECIFICALLY SAYING

WHAT WILL BE THE END RESULT, THE REPORTS. 1 OKAY. WELL, THESE MONITORED VISITATION 3 REPORTS, DO YOU KNOW -- AND YOU MAY NOT KNOW -- YOU 4 KNOW WHETHER OR NOT THESE PARTICULAR REPORTS, NUMBERS 5 1088.28 ALL THE WAY THROUGH 1088.41, DO YOU KNOW 6 WHETHER OR NOT THOSE REPORTS WERE GIVEN TO THE COUNTY? 7 I DON'T KNOW IF IT WAS GIVEN TO THEM OR NOT. Α 8 I WAS UNDER THE UNDERSTANDING THAT THEY DID HAVE THEM 9 BECAUSE NORISSA WAS THE ONE DOING THE VISIT --10 MONITORING THE VISITATION, AND THEREFORE, THEY'VE GOT 11 TO HAVE THEIR REPORTS FOR THE VISITATION FOR THAT DAY. 12 SO THAT WAS ARRANGED AMONG THEM. IT WOULDN'T GO 1.3 THROUGH ME. 14 Q OKAY. SO I KNEW THAT THEY WERE DEALING WITH THAT, 15 BUT NOT THAT I WOULD GIVE IT TO THEM OR SHE WOULD GIVE 16 17 IT TO ME. Q THAT WASN'T YOUR RESPONSIBILITY? 18 19 THAT'S RIGHT, THAT'S NOT. 20 OKAY. OKAY. YOU'D TALKED A LITTLE BIT 21 EARLIER ABOUT YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT WAS GOING TO 22 HAPPEN DURING THIS REUNIFICATION PROCESS. 23 DO YOU REMEMBER THAT? 24 Α YES. 25 AND PART OF WHAT YOU'D SHARED WITH US WAS THAT 26 THESE MONITORED VISITS, OVER TIME, YOU THOUGHT THAT 2.7 THOSE WOULD EXPAND AND ULTIMATELY, YOU KNOW, GET LONGER 28 AND LONGER AND LARGER AND LARGER, THAT SORT OF THING?

MS. SWISS: OBJECTION. LEADING. 1 THE COURT: SUSTAINED. BY MR. MCMILLAN: 3 4 WHAT WAS YOUR EXPECTATION OR YOUR 5 UNDERSTANDING AS TO WHAT WAS TO HAPPEN OVER TIME WITH 6 RESPECT TO THE VISITATIONS THE MONITORED VISITATIONS? 7 MY UNDERSTANDING WAS THAT FROM THE PERIOD OF DETENTION TO THE 4TH, I HAD THAT GAP TO SHOW MY ABILITY 8 TO CARE FOR MY BABY, MY ABILITY TO PARENT MY BABY. 9 10 THEN THAT WILL BE GIVEN TO THE COURT AS EVIDENCE THAT THE ALLEGATIONS WERE NOT WHAT THEY WERE SAID TO BE. 11 12 O OKAY. BUT FOCUSING JUST FOR A MOMENT ON THE 1.3 LENGTH OF THESE MONITORED VISITS. THEY STARTED OUT AT 14 ONE AND A HALF HOURS; IS THAT RIGHT? 15 Α YES. DID YOU HAVE AN UNDERSTANDING THAT OVER TIME 16 17 THAT MIGHT CHANGE OR MAYBE NOT? WHAT WAS YOUR 18 UNDERSTANDING? 19 WELL, I WAS HOPING THAT AFTER JANUARY 4TH, I DIDN'T NEED TO BE MONITORED, I DIDN'T EVEN NEED TO BE 20 21 IN THIS SITUATION AT ALL. 22 OKAY. SO JANUARY 4TH, I THINK YOU TOLD US EARLIER, THAT WAS THE JURISDICTION DISPOSITION HEARING? 23 24 Α YES. 25 OKAY. AT THE JURISDICTION DISPOSITION HEARING -- WHICH BOOK DO YOU HAVE? IF YOU CAN JUST SET 26 2.7 THAT ONE ASIDE AND REACH BACK BEHIND YOU, THERE'S ANOTHER EXHIBIT BOOK. I'M HOPING THAT IT HAS 28

```
1
      EXHIBIT 24 IN IT. IF IT DOESN'T, LET ME KNOW.
2
         A I DON'T THINK SO. IT GOES ONLY TO 23.
3
         O I APOLOGIZE FOR THAT.
 4
              THE COURT: MR. MCMILLAN, IS THERE A SEPARATE
5
      BINDER FOR EXHIBIT 24 ONLY?
 6
              MR. MCMILLAN: YES, YOUR HONOR THAT IS --
7
              THE COURT: THAT'S GOOD. I WAS JUST TRYING TO
8
      FIND IT.
9
              MR. MCMILLAN: OKAY. EVERYBODY GOT IT?
10
              THE COURT: YES.
     BY MR. MCMILLAN:
11
12
         Q ALL RIGHT. MS. DUVAL, THE FIRST PAGE OF
     EXHIBIT 24 IS 000446 AND THE LAST PAGE IS 000816.
1.3
14
              DO YOU SEE THAT? ALL THE WAY AT THE VERY END,
15
      THE VERY LAST PAGE, UPPER RIGHT-HAND CORNER?
16
             YES.
         A
17
         O IS THAT RIGHT?
18
         A YES.
19
         O ALL RIGHT. WELL, LET ME ASK YOU: YOU WENT TO
20
     THAT JURISDICTION DISPOSITION HEARING?
21
         Α
              YES.
22
         O DID YOU HAVE AN ATTORNEY?
23
         A YES.
24
         O DID YOU MEET HIM BEFORE THE HEARING?
25
         Α
             ABOUT 15 MINUTES BEFORE.
26
             ABOUT 15 MINUTES BEFORE.
         Q
2.7
              SHARE WITH US THAT WHAT HAPPENED DURING THAT
28
      15-MINUTE MEETING.
```

1	А	WE JUST CHATTED QUICKLY, AND HE WENT BACK IN,
2	AND HE W	ILL GET ME WHENEVER WE WILL BE CALLED.
3	Q	OKAY. WHEN YOU CHATTED QUICKLY, WAS THAT OUT
4	IN THE H	ALLWAY BY THE COURTROOM THAT YOU WERE GOING TO
5	BE WORKI	NG IN?
6	А	YES.
7	Q	WHEN HE CAME OUT TO MEET YOU, DID HE HAVE A
8	STACK OF	PAPERS IN HIS HANDS?
9	А	YES. HE HAD JUST RECEIVED IT.
LO	Q	HE HAD JUST RECEIVED THE REPORT?
L1	А	YES.
L2	Q	DID HE TELL YOU WHO HE GOT THE REPORT FROM?
L3	А	I BELIEVE IT'S COUNTY COUNSEL.
L 4	Q	FROM COUNTY COUNSEL.
L5		DID HE TELL YOU WHEN IT WAS THAT HE RECEIVED
L 6	THAT REP	ORT?
L7	A	THAT MORNING.
L 8	Q	THAT MORNING?
L 9	A	WHEN HE GOT THERE.
20	Q	AND DID HE SIT DOWN WITH YOU AT ALL IN THAT
21	15 MINUT	ES AND SORT OF THUMB THROUGH THIS WITH YOU?
22		MS. SWISS: OBJECTION. LEADING.
23		THE WITNESS: NO.
24		THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
25		MR. MCMILLAN, LET ME SEE COUNSEL FOR JUST A
26	MOMENT.	
27		MR. MCMILLAN: SURE.
28		(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD AT

SIDEBAR.)

2.7

THE COURT: WE'RE AT SIDEBAR WITH COUNSEL.

MR. MCMILLAN, DURING MUCH OF THE TRIAL YOUR QUESTIONS

HAVE NOT ONLY BEEN LEADING THEY'RE REALLY ALMOST

TESTIMONIAL IN NATURE. AND MOST OF THEM HAVEN'T BEEN

OBJECTED TO AS LEADING, BUT THERE HAVE BEEN A FEW

OBJECTIONS WHICH I HAVE SUSTAINED. BUT I HAVE ASKED

YOU A COUPLE TIMES TO ASK DIRECT QUESTIONS, AND I'M

MAKING THAT REQUEST AGAIN AT SIDEBAR. I DON'T WANT TO

BE FORCED TO DO ANYTHING FURTHER IN FRONT OF THE JURY

TO REMIND YOU TO BE -- ASK DIRECT QUESTIONS BECAUSE WE

REALLY WANT THE WITNESS'S TESTIMONY.

MR. MCMILLAN: OKAY.

THE COURT: AND I KNOW IT'S -- THERE'S SOME
DIFFICULTY WITH ALL WITNESSES, NOT JUST THIS ONE. ALL
WITNESSES HAVE A LITTLE DIFFICULTY IN TESTIFYING FOR A
LOT OF DIFFERENT REASONS BECAUSE THEY AREN'T USED TO
THE MANNER IN WHICH WE PROCEED, PLUS THE FACT THERE'S A
CERTAIN NERVOUSNESS AND APPREHENSION ON THEIR PART.
BUT WE REALLY NEED TO HAVE HER TESTIMONY. AND WHILE
IT'S TRUE OF EVERY WITNESS, I THINK IT MAY BE EVEN MORE
IMPORTANT OF THIS WITNESS BECAUSE SHE IS REALLY THE
PRIMARY WITNESS ABOUT EVERYTHING THAT'S HAPPENED.

SO I'M GOING TO ASK YOU TO PLEASE ASK DIRECT QUESTIONS SO WE GET WHAT SHE HAS TO TELL US. AND THEN WE'LL ALL BE BETTER OFF FOR THAT.

MR. MCMILLAN: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. UNDERSTOOD.

THE COURT: YEAH. 1 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN 3 OPEN COURT IN THE PRESENCE OF THE 4 JURY.) 5 THE COURT: WE'RE GOING TO TAKE A SHORT RECESS 6 ALSO AT THIS TIME, AGAIN, ABOUT 10 MINUTES. THIS IS --7 WE'RE, AGAIN, GOING TO INTERPRET THE TESTIMONY OF 8 MS. DUVAL IN ORDER TO ACCOMMODATE THE SCHEDULE OF 9 ANOTHER WITNESS. SO I THINK JUST AS PART OF THE 10 CHANGEOVER AND TO GIVE THAT WITNESS A CHANCE TO COME 11 INTO THE COURTROOM, WE'LL JUST TAKE A SHORT RECESS, 12 ABOUT 10 MINUTES, AND THEN WE'RE EXPECTING THAT 13 WITNESS'S TESTIMONY WILL TAKE THE REMAINDER OF THE 14 MORNING. 15 SO ALL JURORS, PLEASE REMEMBER THE ADMONITION. ALSO REMEMBER THAT IT'S COMMON IN A TRIAL TO INTERRUPT 16 17 THE TESTIMONY OF ONE WITNESS TO HAVE ANOTHER ONE 18 TESTIFY. WE DO THAT TO ACCOMMODATE EVERYBODY AS BEST 19 WE CAN. YOU SHOULD NOT DRAW ANY KIND OF CONCLUSION OR 20 INFERENCE TO THE FACT THAT THIS OCCURS EITHER NOW OR AT 21 ANY OTHER TIME IN THE TRIAL. 22 ALSO PLEASE REMEMBER THE ADMONITION. WE ARE 23 NOW IN RECESS. IN 10 MINUTES, WE'LL RESUME. 24 (JURY EXCUSED) 25 (RECESS) 26 THE COURT: EVERYBODY READY? 2.7 MR. KING: YOUR HONOR? 28 THE COURT: GO AHEAD.

1	MR. KING: ONE ISSUE. MR. COX WAS GOING TO
2	MENTION JUST THE FACT THAT HE WAS LEAD COUNSEL IN THE
3	WALLACE CASE. I'VE RUN THAT PAST DEFENSE COUNSEL.
4	HE'S NOT GOING TO SAY ANYTHING MORE THAN THAT, BUT JUST
5	THAT HE WAS THE LEAD COUNSEL IN THAT CASE AS HE RUNS
6	THROUGH HIS BACKGROUND, TRAINING, AND EDUCATION.
7	MR. GUTERRES: I HAVE NO ISSUES IF HE WANTS TO
8	JUST SAY HE WAS LEAD COUNSEL. BUT I HAVE ISSUES IF
9	HE'S GOING TO START TALKING ABOUT WHAT HIS
10	INTERPRETATION IS OF THE CASE LAW AND WHAT IT MEANS.
11	MR. KING: OF COURSE HE'S NOT GOING TO DO
12	THAT.
13	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
14	MR. KING: THANK YOU.
15	THE COURT: SO IF YOU'RE READY, WE'LL GET THE
16	JURORS.
17	MR. KING: OKAY.
18	(JURY PRESENT)
19	THE COURT: EVERYONE MAY BE SEATED. WE'RE ON
20	THE RECORD. EVERYBODY IS PRESENT. AT THIS TIME,.
21	MR. KING, DO YOU WANT THE CALL THE NEXT
22	WITNESS?
23	MR. KING: YES, YOUR HONOR. WE'D LIKE TO CALL
24	MR. DONNIE COX.
25	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MR. COX COME UP HERE
26	PLEASE.
27	
28	DONNIE COX,

1	WAS CALLED AS A WITNESS AND, HAVING BEEN FIRST DULY
2	SWORN, WAS EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
3	
4	THE CLERK: FOR THE RECORD PLEASE STATE YOUR
5	NAME AND SPELL YOUR FIRST AND LAST NAME.
6	THE WITNESS: MY NAME IS DONNIE COX,
7	D-O-N-N-I-E, LAST NAME A C-O-X.
8	THE COURT: THANK YOU.
9	GO AHEAD, MR. KING.
10	
11	DIRECT EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. KING:
13	Q GOOD MORNING, MR. COX.
14	A GOOD MORNING.
15	Q WHAT'S YOUR OCCUPATION?
16	A I'M AN ATTORNEY.
17	Q WHAT TYPE OF LAW DO YOU PRACTICE?
18	A THE FOCUS OF MY PRACTICE IS CIVIL RIGHTS LAW.
19	Q IS THERE ANY PARTICULAR SPECIALTY WITHIN THE
20	AREA OF CIVIL RIGHTS THAT YOU FOCUS ON?
21	A YES, I REPRESENT PEOPLE WHO HAVE THEIR
22	CHILDREN REMOVED BY GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES INCLUDING
23	CHILD PROTECTIVE SERVICES AND THEIR RIGHTS ARE VIOLATED
24	AS A RESULT OF THAT.
25	Q WHAT TYPE RIGHTS ARE WE SPEAKING OF?
26	A TALKING USUALLY OF THE 4TH AND THE 14TH
27	AMENDMENT. THE 14TH AMENDMENT USUALLY RELATES TO THE
28	PARENTAL RIGHTS, THE RIGHTS THAT PARENTS HAVE TO

FAMILIAL ASSOCIATION WITH THEIR CHILDREN. AND THE 4TH 1 2 AMENDMENT USUALLY APPLIES WITH REGARD TO THE CHILDREN 3 THEMSELVES WHO HAVE BEEN DETAINED OR REMOVED WITHOUT JUST CAUSE. 4 5 CAN YOU TELL US A LITTLE BIT ABOUT YOUR 6 BACKGROUND AND EDUCATION IN THIS AREA? 7 A I WENT TO WESTERN STATE UNIVERSITY. I GRADUATED IN 1988. I HAD PRACTICED JUST GENERAL -- HAD 8 9 A GENERAL PRACTICE UNTIL 1990, AND IN 1990, 1991, I WAS 10 THE LEAD COUNSEL IN WALLACE VERSUS SPENCER. 11 I HAVE, SINCE 2000, PRACTICED ALMOST 12 EXCLUSIVELY IN THE FIELD OF CIVIL RIGHTS LAW INVOLVING 13 THE PARENTAL AND CHILDREN'S RIGHTS BEING VIOLATED BY 14 SOCIAL SERVICES, LAW ENFORCEMENT, THAT SORT OF THING. 15 HAVE YOU DONE ANY TRAINING -- GIVEN ANY TRAINING OR ANY SEMINARS IN THIS AREA? 16 17 I HAVE. I HAVE SPOKEN TO AND GIVEN SEMINARS Α TO THE DEPARTMENT OF -- EXCUSE ME. TO THE DEPARTMENT 18 19 OF SOCIAL SERVICES IN SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY AND TWO OR 20 THREE OTHER SMALL COUNTIES IN CENTRAL CALIFORNIA AS A 21 RESULT OF CLAIMS THAT WERE FILED BY MY CLIENTS, AND 22 THOSE CLAIMS RESOLVED. AS A RESULT OF THAT, I WAS 23 ASKED TO NOT ONLY PARTICIPATE AND -- IN THE TRAINING OF SOCIAL WORKERS, I WAS ALSO ASKED TO PARTICIPATE IN THE 24 25 WRITING OF POLICIES AND PROCEDURES INCLUDING THE POLICIES AND PROCEDURES WHICH RESULT IN THE REMOVAL AND 26

Q THE TRAINING THAT YOU'VE GIVEN WITH REGARDS TO

2.7

28

DETENTION OF CHILDREN.

REMOVAL OR DETENTION OF CHILDREN AS IT PERTAINS TO 1 2 SOCIAL WORKERS, DOES THAT ALSO ENCOMPASS THE LOS 3 ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES? 4 THE TRAINING WOULD BE RELEVANT TO EVERY COUNTY IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. CALIFORNIA IS GOVERNED BY 5 6 THE WELFARE AND INSTITUTION CODE. THE WELFARE AND 7 INSTITUTION CODE DETERMINES WHEN CHILDREN CAN BE REMOVED. THE LAWS THAT DEAL WITH HOW THOSE CHILDREN 8 9 CAN BE REMOVED ARE PREDICATED ON AND LIMITED BY THE 4TH 10 AND 14TH AMENDMENT OF THE CONSTITUTION, WHICH APPLIES TO EVERY COUNTY IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. 11 12 IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, HAVE YOU EVER 13 BROUGHT IN CLAIMS ON BEHALF OF A CLIENT FOR THE REMOVAL 14 OF A CHILD FROM THE HOME WITHOUT EXIGENCY? 15 Α I HAVE BROUGHT PROBABLY 15 TO 18 CLAIMS. WHAT ABOUT WITH RESPECT TO FABRICATION OF 16 17 DOCUMENTS BY A WORKER FROM THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY 18 CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES? 19 Α IN EVERY SINGLE CASE IN WHICH I HAVE BEEN INVOLVED, THAT HAS BEEN THE CRUX OF THE ALLEGATIONS 20 21 THAT BE HAVE BEEN PART OF THAT. 22 WE'VE BEEN TALKING ABOUT JUDICIAL DECEPTION. 23 HAVE YOU BROUGHT ANY CLAIMS OF JUDICIAL DECEPTION 24 AGAINST THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES? 25 FABRICATION OF CLAIMS AND JUDICIAL DECEPTION Α GO HAND IN HAND. ANY TIME THERE'S A FABRICATION OF 26 2.7 EVIDENCE OR FABRICATION IN DOCUMENTS, IT ALWAYS 28 INVOLVES AN ATTEMPT OR CLAIM THAT THERE WAS JUDICIAL

DECEPTION INVOLVED.

2.7

Q WHAT ABOUT FAILURE TO INCLUDE EXCULPATORY
EVIDENCE IN REPORTS, WHERE WOULD THAT FALL IN WITH
REGARDS TO THE TYPE OF CLAIMS THAT YOU'VE HANDLED IN
LOS ANGELES COUNTY?

A AGAIN, PART AND PARCEL. ANY TIME THAT THERE'S AN ALLEGATION IN EVERY CLAIM THAT WE'VE EVER BROUGHT, WE HAVE ALWAYS LOOKED AT AND EVALUATED TO DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT THERE WAS AN ATTEMPT TO FABRICATE EVIDENCE, TO DECEIVE THE COURT, TO MISREPRESENT FACTS. AND THAT IS THE CRUX OF WHAT I DO. I OFTEN SAY THAT I DON'T FILE CLAIMS AGAINST SOCIAL WORKERS FOR MAKING MISTAKES. I FILE CLAIMS AGAINST SOCIAL WORKERS FOR MISREPRESENTING FACTS, LYING, AND FOR BEING MALICIOUS. THAT'S THE CRUX OF WHAT I DO.

- Q HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN BRINGING CLAIMS IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY OF THIS TYPE OF NATURE?
 - A THE FIRST CLAIM THAT WE BROUGHT WAS IN 2001.
 - O WHERE IS YOUR OFFICE LOCATED?
- A OCEANSIDE, CALIFORNIA.
- Q HOW DO YOUR CLIENTS FIND YOU?

A I DON'T ADVERTISE. I DON'T HAVE A WEB SITE.

MOST OF MY CLIENTS FIND ME AS A RESULT OF -- STARTED

WITH THE WALLACE CASE. PEOPLE FOUND THAT ONLINE AND

THEY WOULD CONTACT ME, AND WE WOULD EVALUATE THEIR

CLAIMS. IN OUR OFFICE, WE GET SOMEWHERE BETWEEN 10 AND

15 CALLS A WEEK FOR -- TO EVALUATE CLAIMS THAT ARE THAT

PEOPLE WANT US TO LOOK AT. AND WE TAKE ABOUT 1 IN 750

CASES THAT COMES THROUGH OUR OFFICE.

2.7

Q IS THERE A REASON YOU ONLY TAKE A SELECT NUMBER OF CASES?

A YEAH, THERE ARE MANY REASONS. ONE OF THE REASONS IS, IS THAT WE ONLY TAKE CLAIMS WHEN WE THINK THAT SOCIAL WORKERS HAVE MISREPRESENTED FACTS, FALSIFIED EVIDENCE, FAILED TO INCLUDE EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE IN THEIR CLAIMS, OR THERE'S BEEN A MALICIOUS ALLEGATION MADE. AND THE OTHER REASON THAT WE TAKE SO FEW CLAIMS IS THAT THERE ARE ONLY TWO OF US, AND THERE ARE VERY FEW LAWYERS IN THIS STATE WHO PRACTICE THIS KIND OF LAW. IT'S A VERY SPECIALIZED KIND OF CIVIL RIGHTS PRACTICE, AND WE CAN'T TAKE MORE CLAIMS THAN THAT WITHOUT, YOU KNOW, IT AFFECTING THE CLAIMS THAT WE ACTUALLY HAVE.

SO WE HAVE TO MAKE SURE THAT WE DON'T GET

OURSELVES OVERLOADED. BUT I WILL SAY THAT THAT DOES

NOT MEAN THAT -- DOES NOT MEAN THAT WE DON'T TAKE

CLAIMS THAT ARE NOT VALID. THERE ARE MANY TIMES WHEN

PEOPLE COME TO US, AND WE'LL REVIEW THOSE CLAIMS, AND

WE'LL DETERMINE THAT THEY MIGHT HAVE A VALID CLAIM, BUT

WE JUST CAN'T TAKE MORE THAN WHAT WE CAN HANDLE IN THE

OFFICE. AND THAT HAPPENS QUITE A BIT.

Q WHAT WOULD YOU CONSIDER TO BE A VALID CLAIM?

A A VALID CLAIM IS, IN OUR OPINION, IS WHEN A SOCIAL WORKER MISREPRESENTS FACTS, FAILS TO INCLUDE EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE IN A COMPLAINT, LEAVES OUT MATERIAL EVIDENCE IN EITHER A WARRANT OR IN A DOCUMENT

LIKE A DETENTION REPORT, A JURISDICTIONAL DISPOSITIONAL REPORT, HAS FABRICATED EVIDENCE IN A TESTIMONY IN FRONT OF A JUVENILE COURT SYSTEM. AND WE SEE THAT QUITE A BIT.

2.7

AND WHEN WE SEE SOCIAL WORKERS THAT MAKE

ALLEGATIONS SIMPLY AS A RESULT OF BEING UPSET WITH A

PARENT FOR A REASON OTHER THAN THE FACT THAT THE PARENT

MAY HAVE ABUSED OR NEGLECTED THEIR CHILD, WE SEE THAT

MORE OFTEN THAN YOU WOULD THINK.

Q IN YOUR YEARS OF BRINGING THESE TYPE OF CLAIMS
TO THE ATTENTION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND
FAMILY SERVICES, SPECIFICALLY LOS ANGELES COUNTY, HAVE
YOU BECOME FAMILIAR WITH SOME OF THE POLICIES OF THE
DEPARTMENT WITH REGARDS TO THE REMOVAL OF CHILDREN FROM
THE HOME WITHOUT A WARRANT?

A I HAVE. PART OF WHAT WE DO WHEN WE FILE
CLAIMS IS THAT WE TAKE STATEMENTS FROM WHAT ARE KNOWN
AS THE PERSONS MOST KNOWLEDGEABLE. THESE ARE PEOPLE
WHO ARE DESIGNATED BY THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES AS THE
PEOPLE WHO HAVE THE MOST KNOWLEDGE ABOUT THE POLICIES,
PRACTICES, AND PROCEDURES OF THE COUNTY WITH REGARD TO
WHATEVER ISSUE WE MIGHT BE INVOLVED IN.

AND PART OF THAT PROCESS IS IN LOS ANGELES, WE HAVE -- IN THE CLAIMS WE'VE FILED IN LOS ANGELES, WE HAVE TAKEN NUMEROUS POLICY AND PRACTICE -- FOR -- THE SHORTENED TERM IS PMQ, PERSON MOST QUALIFIED. WE'VE TAKEN MANY PMQ DEPOSITIONS IN LOS ANGELES.

Q AND THIS PERSON, A PERSON MOST QUALIFIED, ARE

THEY SOMEONE THAT'S DESIGNATED BY THE COUNTY AS THE 1 2 PERSON MOST QUALIFIED IN THIS AREA? 3 CORRECT. AND WE'LL TELL THE COUNTY THAT WE 4 WANT THEM TO PRODUCE SOMEBODY WHO HAS SPECIFIC 5 KNOWLEDGE AND THE MOST KNOWLEDGEABLE PERSON ABOUT 6 WHATEVER POLICY OR PRACTICE THAT WE WANT THEM TO 7 TESTIFY ABOUT. AND THEY MAKE THE DECISION ABOUT WHO 8 THEY PUT UP AS THAT PMO. Q AND WHY --9 10 MR. GUTERRES: OBJECTION. MOVE TO STRIKE AFTER "CORRECT" AS NONRESPONSIVE. 11 12 THE COURT: THE UNDERLYING OBJECTION IS 1.3 SUSTAINED. MOTION TO STRIKE A GRANTED. ALL PORTIONS 14 OF THE ANSWER AFTER THE WORD CORRECT ARE ORDERED 15 STRICKEN. 16 GO AHEAD. MR. KING: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 17 18 BY MR. KING: 19 WHY IS IT IMPORTANT AFTER PUTTING THE COUNTY 20 ON NOTICE OF THE TYPES OF CLAIMS THAT YOU'RE BRINGING 21 AGAINST THEM TO ACTUALLY SPEAK TO A PERSON MOST 22 OUALIFIED? MR. GUTERRES: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE. 23 24 THE COURT: OVERRULED. 25 THE WITNESS: IT'S IMPORTANT BECAUSE WE WANT TO SEE WHAT THE COUNTY'S POLICIES ARE AND WHETHER THOSE 26 2.7 POLICIES LINE UP WITH THE ACTIONS OF THE SOCIAL 28 WORKERS. SO WE'RE TRYING TO DETERMINE WHETHER IT IS

JUST A ROGUE SOCIAL WORKER THAT HAS CREATED THE 1 PROBLEM, THE COUNTY'S POLICIES HAVE CREATED THE 2 3 PROBLEM, OR A COMBINATION OF BOTH. 4 BY MR. KING: 5 O AND AFTER YOU INITIALLY BRING A CLAIM, SAY, 6 AGAINST A SPECIFIC SOCIAL WORKER, ARE YOU MAYBE AT A 7 LATER DATE ABLE TO FIND OUT INFORMATION THROUGH A PERSON MOST QUALIFIED AS TO WHETHER ANY TRAINING OR 8 9 DISCIPLINE WAS IMPLEMENTED ON THE PERSON THAT YOU HAD 10 PREVIOUSLY BROUGHT A CLAIM AGAINST? 11 MR. GUTERRES: OBJECTION. LEADING. 12 THE COURT: SUSTAINED. ASK THAT DIFFERENTLY. 13 MR. KING: SURE. 14 BY MR. KING: 15 IN YOUR DISCUSSIONS WITH THE PERSON MOST 16 QUALIFIED, DO YOU EVER DISCUSS DISCIPLINE OR TRAINING? 17 A WE DO. Q TELL US ABOUT THAT. 18 19 WE USUALLY -- NOT USUALLY. EVERY SINGLE TIME, 20 WE WANT TO KNOW WHAT KIND OF REMEDIAL ACTION IS BEING 21 TAKEN AGAINST THE INDIVIDUALS WHO HAVE, IN OUR OPINION, 22 HAVE VIOLATED OUR CLIENT'S CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS. SO 23 WE WILL ASK IN EVERY CASE, "WAS THERE ANY DISCIPLINE 24 TAKEN AFTER THE CHILD WAS RETURNED OR AFTER THE CASE 25 WAS OVER?" AFTER WE FILED THE CLAIM, "DID YOU DO AN INVESTIGATION TO MAKE A DETERMINATION AS TO WHETHER 26 2.7 THERE WAS ANYTHING THAT WAS DONE IN THIS CASE THAT 28 WARRANTED AN INVESTIGATION AND WARRANTED SOME SORT OF

DISCIPLINE?" 1 2 AND IT CAN BE ANYTHING FROM JUST A COUNSELING 3 SESSION TO RETRAINING TO TERMINATION. BUT IN -- THERE 4 HAS NOT BEEN ONE SINGLE CASE IN ANY OF THE CLAIMS THAT 5 WE'VE FILED AGAINST LOS ANGELES WHERE WE HAVE EVER BEEN 6 TOLD -- AND WE'VE ASKED IN EVERY CASE WHETHER OR NOT 7 THE COUNTY HAS DISCIPLINED OR COUNSELED OR RETRAINED --WE'VE NEVER HAD ANY PMO EVER TELL US THAT THERE HAS 8 9 BEEN SUCH DISCIPLINE, RETRAINING, OR COUNSELING. 10 SO IN ALL THE YEARS OF BRINGING CLAIMS AGAINST LOS ANGELES COUNTY, YOU HAVE NEVER SUBSEQUENTLY LEARNED 11 12 THAT THERE WAS ANY TRAINING OR DISCIPLINE IMPOSED 13 AGAINST A SOCIAL WORKER FOR WHOM YOU ASSERTED A CLAIM 14 AGAINST? 15 Α THAT'S RIGHT --MR. GUTERRES: OBJECTION. LEADING. 16 17 THE COURT: SUSTAINED. BUT HE JUST ANSWERED 18 THAT, SO WE'LL JUST MOVED ON TO THE NEXT ONE. MR. KING: OKAY. THANK YOU. 19 20 BY MR. KING: 21 ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE SUPERVISING SOCIAL Q 22 WORKER, I BELIEVE SHE'S A DEPENDENCY INVESTIGATOR NOW 23 BY THE NAME OF KIMBERLY ROGERS? 24 Α I AM. 25 HAVE YOU EVER ASSERTED A CLAIM AGAINST HER IN THE PAST? 26 2.7 A WE HAVE. 28 Q HAVE YOU EVER LEARNED FROM A PERSON MOST

QUALIFIED OR A PERSON MOST KNOWLEDGEABLE AFTER FILING
YOUR INITIAL CLAIM WHETHER OR NOT ANY DISCIPLINE HAS
BEEN IMPOSED UPON MS. ROGERS?

2.7

A AS FAR AS I KNOW, THERE WAS NEVER BEEN ANY DISCIPLINE IMPOSED.

Q CAN YOU TELL US A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THE NATURE

OF THE CLAIMS THAT YOU HAVE BROUGHT AGAINST LOS ANGELES

COUNTY, LET'S SAY, IN THE AREA OF JUDICIAL DECEPTION OR

FABRICATION OF DOCUMENTS?

A WELL, GENERALLY SPEAKING, WHERE WE GET
INVOLVED IS IT STARTS WITH THE INITIAL REMOVAL AND
DETENTION THAT WOULD OCCUR AS A RESULT OF SOCIAL
WORKERS THINKING THAT THEY NEEDED TO TAKE A CHILD OUT
OF THE HOUSE. AND IN ORDER TO JUSTIFY THAT, THEY WILL
THEN FILE DOCUMENTS WITH THE JUVENILE COURT SAYING THAT
THEY TOOK THE CHILD FOR X, Y, AND Z REASONS.

THOSE CLAIMS ARE GENERALLY -- AND AGAIN, ONCE
WE REVIEW THE CLAIMS -- WE DON'T JUST TAKE SOMEBODY'S
WORD FOR IT, WE REVIEW THE CLAIMS, WE LOOK AT THEM, WE
EVALUATE THEM, WE ASK QUESTIONS, WE REVIEW AS MANY
DOCUMENTS AS WE CAN GET AS TO -- WE CAN GET EARLY IN
THESE CASES -- AND IT'S HARD TO GET DOCUMENTS EARLY IN
THESE CASES -- AND WE TRY TO DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT
SOMEBODY HAS MISREPRESENTED A FACT, FALSIFIED EVIDENCE,
YOU KNOW, DONE SOMETHING THAT IS, YOU KNOW, A VIOLATION
OF YOUR CLIENT'S SUBSTANTIVE AND PROCEDURAL DUE PROCESS
RIGHTS.

Q WHAT WOULD BE AN EXAMPLE OF A PROCEDURAL DUE

PROCESS VIOLATION? 1 PROCEDURAL DUE PROCESS --3 MR. GUTERRES: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE. OVERBROAD. 4 5 THE COURT: OVERRULED. 6 THE WITNESS: PROCEDURAL DUE PROCESS RIGHT IS 7 WHEN SOMEONE IS NOT GIVEN THE RIGHT TO A HEARING, A JUDICIAL HEARING. YOU KNOW, THERE'S AN EXTRA JUDICIAL 8 9 SORT OF PROCEDURE THAT YOU DON'T GET A -- YOU'RE NOT 10 GIVEN YOUR ACTUAL DUE PROCESS TO GO IN COURT AND HEAR 11 FROM A JUDGE OR FROM SOME SORT OF A MAGISTRATE. 12 AND SUBSTANTIVE DUE PROCESS IS WHEN YOUR 1.3 ACTUAL CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS THEMSELVES ARE VIOLATED. 14 THAT'S THE 4TH AND 14TH AMENDMENT. THAT'S THE 15 INTERFERENCE WITH FAMILIAL ASSOCIATION. AND GENERALLY, ALL OF OUR CLAIMS INVOLVE FAMILIAL ASSOCIATION CLAIMS, 16 17 THE RIGHT OF PARENTS AND CHILDREN TO LIVE TOGETHER, 18 PARENTS TO MAKE DECISIONS FOR THEIR CHILDREN, AND 19 CHILDREN TO -- THE CONCURRENT RIGHT FOR THE CHILD TO 20 HAVE A PARENT MAKE THAT DECISION FOR THEM. 21 BY MR. KING: 22 BASED OFF THE CASES THAT YOU'VE HANDLED, DOES 23 A SOCIAL WORKER HAVE ANY OPTIONS PRIOR TO MAKING THE 24 DETERMINATION OF REMOVING THE CHILD FROM THE HOME? 25 Α OF COURSE. WHAT ARE THEY? 26 2.7 WELL, YOU KNOW, THEY CAN -- IF THE ALLEGATION 28 IS THAT THE PARENTS' FAILING TO -- THERE'S A -- STRIKE

THAT.

2.7

THERE'S A -- IF THE ALLEGATION IS THAT
THERE'S AN ABUSIVE SPOUSE, FOR INSTANCE, YOU CAN
EXCLUDE THE SPOUSE. IF THE ALLEGATION IS THAT YOU
HAVE -- THE CHILD HASN'T GONE TO THE DOCTOR, YOU CAN
HAVE THE CHILD GO TO THE DOCTOR, ASK THEM TO TAKE THE
CHILD TO THE DOCTOR. IF -- AND IF THERE'S NOT AN
EXIGENT CIRCUMSTANCE, AND YOU DECIDE THAT THAT CHILD
STILL NEEDS TO BE PART OF THE SYSTEM, YOU CAN DO TWO
THINGS: YOU CAN EITHER GET A PROTECTIVE CUSTODY
WARRANT, WHICH, YOU KNOW, IS JUST FILLING OUT A FORM
AND SUBMITTING IT TO THE COURT WITH ALL THE RELEVANT
FACTS, OR YOU CAN FILE A NONDETENTION PETITION.

AND IT'S BEEN OUR EXPERIENCE, BASED ON WHAT WE'VE BEEN TOLD BY PMQS, THAT YOU CAN GET INTO COURT WITHIN 24 HOURS AND ASK THE COURT TO INTERJECT ITSELF, TO INTERVENE IN THESE CASES WITHOUT REMOVING THE CHILD. SO IT'S -- REMOVING THE CHILD SHOULD BE THE LAST RESORT, AND THERE'S PLENTY OF CASE LAW ON THAT.

- Q MR. COX, IF YOU COULD MAYBE PULL THE MICROPHONE A LITTLE CLOSER.
 - A SORRY ABOUT THAT.
 - O I THINK IT MIGHT JUST BE MY EARS.

WE TALKED ABOUT A NONDETAINED PETITION. IS

THAT THE PROCESS WHERE THE SOCIAL WORKER GOES AND ASKS

FOR A WARRANT, OR IS THAT SOMETHING DIFFERENT?

A IT'S --

MR. GUTERRES: OBJECTION. LEADING.

THE COURT: OVERRULED.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2.7

28

THE WITNESS: IT'S SOMETHING SLIGHTLY DIFFERENT. THERE'S TWO WAYS THAT A SOCIAL WORKER CAN ACTUALLY INTERVENE SHORT OF REMOVING A CHILD. FIRST IS TO GO AND ASK THE COURT TO INTERVENE BECAUSE THEY HAVE CONCERNS ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT THE CHILD IS SAFE IN THE HOME. BUT THERE'S NO EXIGENT CIRCUMSTANCES, THERE'S NO EMERGENCY. THAT'S A SITUATION WHERE IT'S WHAT IS REFERRED TO AS AN EX PARTE SITUATION WHERE THE PARENT DOESN'T HAVE A RIGHT TO BE PRESENT AT THAT HEARING. SO THEY SUBMIT A DOCUMENT, THEY SAY THIS IS THE REASON WE WANT THE CHILD REMOVED. IT'S IMPORTANT THAT ALL EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE, THAT ALL RELEVANT EVIDENCE BE PUT INTO THAT PROTECTIVE CUSTODY WARRANT BECAUSE THE PARENT DOESN'T HAVE A RIGHT TO RESPOND.

THE OTHER WAY THAT YOU CAN DO IT IS YOU CAN ACTUALLY FILE A PETITION, HAND THAT PETITION TO THE PARENT, AND SAY YOU'RE TO BE IN COURT TOMORROW, THE NEXT DAY, WHENEVER THAT MIGHT BE, AND THEN YOU AND THE PARENT CAN TELL THE JUDGE WHY IT IS THAT YOU WANT THE -- THE SOCIAL WORKER CAN TELL THE JUDGE THIS IS WHY I THINK THE CHILD SHOULD BE REMOVED, BUT THE PARENT HAS A CHANCE TO THEN RESPOND TO THAT. THEY CAN BRING AN ATTORNEY WITH THEM, THEY CAN MAKE WHATEVER ARGUMENTS THEY WANT TO MAKE.

AND IT'S MUCH, MUCH MORE DIFFICULT TO -- IT'S MUCH MORE DIFFICULT FOR A PARENT, ONCE THAT CHILD HAS

```
BEEN REMOVED, TO GET THAT CHILD BACK THAN IT IS FOR THE
1
2
      SOCIAL WORKER TO REMOVE THE CHILD THAN IT IS TO WHEN
3
      THEY GO INTO COURT BEFORE THE CHILD IS REMOVED.
 4
               MR. GUTERRES: MOVE TO STRIKE THE ANSWER THAT
      PRECEDED "THE OTHER WAY IS THE NONDETAINED" -- "IS TO
5
      FILE A PETITION" AS NONRESPONSIVE.
6
7
               THE COURT: STRIKE AS TO WHAT, MR. GUTERRES?
               MR. GUTERRES: EVERYTHING THAT PRECEDED THE
8
9
      ANSWER WHERE MR. COX INDICATED "THE OTHER WAY WAS TO
10
      FILE A PETITION," AND THEN CONTINUED FROM THERE.
11
               THE COURT: MOTION TO STRIKE IS GRANTED.
12
      PORTION OF THE ANSWER THAT BEGAN WITH THE OTHER WAY YOU
13
      CAN DO IT IS TO FILE A PETITION ET CETERA IS ORDERED
14
      STRICKEN. THE JURY DISREGARD IT.
15
               MR. KING: THANK YOU.
               THE COURT: MR. COX, ANSWER OUR QUESTIONS.
16
17
               THE WITNESS: YES, SIR. SORRY.
18
               THE COURT: YOU'VE ASKED JUDGES TO SO RULE ON
19
      MANY OCCASIONS, I'M SURE.
20
               THE WITNESS: I HAVE, YOUR HONOR.
21
               THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. I RECEIVED THAT SAME
22
      ADMONITION YEARS AGO WHEN I WAS CALLED AS A WITNESS IN
23
      A CASE. A JUDGE I KNEW, AND I HAD ANSWERED ABOUT TWO
24
      OR THREE QUESTIONS, AND HE INTERRUPTED AND SAID ANSWER
25
      THE QUESTION.
26
               THE WITNESS: I'M SORRY, YOUR HONOR.
2.7
              THE COURT: THAT'S ALL RIGHT.
      ///
28
```

1	BY MR. KING:
2	Q IN THE NONDETAINED PETITION SCENARIO YOU JUST
3	MENTIONED, DOES THE CHILD GET TO REMAIN WITH THE PARENT
4	WHILE THE PAPERWORK IS FILED WITH THE COURT?
5	A CORRECT.
6	Q AND THEN A HEARING IS SET AFTER THAT?
7	A CORRECT.
8	Q AND THESE ARE ONE OF THE ALTERNATIVES THAT THE
9	SOCIAL WORKER WOULD HAVE RATHER THAN REMOVING THE CHILD
10	FROM THE HOME IS THE NONDETAINED PETITION AND THEN ALSO
11	SEEKING A PROTECTIVE CUSTODY
12	MR. GUTERRES: OBJECTION. ASKED AND ANSWERED.
13	THE COURT: IT HAS BEEN. WE'LL ANSWER IT ONE
14	MORE TIME.
15	OVERRULED.
16	THE WITNESS: THAT'S CORRECT.
17	BY MR. KING:
18	Q AND IN YOUR EXPERIENCE IN BRINGING CLAIMS
19	AGAINST THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, DO YOU SEE IT HAPPEN
20	MORE OFTEN ONE WAY VERSUS THE OTHERS?
21	A I HAVE NEVER SEEN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES IN
22	ANY OF THE CASES I'VE BEEN INVOLVED WITH OR ANY OF THE
23	CASES THAT I HAVE REVIEWED AND CONSIDERED WHERE A
24	NONDETAINED PETITION WAS FILED FIRST. AND UP UNTIL
25	WELL, I'LL STOP THERE.
26	Q BASED ON YOUR EXPERIENCE IN YEARS OF
27	LITIGATION OR YEARS OF STRIKE THAT.
28	BASED ON YOUR YEARS OF NOTIFYING THE COUNTY OF

1	CINIMO OF DEMOVAL FROM HOMEO OF CHILDREN HAVE VOILEVER
	CLAIMS OF REMOVAL FROM HOMES OF CHILDREN, HAVE YOU EVER
2	COME TO LEARN WHETHER OR NOT THE POLICY OF THE
3	LOS ANGELES COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF FAMILY SERVICES,
4	THERE'S ACTUALLY A PROCEDURE FOR SEEING A PROTECTIVE
5	CUSTODY WARRANT?
6	A UP UNTIL 2010, THERE WAS NO SUCH PROCEDURE.
7	Q SO YOU STARTED DOING THIS AGAINST LOS ANGELES
8	COUNTY SOMEWHERE IN 1999; IS THAT CORRECT?
9	A 2001 IS WHEN THE FIRST CLAIM WAS FILED.
LO	Q 2001.
L1	AND UP UNTIL THE YEAR 2010, YOU NEVER LEARNED
L2	THERE WAS A POLICY THAT WAS INTACT WITH REGARDS TO
L3	SEEKING PROTECTIVE CUSTODY WARRANTS?
L 4	MR. GUTERRES: OBJECTION. LEADING.
L5	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
L 6	BY MR. KING:
L7	Q BASED ON YOUR DISCUSSIONS WITH PERSON MOST
L 8	QUALIFIED IN THIS AREA, DID YOU COME TO ANY SORT OF
L 9	UNDERSTANDING AS TO WHY IT WAS THAT IN 2010 THERE WAS A
20	POLICY IMPLEMENTED WITH REGARDS TO SEEKING PROTECTIVE
21	CUSTODY WARRANTS?
22	MR. GUTERRES: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE.
23	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
24	BY MR. KING:
25	Q WHAT HAS BEEN YOUR EXPERIENCE WITH REGARDS TO
26	THE FAILURE TO EXCLUDE OR FAILURE TO INCLUDE
27	EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE IN REPORTS BY SOCIAL WORKERS
28	AGAINST CLAIMS THAT YOU HAVE MADE AGAINST THE

LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES? 1 2 MR. GUTERRES: OBJECTION. OVERBROAD CALLS FOR 3 A NARRATIVE. 4 THE COURT: SUSTAINED. YOU CAN ASK THAT DIFFERENTLY. 5 6 BY MR. KING: 7 O CAN YOU TELL US ONE SUCH EXAMPLE OF CLAIMS WHERE THERE HAS BEEN A FAILURE TO INCLUDE EXCULPATORY 8 9 EVIDENCE IN REPORTS SUBMITTED BY SOCIAL WORKERS FROM 10 THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF FAMILY SERVICES? 11 I CAN. ONE OF THE CLAIMS THAT WE FILED HAD TO 12 DO WITH A WARRANT APPLICATION THAT -- STRIKE THAT. 13 A REQUEST BY THE DEPARTMENT TO -- FROM MY 14 CLIENT TO SEEK A PROTECTIVE ORDER FOR HERSELF AND HER 15 CHILD. SHE DID THAT. SHE ATTEMPTED TO SERVE THE PROTECTIVE ORDER AGAINST THE FATHER OF THE CHILD. AND 16 17 WHEN IT CAME TIME TO FILE THE PETITION, THE SOCIAL 18 WORKERS COMPLETELY IGNORED AND COMPLETELY EXCLUDED THAT 19 FACT FROM THE PETITION AT THE TIME BEFORE THE DETENTION 20 HEARING. 21 THE CHILD HAD ALREADY BEEN REMOVED BY THE 22 SOCIAL WORKER AT THAT POINT, AND SHE WAS REMOVED, AND 23 THE CHILD WAS REMOVED BECAUSE THEY CLAIMED THAT MY 24 CLIENT FAILED TO SERVE THE DOCUMENT AND EVEN THOUGH SHE 25 HAD ATTEMPTED TO DO THAT. BUT SHE DID ACTUALLY GO OUT 26 AND GET A STAY AWAY ORDER FOR THE DAD. AND THE 2.7 DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES COMPLETELY IGNORED THAT,

DIDN'T PUT IT IN THE REPORT, AND NEVER TOLD THE COURT

28

ABOUT IT. THEY DIDN'T FIND OUT ABOUT IT UNTIL THE 1 2 DETENTION HEARING ITSELF. 3 WAS THAT A MATERIAL FACT THAT WAS OMITTED? IT WAS THE MOST MATERIAL FACT THAT YOU COULD 4 5 POSSIBLY HAVE. 6 Q. WHY DO YOU SAY THAT? 7 A BECAUSE IT WAS THE THING THEY CLAIMED PUT THE CHILD AT RISK AND REOUIRED THE CHILD TO BE REMOVED 8 9 IMMEDIATELY BECAUSE MOM HAD, YOU KNOW, FAILED TO GET A 10 PROTECTIVE CUSTODY -- OR A PROTECTIVE WARRANT -- I'M 11 SORRY, NOT A PROTECTIVE WARRANT. I'M LOSING MY TRAIN 12 OF THOUGHT. FAILED TO GET A STAY AWAY ORDER FOR THE 13 FATHER TO PROTECT THE CHILD. AND THAT WAS THE REASON 14 THEY USED FOR REMOVING THE CHILD. 15 AND WHEN THEY GET TO THE DETENTION HEARING, THEY DON'T TELL THE COURT THAT THEY HAD, IN FACT, GONE 16 17 AND GOTTEN -- THAT SHE HAD IN FACT GONE AND GOTTEN THAT 18 PROTECTIVE ORDER. 19 HAVE YOU EVER HAD ANY ACTUAL INSTANCES OF 20 ACTUAL FABRICATION? 21 Α I HAVE. 22 O CAN YOU GIVE US AN EXAMPLE? 23 YES. IN ONE CASE I HAD A CHILD -- A MOTHER Α 24 WHOSE CHILD WAS BORN WITH A CONGENITAL BIRTH DEFECT. 25 AND INITIALLY, THE DOCTORS THOUGHT THAT THIS WAS SOME SORT OF AN ISSUE. THEY NEVER EVER SAID THIS WAS CHILD 26

ABUSE. HOWEVER, BECAUSE OF SOME DOMESTIC VIOLENCE

BETWEEN MOTHER AND FATHER, THE SOCIAL WORKERS GOT

2.7

28

INVOLVED IN THE CASE AND REPORTED THAT THE DOCTORS HAD 1 2 CLAIMED THAT THE INJURY OR THE PROBLEM THE CHILD HAD 3 WAS SUSPICIOUS FOR CHILD ABUSE. 4 AND WHEN WE TOOK THE STATEMENT OF THE DOCTORS, 5 IT TURNED OUT THAT THAT WAS A COMPLETE FABRICATION AND 6 THAT WAS -- THAT WAS A STATEMENT THAT WAS MADE IN THE 7 DETENTION REPORT, IN THE JURISDICTION DISPOSITIONAL REPORT, AND THE SOCIAL WORKERS TESTIFIED ABOUT IT TWICE 8 9 DURING THE TRIAL. 10 AND IT ENDED UP BEING A FABRICATED STATEMENT? Q 11 IT WAS A FABRICATED STATEMENT. Α 12 WAS THERE ANY EFFORT TO CONTACT THE DOCTOR IN 13 ORDER TO DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT THE DOCTOR IN FACT 14 MADE THAT STATEMENT? 15 A WHEN --MR. GUTERRES: OBJECTION. 827. WELFARE AND 16 17 INSTITUTION CODE 827, YOUR HONOR. AND APPROACH. 18 THE COURT: YES. I WILL SEE COUNSEL. 19 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD AT 20 SIDEBAR.) 21 THE COURT: WE'RE AT SIDEBAR WITH COUNSEL. 22 MR. GUTERRES: YOUR HONOR, THE MORE THAT WE 23 START GETTING INTO THE FACT OF ANY GIVEN CASE, IT'S 24 GOING TO PRECLUDE ME FROM EXAMINING OR CROSS EXAMINING 25 THE WITNESS BASED ON GETTING INTO THE DETAILS OF THE CASE AND VIEW IT AS 827 PETITION. 26 2.7 THE COURT: WELL, I'D ALSO RESTRICT IT TO THE 28 SUBJECT MATTER OF THIS WITNESS'S TESTIMONY TO AVOID

```
BEING CUMULATIVE. IN FACT, A GREAT DEAL OF IT HAS BEEN
1
2
      CUMULATIVE. BUT IN ANY EVENT, I'D INDICATED THAT NONE
3
      OF THE ATTORNEY EXPERT WITNESSES WERE GOING TO BE
 4
      PERMITTED TO TALK ABOUT FACTS OF CASES. SO I'M
5
      SUSTAINING THE OBJECTION.
 6
               MR. KING: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. I KNOW THE
7
      COURT HAS A POLICY OF NOT ARGUING AFTER THE COURT HAS
      GRANTED THE --
8
9
               THE COURT: NO, I'M GOING TO HEAR FROM YOU.
10
      THAT'S WHY WE'RE HERE.
11
              MR. KING: OKAY. I'M TRYING TO LEARN FROM MY
12
      MISTAKES.
13
               THE COURT: NO MISTAKE INVOLVED. I'M HAPPY TO
14
      HEAR FROM YOU.
15
               MR. KING: ON THE 827 ISSUE, NO NAMES HAVE
      BEEN INVOLVED OF THE NAMES OF PARENTS OR THE CHILDREN,
16
17
      SO NO 827 APPLIES. AND I DID BELIEVE THAT THE COURT
18
      RULED THAT WE CAN GET INTO NATURE OF THE TYPE OF CLAIMS
19
      IN ORDER TO SHOW THERE'S A PATTERN AND PRACTICE AND
20
      THERE'S A FAILURE TO --
21
               THE COURT: WELL, I DON'T THINK THAT -- FIRST
22
      OF ALL, I THINK THIS WHOLE AREA OF TESTIMONY IS --
23
      CERTAINLY PRESENTS DIFFICULTY AS TO WHAT SHOULD BE
24
      PERMITTED AND WHAT SHOULD NOT BE. BUT YOU DO NOT
25
      ESTABLISH A CUSTOM AND PRACTICE BY TALKING ABOUT THE
      FACTS OF A SINGLE CASE. SO WHEN ASKING FOR THE EXAMPLE
26
2.7
      FOR THE PURPOSE TO BE ABLE TO DEMONSTRATE ABOUT
28
      PRACTICE OR CUSTOM OF THE COUNTY, SUCH EVIDENCE IS
```

INSUFFICIENT TO DO THAT. AND SO THAT'S ADDITIONAL GROUND TO SUSTAIN AN OBJECTION TO IT.

1.3

2.7

IF YOU RECALL WHAT MR. POWELL -- BECAUSE I
REMEMBER THERE WAS AN ATTORNEY HOWELL ALSO -- WITH
MR. POWELL, THAT I DID PERMIT HIM TO TESTIFY ABOUT
SEEKING INFORMATION FROM WHAT I'VE CALLED PMKS, PERSONS
MOST KNOWLEDGEABLE, BUT IT'S THE SAME THING --

MR. MCMILLAN: FEDERAL COURT, IT'S PMQ.

THE COURT: -- OF ANYONE EVER HAVING BEEN
DISCIPLINED AND SO FORTH AND PERMITTED THAT. AND HE'S
ALREADY TESTIFIED TO THAT, THAT IN ALL OF HIS CASES,
HE'S NEVER BEEN ABLE TO FIND ANY EVIDENCE THAT SOMEONE
HAD EVER BEEN DISCIPLINED.

MR. MCMILLAN: CORRECT.

THE COURT: WHEN WE START GOING INTO FACTS OF OUR INDIVIDUAL CASES, EVEN THOUGH IT COULD BE DONE AND HAS BEEN DONE WITHOUT REFERENCE TO PERSONS INVOLVED, WE STILL RUN INTO THE PROBLEM, HOWEVER, THAT A SINGLE CASE OR EVEN A COUPLE OF CASES HE CAN TALK ABOUT ARE NOT SUFFICIENT TO ESTABLISH A CUSTOM AND PRACTICE. AND IT ESTABLISHES THE ADDITIONAL PROBLEM, AS MR. GUTERRES MENTIONED, IT MAKES IT VIRTUALLY IMPOSSIBLE FOR HIM TO CROSS-EXAMINE BECAUSE OF CONCERN OF HAVING POTENTIALLY TO EFFECTIVELY CROSS-EXAMINE, GAIN INFORMATION ABOUT A SPECIFIC CASE.

SO I THINK IT'S SOMETHING WE SHOULD STAY AWAY FROM. BUT THE TESTIMONY YOU ALREADY HAVE IS RECEIVED WITHOUT OBJECTION.

MR. KING: OKAY. 1 THE COURT: AND WOULD HAVE BEEN RECEIVED IN 3 ANY EVENT IN KEEPING WITH THE COURT'S PRIOR RULING. 4 MR. KING: OKAY. 5 THE COURT: SO I DON'T KNOW WHERE ELSE YOU 6 WANT TO GO WITH THE WITNESS. I DON'T WANT TO UNDULY 7 RESTRICT YOUR EXAMINATION, BUT I THINK THE PARAMETERS WE HAVE PREVIOUSLY FOR MR. POWELL ARE THE PARAMETERS 8 THAT SHOULD BE APPLIED TO THIS WITNESS, AND I 9 10 PREVIOUSLY HAVE SO INDICATED. 11 I JUST WANT TO AVOID DUPLICATIVE TESTIMONY, 12 WHICH WE REALLY HAVEN'T, BUT IT HASN'T BEEN EXCESSIVE. MR. KING: OKAY. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 13 14 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN 15 OPEN COURT IN THE PRESENCE OF THE 16 JURY.) 17 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MR. KING, YOU MAY 18 CONTINUE. MR. KING: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 19 20 BY MR. KING: 21 MR. COX, I'D LIKE TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT CACI. Q 22 COULD YOU TELL US WHAT THAT MEANS? THE CHILD ABUSE CENTRAL INDEX. IN CALIFORNIA, 23 24 IT IS THE WAY THAT SOCIAL WORKERS AND OTHER LAW 25 ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES MAKE REPORTS TO THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE IN SACRAMENTO ON 26 2.7 ALLEGATIONS OF ABUSE OR NEGLIGENT OF THE CHILDREN. 28 Q AND HOW ARE THESE ALLEGATIONS TYPICALLY

1	BROUGHT ABOUT?
2	A THE ALLEGATIONS THEMSELVES AND HOW YOU END UP
3	ON THE CACI IS WHEN EITHER A SOCIAL WORKER OR LAW
4	ENFORCEMENT SIMPLY MAKES AN ALLEGATION THAT YOU HAVE
5	ABUSED OR NEGLECTED A CHILD, AND THAT ALLEGATION IS
6	DETERMINED TO BE FOUNDED, SUBSTANTIATED.
7	Q AND HOW DOES AN ALLEGATION BECOME
8	SUBSTANTIATED OR FOUNDED?
9	MR. GUTERRES: OBJECTION. FOUNDATION.
10	THE COURT: OVERRULED.
11	THE WITNESS: THERE ARE THREE TYPES OF
12	ALLEGATIONS, OR THREE TYPES OF FINDINGS. FIRST IS
13	UNFOUNDED. UNFOUNDED MEANS THE ALLEGATION IS NOT TRUE
14	OR INHERENTLY IMPROBABLY.
15	THE SECOND IS INCONCLUSIVE WHICH MEANS THAT
16	THE ALLEGATION, THAT THERE'S NOT ENOUGH EVIDENCE TO
17	SUBSTANTIATE AN ALLEGATION.
18	THIRD ALLEGATION IS SUBSTANTIATED, AND
19	SUBSTANTIATED MEANS THAT THE ALLEGATION IS TRUE AND
20	THAT MORE LIKELY THAN NOT TO BE TRUE, AND THAT THE
21	CHILD HAS BEEN SUBJECTED TO ABUSE OR NEGLECT.
22	THE ALLEGATIONS THEMSELVES ARE BROUGHT BY THE
23	SOCIAL WORKERS, INDIVIDUAL SOCIAL WORKERS WHO MAKE THAT
24	ALLEGATION. AND ONCE THE ALLEGATION IS MADE, YOU
25	HAVE IT'S SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE AND

IT REMAINS UNLESS IT IS LATER REMOVED EITHER BY THE

DEPARTMENT OR AS A RESULT OF THE LITIGATION.

28 ///

26

27

1	BY MR. KING:
2	Q AND WHEN YOU SAY THE INDIVIDUAL SOCIAL WORKER
3	CAN BRING THE ALLEGATION, ARE WE TALKING ABOUT A
4	SUPERVISING SOCIAL WORKER?
5	A ANY SOCIAL WORKER CAN MAKE THE ALLEGATION.
6	Q AND IF YOU CAN WALK US THROUGH THE PROCESS HOW
7	IS THAT ALLEGATION INITIALLY BROUGHT?
8	MR. GUTERRES: OBJECTION. OVERBROAD.
9	THE COURT: OVERRULED.
LO	THE WITNESS: THE WAY THAT IT WORKS IS THAT
L1	THE SOCIAL WORKER FILLS OUT A FORM, SENDS IT TO
L2	SACRAMENTO, AND SAYS, "THERE HAVE BEEN ALLEGATIONS OF
L3	ABUSE OR NEGLECT," AND FILLS OUT THE FORM AS TO WHY
L 4	THERE IS ABUSE OR NEGLECT. AND THE AND IT'S
L5	THAT'S BASICALLY HOW IT WORKS. IT'S AS SIMPLE AS THAT.
L 6	MAYBE YOU CAN REPEAT YOUR QUESTION. I DON'T
L7	THINK I
L 8	BY MR. KING:
L 9	Q WELL, DOES THE INDIVIDUAL SOCIAL WORKER HAVE
20	TO RUN IT PAST A SUPERVISOR BEFORE THEY FILE IT?
21	MR. GUTERRES: OBJECTION. NO FOUNDATION
22	SPECULATION.
23	THE COURT: SUSTAINED AS TO FOUNDATION.
24	MR. KING: SURE.
25	BY MR. KING:
26	Q HAVE YOU EVER ENCOUNTERED OR REPRESENTED A
27	CLIENT WHO, IN FACT, HAS SUCH A CLAIM MADE AGAINST
28	THEM?

1	A I HAVE.
2	Q OVER THE YEARS, HOW MANY OF THESE TYPE OF
3	CLAIMS HAVE YOU ENCOUNTERED BASED ON THOSE CLAIMS BEING
4	ASSERTED AGAINST ONE OF YOUR CLIENTS?
5	A IN NEARLY EVERY CASE THAT WE TAKE, THE
6	ALLEGATIONS THAT RESULTED IN THE CHILD BEING REMOVED
7	ALSO RESULTED IN A CACI REPORT BY THAT SOCIAL WORKER.
8	AND NORMALLY IT IS THE INDIVIDUAL SOCIAL WORKER WHO
9	MAKES THE COMPLAINT. THEY DO NOT NEED TO GET
10	PERMISSION FROM THEIR SUPERVISOR.
11	Q AND IS THAT COMPLAINT TYPICALLY MADE EARLY ON
12	IN THE PROCESS?
13	A IT'S INTENDED TO BE MADE AFTER THE CONCLUSION
14	OF THE INVESTIGATION BY THE SOCIAL WORKER. BUT THERE'S
15	A CONTINUING OBLIGATION TO INVESTIGATE, BUT AND IT'S
16	BEEN OUR EXPERIENCE THAT IT'S NORMALLY MADE WITHIN A
17	WEEK OF THE REMOVAL AND DETENTION OF A CHILD.
18	Q AND WHEN YOU SAY "CONTINUING INVESTIGATION,"
19	WHOSE OBLIGATION IS IT TO CONTINUE THIS INVESTIGATION?
20	A THE SOCIAL WORKER THAT SOCIAL WORKER, AND
21	ALL PRECEDING SOCIAL WORKERS OR ALL SUBSEQUENT
22	SOCIAL WORKERS.
23	Q SO ALL SUBSEQUENT SOCIAL WORKERS INVOLVED IN
24	THE CASE HAVE AN OBLIGATIO TO CONTINUE TO INVESTIGATE?
25	MR. GUTERRES: OBJECTION. ASKED AND ANSWERED.
26	LEADING.
27	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
28	///

BY MR. KING:

2.7

Q THE CLAIMS, IS IT SOMETHING THAT'S EASY TO REMOVE?

A IT IS NEAR -- THERE ARE -- THERE ARE -- WELL,
THERE ARE TWO WAYS THAT YOU CAN GET A CLAIM REMOVED.
THE FIRST WAY IS THROUGH WHAT'S CALLED A GOMEZ HEARING.
AND THE GOMEZ HEARING IS SET UP SO THAT YOU MAKE AN -WHEN THE INDIVIDUAL CAN APPEAL AND SAY, YOU KNOW, "I
DON'T THINK THAT THE FACTS JUSTIFY MY NAME BEING PLACED
ON THE CACI."

AT THAT POINT, THERE IS A HEARING SET UP, AND
THE HEARING OFFICER IS SOMEBODY WHO EITHER WORKS FOR
THE DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES OR IS APPOINTED BY
THE DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES TO ACT AS THE HEARING
OFFICER. AND THAT OFFICER THEN HEARS THE ALLEGATIONS,
HEARS THE EVIDENCE, AND MAKES A DETERMINATION AS TO
WHETHER THE CLAIM CAN BE REMOVED.

IN THE 15 YEARS THAT I'VE BEEN DOING THIS, I
HAVE NEVER SEEN A SUCCESSFUL GOMEZ HEARING WHERE AN
ALLEGATION WAS REMOVED. IT HAS ALWAYS REQUIRED THE
FILING OF LITIGATION TO GET THAT REMOVED.

Q AND WHAT ARE THE REPERCUSSIONS OF HAVING A CLAIM SUCH AS A CACI CLAIM ON ONE OF YOUR CLIENTS?

A FIRST OF ALL, PENAL CODE SECTION 11164 THROUGH 11174, AND ALL OF THE APPENDING PENAL CODE SECTIONS IN BETWEEN, LAY OUT NOT JUST WHEN A CLAIM CAN BE -- OR A CACI ALLEGATION CAN BE MADE AND HOW THOSE WORK BUT THEY ALSO LAY OUT WHO HAS ACCESS TO THE CACI.

SO IF YOU DECIDE THAT YOU HAVE AN ALLEGATION 1 2 THAT YOU'VE ABUSED OR NEGLECTED A CHILD -- MOSTLY IT'S 3 ABUSE -- AND YOU APPLY TO BECOME A FOSTER PARENT, THAT WILL POP UP. IF YOU WANT TO APPLY TO BE A TEACHER, 4 5 THAT MIGHT POP UP. IF YOU WANT TO BE A COACH FOR YOUR 6 CHILD, THAT WILL LIKELY POP UP. ANY JOB THAT YOU'RE 7 GOING TO DO THAT REOUIRES YOU TO HAVE INTERACTIONS WITH CHILDREN, THAT STIGMA WILL BE ATTACHED TO YOU. IT IS 8 9 NEARLY IMPOSSIBLE TO GET IT REMOVED ABSENT LITIGATION. 10 MR. GUTERRES: OBJECTION. MOVE TO STRIKE THE 11 LAST COMMENT AS NONRESPONSIVE. 12 THE COURT: MOTION TO STRIKE IS DENIED. 13 BY MR. KING: 14 WHAT IF AN INDIVIDUAL WANTS TO BECOME, LET'S 15

SAY, A COACH OF A BASKETBALL TEAM INVOLVING CHILDREN?

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2.7

28

IT IS LIKELY THAT THAT ALLEGATION WILL ARISE, Α AND IT REALLY WILL DEPEND ON HOW THE INDIVIDUAL CAN EXPLAIN IT TO THE LEAD. BUT GENERALLY SPEAKING, IT IS -- IT IS ONE OF THOSE SCARLET LETTERS THAT JUST FOLLOWS YOU WHEREVER YOU GO, AND IT WILL FOLLOW YOU FOR THE REST OF YOUR LIFE.

IT IS -- WELL, I WAS -- WHEN WE BRING CLAIMS IN THESE CASES, ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WE REQUIRE IN ORDER TO SETTLE THE CASE IS IN ORDER FOR THAT CASE TO RESOLVE IS THAT OUR CLIENTS' NAMES MUST BE REMOVED FROM THE CACI. THAT IS ALMOST ALWAYS A CONDITION FOR RESOLVING THE CLAIM. AND IT'S BEEN OUR EXPERIENCE THAT THAT IS THE ONLY WAY THAT THAT CLAIM CAN BE RESOLVED.

MR. GUTERRES: OBJECTION. MOVE TO STRIKE THAT 1 2 LAST COMMENT AS NONRESPONSIVE. AND RELEVANCE. 3 THE COURT: THE OBJECTION IS OVERRULED. 4 MOTION TO STRIKE IS DENIED. 5 GO AHEAD, MR. KING. PLEASE BE MINDFUL OF THE 6 TIME. 7 MR. KING: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. WE'RE 8 WRAPPING UP. 9 BY MR. KING: 10 DOES THIS AFFECT THE PARENT AND CHILD? Q 11 IT DOES. Α 12 O HOW SO? 13 A NOT ONLY IS THE PARENT PLACED ON THE CACI, BUT 14 THE CHILD IS PLACED ON THE CACI AS WELL. AND THE CHILD 15 CAN, AS A VICTIM, THE ALLEGED VICTIM OF ABUSE CAN ASK 16 THEIR NAME BE REMOVED FROM THE CACI WHEN THEY TURN 18. 17 HOWEVER, MOST PEOPLE DON'T KNOW THAT THEIR NAME HAS 18 BEEN PLACED ON THE CACI AS THE VICTIM. THE PARENTS 19 HAVE BEEN GIVEN NOTICE THAT THEIR NAME HAS BEEN PLACED, BUT THEY'RE NOT TOLD THAT THEIR CHILD HAS BEEN PLACED 20 21 ON THE CACI AS WELL. 22 WE HAVE LITIGATED CASES, MATTER OF FACT, A 23 CASE IN LOS ANGELES WHERE AN ALLEGATION WAS MADE THAT 24 AN INDIVIDUAL HAD ABUSED OR NEGLECTED A CHILD, AND ONE 25 OF THE BASES FOR THAT ALLEGATION WAS THAT THAT CHILD HAD BEEN THE VICTIM OF ABUSE, ALLEGED VICTIM OF ABUSE 26 2.7 AS A CHILD. 28 AND SO IT NOT ONLY FOLLOWS THE PARENT AROUND,

1	BUT IT IS A STIGMA THAT FOLLOWS THE CHILD AS WELL.
2	Q WHAT'S THE SIGNIFICANCE OF A CHILD BEING
3	PLACED ON THE CACI?
4	A I THINK I JUST OUTLINED IT. THE CHILD THEN IS
5	SUBJECT TO BEING MORE, ACCORDING TO THE SYSTEM, MORE
6	SUSCEPTIBLE TO BEING AN ABUSER GOING FORWARD. IF I
7	MAY, THERE'S A SYSTEM SET UP, IT'S A POINT SYSTEM THAT
8	IS SET UP BY THE DEPARTMENT. AND THEY OUTLINE THEY
9	GIVE A POINT OR TWO POINTS OR THREE POINTS DEPENDING ON
10	THE ALLEGATION FOR CERTAIN ACTIONS AND CERTAIN
11	ACTIVITIES. EVEN AN UNFOUNDED ALLEGATION GETS YOU A
12	POINT.
13	SO THAT IF THERE'S A SUBSEQUENT ALLEGATION
14	THAT GETS MADE, THAT'S COUNTED AGAINST YOU. IT IS
15	COUNTED AGAINST YOU EVEN IF YOU ARE CONSIDERED A VICTIM
16	OF CHILD ABUSE IN THE FUTURE IF THERE'S AN ALLEGATION
17	THAT'S BROUGHT AGAINST YOU BECAUSE THE REASONING GOES
18	THAT YOU'RE MORE LIKELY TO COMMIT ABUSE IF YOU WERE THE
19	VICTIM OF ABUSE.
20	Q AND HOW MANY TIMES HAVE YOU IN THE COUNTY OF
21	LOS ANGELES HAD A SITUATION ARE A CLIENT OF YOURS HAS
22	BEEN PLACED IN THE CACI?
23	A WELL, EVERY TIME THAT I'VE REPRESENTED PARENTS
24	IN LOS ANGELES WHO HAVE BEEN ALLEGED WHO HAVE ABUSED OR
25	NEGLECTED THEIR CHILD, IN EVERY SINGLE INSTANCE, THEIR

Q OKAY.

NAME HAS BEEN ON THE CACI.

26

27

28

MR. KING: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER.

1	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MR. GUTERRES?
2	
3	CROSS-EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. GUTERRES:
5	Q GOOD MORNING, MR. COX.
6	A GOOD MORNING, COUNSEL.
7	Q YOU GAVE A DEPOSITION WHERE YOU GAVE TESTIMONY
8	UNDER OATH IN THIS CASE; CORRECT?
9	A I DID.
10	Q MARCH 4, 2015, SOUND FAMILIAR?
11	A IT DOES.
12	Q YOU'VE NEVER ACTUALLY TRIED ANY CASES AGAINST
13	THE COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES;
14	ISN'T THAT TRUE?
15	A THAT IS CORRECT.
16	Q AND AS OF MARCH 4, 2015, THE DATE OF YOUR
17	DEPOSITION, YOU HAD IDENTIFIED SEVEN CASES YOU HAD
18	ACTUALLY BROUGHT AGAINST THE COUNTY; ISN'T THAT TRUE?
19	A AT THAT TIME, THAT'S WHAT I COULD RECALL AT
20	THE DEPOSITION, YES.
21	Q AND YOU HAD NOT MET MS. DUVAL AT THAT TIME
22	AT THE TIME OF YOUR DEPOSITION; TRUE?
23	A THAT'S CORRECT.
24	Q AND THOSE SITUATIONS WHERE YOU'VE INDICATED
25	YOU'VE HAD AN OPPORTUNITY THE SPEAK TO PERSONS MOST
26	QUALIFIED, DESIGNEES OF THE DEPARTMENT, THOSE HAVE BEEN
27	ACTUAL LAWSUITS; CORRECT?
28	A CORRECT.

1	Q SO IT WOULD BE LIMITED TO THOSE CASES THAT
2	YOU'VE ACTUALLY FILED; ISN'T THAT TRUE?
3	A WELL, NO. THE PERSON MOST KNOWLEDGEABLE IS
4	TALKING ABOUT POLICIES AND PRACTICES OF THE COUNTY
5	GENERALLY, NOT JUST AS THEY APPLY TO THAT SPECIFIC
6	CASE.
7	Q UNDERSTOOD. BUT YOU WOULD ONLY BE SPEAKING TO
8	THEM ON THOSE CASES THAT YOU'VE ACTUALLY FILED; TRUE?
9	A I'M NOT SURE.
10	Q YOU'VE ONLY TAKEN DEPOSITIONS OF COUNTY
11	DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES' PERSONS
12	MOST KNOWLEDGEABLE IN THOSE CASES WHERE YOU'VE ACTUALLY
13	FILED THE LAWSUIT?
14	A OH, YES, THAT'S TRUE.
15	Q SO IT WOULD HAVE BEEN, AT THE TIME OF YOUR
16	DEPOSITION, THOSE SEVEN CASES; CORRECT?
17	A CORRECT. AND THERE HAVE BEEN THREE SINCE.
18	Q AND YOU HAVEN'T TAKEN DEPOSITIONS IN ALL OF
19	THOSE SEVEN CASES; ISN'T THAT A FACT?
20	A I BELIEVE WE TOOK DEPOSITIONS IN WELL,
21	STRIKE THAT. NO. YOU'RE RIGHT. THERE ARE A COUPLE
22	THAT WE DID NOT TAKE DEPOSITIONS.
23	Q RIGHT. YOU TESTIFIED TO THAT?
24	A CORRECT.
25	Q SO FOR YOU TO SAY THAT IN EVERY SINGLE CASE,
26	YOU'VE NEVER BEEN TOLD. WELL, SOME OF THOSE CASES
27	YOU'VE NEVER BEEN TOLD BECAUSE YOU HAVEN'T TAKEN THE
28	DEPOSITION; TRUE?

1	A WELL, WE ACTUALLY ASKED THE INDIVIDUAL SOCIAL
2	WORKERS IF THEY'VE BEEN DISCIPLINED, AND IN EVERY
3	INSTANCE, THE ANSWER IS NO.
4	Q MR. COX, AT LEAST ONE OF THOSE CASES, YOU TOOK
5	NO DEPOSITIONS; TRUE?
6	A THAT'S TRUE.
7	Q SO YOU DIDN'T SPEAK TO ANYONE ON THAT CASE;
8	CORRECT?
9	A IN THAT PARTICULAR CASE, YOU'RE ABSOLUTELY
10	CORRECT.
11	Q OKAY. THANK YOU.
12	ARE YOU BEING PAID FOR YOUR TESTIMONY?
13	A NO.
14	Q DID YOU MEET WITH MR. MCMILLAN'S OFFICE BEFORE
15	COMING HERE TODAY?
16	A I MET WITH MR. KING FOR ABOUT AN HOUR BEFORE
17	TODAY.
18	Q IN PREPARATION FOR YOUR TESTIMONY HERE TODAY?
19	A CORRECT.
20	Q IS THAT THE ONLY TIME YOU MET WITH ANY
21	ATTORNEYS FROM MR. MCMILLAN'S TEAM?
22	A I MET WITH MR. KING BRIEFLY AND WAS INTRODUCED
23	TO HIM APPROXIMATELY TWO AND A HALF, THREE MONTHS AGO.
24	Q AND THEN PRIOR TO YOUR DEPOSITION, DID YOU
25	ALSO MEET WITH AN ATTORNEY FROM MR. MCMILLAN'S OFFICE?
26	A I DID NOT.
27	Q BUT YOU AND MR. MCMILLAN TALK REGULARLY ABOUT
28	THE CASES, ISN'T THAT TRUE?

1	A MR. MCMILLAN IS ONE OF ABOUT TEN LAWYERS IN
2	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA THAT DO THIS WORK, SO YES, WE
3	DO TALK QUITE A BIT.
4	Q AND YOU GUYS SHARE INFORMATION AMONGST
5	YOURSELVES?
6	A ABSOLUTELY, WE DO.
7	Q STRATEGIES ON HOW TO SUE ENTITIES?
8	A I WOULDN'T SAY STRATEGIES ON HOW TO SUE
9	ENTITIES. WHAT WE SHARE IS INFORMATION ABOUT WHAT
10	WE'VE LEARNED IN DEPOSITIONS AND WHAT WE'RE TOLD BY
11	PMQS AND BY INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS. AND WE COMPARE
12	NOTES ON THE KINDS OF CLAIMS THAT ARE THAT ARE
13	COMMON TO BOTH OF OUR PRACTICES.
14	Q THERE ARE CERTAIN CONFIDENTIALITY ISSUES,
15	CERTAINLY, TO THE TYPES OF CASES THAT YOU HANDLE;
16	CORRECT?
17	A THAT IS CORRECT.
18	Q AND, IN FACT, THERE'S A STATUTE THAT DOESN'T
19	ALLOW YOU TO DISCLOSE INFORMATION REGARDING THE FACTS
20	OF A PARTICULAR CASE WITH OTHERS UNLESS THEY'RE
21	INCLUDED IN THAT ORDER; CORRECT?
22	A THERE ARE STATUTES THAT REQUIRE THAT WE NOT
23	DIVULGE THE NAMES AND SPECIFICS OF A CASE. SO, FOR
24	INSTANCE, I COULD TELL MR. MCMILLAN ABOUT CASES THAT I
25	HAVE, BUT I'M NOT ALLOWED TO TELL HIM ABOUT THE NAMES
26	OF THOSE CLIENTS.
27	Q I MEAN, YOU WOULDN'T BE VIOLATING THOSE
28	STATUTES IN YOUR DISCUSSIONS, WOULD YOU?

A NO, I WOULD NOT.
Q DO YOU KNOW MR. POWELL?
A I DO.
Q ROBERT POWELL?
A I DO.
Q FROM UP NORTH?
A I DO.
Q DID YOU KNOW HE TESTIFIED HERE?
A I DID.
Q SO YOU'VE ALREADY TALKED TO HIM IN ADVANCE OF
COMING HERE?
A WELL, MR. POWELL AND I SHARED THE SOLIS AND
HOLLY CASE. WE WERE CO-COUNSEL.
Q DID YOU HEAR MY QUESTION, SIR?
A WHAT'S THAT?
Q DID YOU HEAR MY QUESTION?
A NO. I THOUGHT YOU ASKED ME WHAT WE DISCUSSED.
Q NO, I PARDON ME IF THAT'S WHAT YOU HEARD.
I SAID SO YOU HAD A DISCUSSION WITH MR. POWELL BEFORE
COMING HERE?
A I TOLD HIM I WAS COMING HERE TO TESTIFY.
Q AND, IN FACT, MR. POWELL TESTIFIED THAT THE
WAY HE GOT INTRODUCED INTO THIS LINE OF WORK WAS BY
CALLING YOU?
A I'M NOT SURPRISED.
Q HE SAID YOU SHARED HIS PASSWORD THAT YOU
SHARED YOUR PASSWORD TO ALL OF YOUR FILES WITH HIM?
A I HAVE ASSOCIATED BOB IN ON ALMOST EVERY CASE

THAT I'VE BEEN INVOLVED IN BECAUSE HE AND I HAVE WORKED 1 2 MANY CASES TOGETHER. SO IS THAT A YES? 3 Α YES. 4 5 SO WHEN YOU SAY YOU ASSOCIATED HIM IN, THERE 6 WAS A FORMAL ASSOCIATION FILED ON EVERY SINGLE CASE 7 THAT YOU HAVE FILED? IS THAT WHAT YOU'RE SAYING? 8 A NO. NO. 9 Q YOUR EDUCATION AND WORK EXPERIENCE, OTHER THAN 10 SUING CHILD PROTECTIVE SERVICE AGENCIES, DO YOU HAVE 11 ANY BACKGROUND TRAINING AS A SOCIAL WORKER? 12 A I DO NOT. Q LET ME DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION TO THAT LINE OF 13 14 QUESTIONING WITH REGARD TO THE CACI. THERE ARE CERTAIN 15 TIME LIMITS AS TO WHEN A PARTY CAN APPEAL THEIR BEING 16 PLACED IN THE CACI; CORRECT? 17 A GENERALLY SPEAKING, YES, BUT THOSE TIME LIMITS 18 ARE NOT WRITTEN IN STONE. 19 AND HAVE YOU ACTUALLY EVER PARTICIPATED IN AN 20 APPEAL PROCESS OF A CACI? 21 Α I HAVE. 22 THROUGH THE ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING? 0 23 A I HAVE. 24 THROUGH THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES? 0 25 Α NOT WITH THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. SO YOUR EXPERIENCES AS IT RELATES TO ANY 26 2.7 APPEALS FROM A CACI -- PARDON ME. YOUR EXPERIENCE WITH 28 REGARD TO THE APPELLATE PROCEDURES FOR BEING PLACED ON

CACI WOULD BE OUTSIDE OF THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES? 1 IT WOULD. 3 COULD I AMEND MY LAST ANSWER A LITTLE BIT? I 4 ACTUALLY PARTICIPATED IN HUMPHRIES VERSUS COUNTY OF LOS 5 ANGELES WITH ESTHER BOYNTON WHO WAS A -- THAT WAS THE, 6 LITERALLY THE CASE THAT SAID THAT THE PRACTICE OF THE 7 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DURING, I THINK IT WAS BEFORE 8 2011 WAS UNCONSTITUTIONAL WITH REGARD TO HOW THE CACI 9 WAS SET UP. AND IT'S A 9TH CIRCUIT CASE. AND NOT ONLY 10 DID I CONSULT WITH ESTHER, BUT I HELPED HER WRITE THE 11 APPELLATE BRIEF IN THE CASE. 12 MR. GUTERRES: I'LL MOVE TO STRIKE THAT LAST 1.3 PORTION AS NONRESPONSIVE TO MY QUESTION. 14 THE COURT: IT'S THE ENTIRE STATEMENT? 15 MR. GUTERRES: YES, SIR. WHAT MR. COX ADDED. THE COURT: THE MOTION TO STRIKE IS DENIED. 16 17 IT DOES RESPOND TO THE QUESTION. 18 BY MR. GUTERRES: 19 O IN RESPONSE TO SOME QUESTIONING BY MR. KING, 20 THERE WAS A QUESTION ABOUT YOUR FAMILIARITY WITH 21 KIMBERLY ROGERS? 22 I AM FAMILIAR WITH THE FACT THAT WE SUED HER 23 AND THAT SHE WAS, I BELIEVE, A SUPERVISING SOCIAL 24 WORKER AT THAT POINT. 25 AND ISN'T IT TRUE, SIR, THAT HER INVOLVEMENT IN THAT PARTICULAR CASE WAS VERY LIMITED? 26 2.7 A I WILL TELL YOU AS I SIT HERE TODAY, I DO NOT HAVE A SPECIFIC RECOLLECTION. I WILL TELL YOU THAT 28

1	BEFORE WE FILE SUIT IN THESE CASES, THAT WE REVIEW AND
2	MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE NOT FILING LAWSUITS AGAINST PEOPLE
3	THAT WE DON'T BELIEVE HAVE ANY SPECIFIC LIABILITY WITH
4	REGARD TO THAT CASE.
5	Q ISN'T IT TRUE, SIR, THAT IN THAT CASE, THE
6	DETENTION HAD ALREADY OCCURRED BEFORE SHE WAS INVOLVED?
7	A I BELIEVE THAT IS THE CASE, YES.
8	Q AND SHE NEVER SIGNED ANY KIND OF A REPORT IN
9	THAT CASE; ISN'T THAT TRUE?
10	A THAT I DON'T BELIEVE IS TRUE. I BELIEVE SHE
11	DID SIGN A SUBSEQUENT JURISDICTIONAL DISPOSITION
12	REPORT, BUT THAT'S JUST OFF THE TOP OF MY HEAD. I'D
13	HAVE TO LOOK AT THE DOCUMENTS TO MAKE SURE.
14	MR. GUTERRES: THAT'S ALL.
15	THE COURT: THANK YOU.
16	MR. KING?
17	MR. KING: YES. THANK YOU.
18	
19	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. KING:
21	Q YOU MENTIONED THAT YOU HAVE FILED LAWSUITS IN
22	THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY AGAINST THE DEPARTMENT OF
23	CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES?
24	A I HAVE.
25	Q DO YOU HAVE ANY CASES AGAINST THOSE ATTORNEYS
26	RIGHT NOW?
27	A I DO.
28	Q BOTH OF THEM?

1	A ACTUALLY, I'M INVOLVED IN ONE NOW, AND WE
2	SETTLED A CLAIM WITH THEM EARLIER THIS YEAR.
3	Q AND YOU SPOKE ABOUT HUMPHRIES VERSUS
4	CALIFORNIA AS IT APPLIES TO THE CACI APPEAL?
5	A CORRECT.
6	Q CAN YOU TELL US THE SIGNIFICANCE OF HUMPHRIES
7	VERSUS CALIFORNIA AS IT PERTAINS TO THE CACI APPEAL
8	PROCESS?
9	MR. GUTERRES: OBJECTION. ASKED AND ANSWERED
10	AND RELEVANT.
11	THE COURT: SUSTAINED AS TO RELEVANT.
12	BY MR. KING:
13	Q THE CACI APPEAL PROCESS, IS THAT PROCESS
14	DRIVEN BY THE GOMEZ CASE?
15	A IT IS.
16	MR. GUTERRES: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE.
17	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
18	BY MR. KING:
19	Q THE TIME LIMITS FOR THE CACI APPEAL, DOES THAT
20	START ONCE FORMAL NOTICE IS GIVEN?
21	A IT STARTS ONCE FORMAL NOTICE IS GIVEN.
22	HOWEVER, THERE IS A QUESTION ABOUT WHETHER THAT FORMAL
23	NOTICE ACTUALLY STOPS THE STATUTE BECAUSE WHILE THE
24	PERSON IS STILL LITIGATING THE CASE IN THE JUVENILE
25	COURT SYSTEM, THERE IS AN ISSUE ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT
26	AS I SAID EARLIER, THEY'RE REQUIRED TO CONTINUE
27	INVESTIGATION DURING THE COURSE OF THAT PROCESS. AND
28	SO IF THAT PROCESS IS STILL ONGOING, THEY STILL HAVE AN

```
1
      OBLIGATION TO CHANGE THE DETERMINATION IF THEY MAKE THE
2
      DETERMINATION THAT IT'S NOT FOUNDED, IF IT'S NOT
3
      SUBSTANTIATED. AND I BELIEVE THERE'S BEEN SOME
 4
      LITIGATION ON THAT. I HAVE NEVER HEARD OF A CACI CLAIM
5
      BEING DENIED AS A RESULT OF THE STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS
6
      RUNNING BECAUSE THEY DIDN'T FILE THE CLAIM IN A TIMELY
7
      MANNER.
8
          O WHETHER OR NOT YOU MET MS. DUVAL PRIOR TO
9
      COMING TO TESTIFY HERE TODAY, DOES THAT HAVE ANY BASIS
10
      IN YOUR OPINION?
              ABSOLUTELY NOT.
11
          Α
12
          O THE DISCUSSIONS THAT YOU'VE HAD WITH
1.3
      MR. POWELL AND EVEN MR. MCMILLAN CONCERNING CASES, DO
14
      YOU FREQUENTLY HAVE DISCUSSIONS ABOUT PMOS THAT ARE
15
      INVOLVED IN YOUR CASE AS WELL AS OTHER ATTORNEYS'
16
      CASES?
          A ALL THE TIME.
17
18
          Q HAVE YOU HEARD OF THE NAME GUY TRIMARCHI?
19
          Α
             I HAVE.
              DO YOU HAVE A CASE RIGHT NOW WHERE
20
21
      MR. TRIMARCHI IS THE PMQ?
22
               MR. GUTERRES: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE.
23
               THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
24
               MR. KING, WE'RE JUST ABOUT READY TO TAKE A
25
      RECESS.
              MR. KING: OKAY. THANK YOU.
26
2.7
      BY MR. KING:
28
          Q YOU WERE ASKED ABOUT ASSOCIATIONS OF ATTORNEYS
```

SUCH AS MR. POWELL. IF YOU ASSOCIATE ANOTHER ATTORNEY 1 2 INTO A CASE THAT YOU'RE HANDLING, DOES THAT BREACH ANY 3 SORT OF CONFIDENTIALITY? IT IS NOT. AND WHEN I SAY I ASSOCIATED 4 5 MR. POWELL INTO THESE CASES, ANY TIME THAT I CONSULT 6 WITH MR. POWELL OR MR. MCMILLAN OR ANY OTHER ATTORNEY, 7 WE HAVE AN UNDERSTANDING THAT WE ARE CONSULTING WITH THEM AS EXPERTS OR AS OTHER PEOPLE WHO HAVE HAD 8 9 LITIGATION EXPERIENCE IN THESE CASES, AND ANYTHING THAT 10 WE SAY IS COVERED BY THE SAME PROTECTIVE ORDER THAT 11 WOULD BE IN EFFECT IN ALL OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS CASES 12 THAT WE HAVE. MR. KING: THANK YOU. 13 14 YOUR HONOR, I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER. 15 THE COURT: ANYTHING ELSE, MR. GUTERRES? 16 MR. GUTERRES: NO QUESTIONS, YOUR HONOR. 17 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MR. COX, YOU ARE 18 EXCUSED. 19 FOR OUR JURY, WE'RE GOING TO TAKE OUR NOONTIME RECESS UNTIL 1:30. ALL JURORS PLEASE REMEMBER THE 20 21 ADMONITION. SEE YOU BACK AT 1:30. 22 (JURY EXCUSED) 23 THE COURT: BEFORE COUNSEL LEAVES, I RECEIVED 24 IN THE EARLIER MORNING RECESS A MOTION IN LIMINE FROM 25 THE DEFENDANT. WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO ADDRESS IT 26 BECAUSE -- BEFORE WE RESUME THIS AFTERNOON BECAUSE THIS 2.7 MAY IMPLICATE TESTIMONY THAT YOU'LL BE INTENDING TO

28

ELICIT THIS AFTERNOON.

MR. MCMILLAN: SURE. 1 2 THE COURT: YOU'LL HAVE TO TAKE A LOOK AT IT 3 OVER THE NOON HOUR AND I WILL AS WELL SEE WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE. 4 MR. MCMILLAN: OKAY. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 5 THE COURT: OKAY. SEE YOU BACK AT 1:30. 6 7 (LUNCH RECESS) THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WE ARE ON THE RECORD 8 9 AND COUNSEL ARE PRESENT. BEFORE WE GET THE JURY IN, I 10 MENTIONED AS WE TOOK THE NOON RECESS, WE HAVE 11 DEFENDANTS' MOTION IN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE THE ELEMENTS 12 OF TESTIMONY ABOUT PLAINTIFF'S DAMAGES NOT IDENTIFIED 1.3 BY PLAINTIFF IN THE DISCOVERY. 14 WHICH OF YOU ON PLAINTIFF'S SIDE? SO 15 MR. PRAGER? MR. PRAGER: YES, YOUR HONOR. THANK YOU, YOUR 16 17 HONOR. 18 FIRST OF ALL, YOUR HONOR, OF COURSE, THERE ARE 19 A NUMBER OF DOCUMENTS TO REVIEW HERE. WE'VE NOT HAD 20 MUCH TIME TO REVIEW IT. THERE ARE A NUMBER OF 21 IRREGULARITIES TO CALL TO THE COURT'S ATTENTION 22 REGARDING THE MOTION ITSELF. FOR EXAMPLE, THE DOCUMENT 23 GOES FROM PAGE 1 TO PAGE 17 ON THE DISCUSSION OF 24 PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORIES. THERE IS 25 A REFERENCE TO AN ATTACHMENT ON -- THERE'S ALSO --WHILE I LOOK FOR THAT, THERE'S ALSO IRREGULARITIES 26 2.7 WHERE IT GOES FROM 1 TO PAGE 13 REGARDING SUPPLEMENTAL 28 RESPONSES. THERE'S, AS FAR AS I CAN TELL, A MISSING

ATTACHMENT ON PAGE 20 OF SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO THE MOTION.

2.7

IN TERMS OF THE MOTION ITSELF, THE ATTACHMENT SHOULD HAVE BEEN -- I THINK IT WAS ACTUALLY AN EXTERNAL HARD DRIVE FULL OF DATA. AND IT WAS PRETTY MUCH THE ENTIRE EXPECTED PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS THAT THE PLAINTIFF INTENDED TO OFFER A THE TIME.

NOW, THIS OCCURRED WHEN JUDGE LINFIELD HAD THE CASE, AND THERE WAS SOME DISCUSSION ABOUT THE DEFENSE TRYING TO MOVE TO COMPEL ADDITIONAL RESPONSES IF THE DEFENSE SO CHOSE. AND THAT WAS BEFORE JUDGE LINFIELD, AND I THINK HE INVITED THEM TO DO SO, AND THEY NEVER DID. THAT WAS, OF COURSE, OVER A YEAR AND A HALF AGO.

SO OUR POSITION IS, IF THERE WAS A MOTION TO BE HAD, THERE'S BEEN MORE THAN AMPLE TIME TO HAVE THAT MOTION HEARD BY THE COURT. AND HERE AT THE VERGE OF HAVING MS. DUVAL TESTIFY IS THE WRONG TIME TO ADDRESS THAT CONCERN.

IF I MAY ADDRESS THE MERITS OF SOME OF THE
DISCUSSION HERE, THERE ARE A NUMBER OF ISSUES THAT ARE
PRESENTED BY THE DOCUMENTS. I THINK A CORE ISSUE THE
COURT CAN ADDRESS GLOBALLY IS THERE'S BEEN SUGGESTIONS
REGARDING CERTAIN ITEMS OF DAMAGE, WHICH THE DEFENSE IS
SAYING RECEIPTS WEREN'T PRODUCED. TO THE EXTENT THAT
MS. DUVAL HAD CUSTODY AND CONTROL OF THE RECEIPT, SHE
SHOULD PRODUCE THEM. BUT IT'S PERFECTLY PROPER FOR HER
TO IDENTIFY AS BEST AS SHE CAN ANY EXPENSES THAT SHE
BELIEVES SHE'S INCURRED, AND IF SHE'S ASKED TO PRODUCE

```
RECEIPTS AND SHE CANNOT PRODUCE RECEIPTS, SHE HAS THE
1
2
      RIGHT TO SAY SHE'S DONE A DILIGENT SEARCH AND CANNOT
3
      PRODUCE THE RECEIPTS.
 4
               OUR POSITION IS THAT WOULD GO TO THE WEIGHT OF
5
      THAT EVIDENCE, NOT TO ADMISSIBILITY OR THE EXCLUSION OF
 6
      THAT EVIDENCE. AND WITHOUT ATTACHMENT 1, AND WITH --
7
      HONESTLY WITH THE TIME WE HAD DURING THE NOON RECESS TO
      ADDRESS THIS, I CANNOT OFFER THE COURT MORE GUIDANCE ON
8
9
      THAT ISSUE.
10
               SO WE WOULD SUGGEST THE MOTION BE DENIED AND
11
      LET THE WITNESS TESTIFY, AND IF THERE'S SOME
12
      IRREGULARITY, IT CAN BE DEALT WITH AFTER MS. DUVAL
13
      HOPEFULLY CONCLUDES TODAY, IF POSSIBLE.
14
               THE COURT: TELL ME AGAIN, IF YOU WOULD, ABOUT
15
      THE ATTACHMENT YOU'RE REFERRING TO.
16
               MR. PRAGER: YES, YOUR HONOR.
17
               THE COURT: TELL ME WHERE THAT IS SO I CAN
18
      REFER TO IT. IF YOU WANT TO YOU CAN --
19
               MR. PRAGER: I CAN HAND IT TO YOU, OR IT'S ON
20
      PAGE 20 OF THE ATTACHED PLAINTIFF'S SUPPLEMENTAL
21
      RESPONSES TO FORM INTERROGATORIES.
22
               THE COURT: OH, I SEE. YEAH, SUPPLEMENTAL
23
      RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY 10.1?
24
               MR. PRAGER: YES, YOUR HONOR.
25
               THE COURT: SEE ATTACHMENT NUMBER 1?
26
               MR. PRAGER: YES, SIR.
2.7
               THE COURT: OKAY.
28
               MR. PRAGER: I DON'T SEE THAT ATTACHED TO THIS
```

DOCUMENT. AND I THINK THE ATTACHMENT WAS ELECTRONIC --1 2 IT WAS ONE OF THESE TYPE DEVICES. AND I'M HOLDING UP 3 FOR COURT AN EXTERNAL HARD DRIVE. 4 THE COURT: RIGHT. 5 MR. PRAGER: AND I BELIEVE THE DOCUMENTS WERE 6 SCANNED AS PDF DOCUMENTS IN THIS DOCUMENT, THEY WERE 7 PLACED ON AN EXTERNAL HARD DRIVE, AND THAT HARD DRIVE WAS DELIVERED TO THE DEFENSE WHEN JUDGE LINFIELD HAD 8 9 THE CASE. 10 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. SO I'M GOING TO HEAR 11 FROM MS. NAU IN JUST A SECOND BECAUSE YOU WERE 12 APPARENTLY THE AUTHOR OR AT LEAST THE SIGNATORY OF THE 13 MOTION AND ARE STANDING, SO I PUT ALL THIS TOGETHER 14 THINKING MAYBE YOU'RE THE ONE THAT'S GOING TO RESPOND 15 TO THIS. BUT BEFORE I HEAR THAT, I SAW -- IN LOOKING AT THIS, I SAW A PROBLEM THAT I'M NOT SURE IS ADDRESSED 16 17 BY WHAT YOU'VE HAD TO TELL ME. 18 AS I LOOKED THROUGH, AND I DIDN'T MAKE A LIST 19 OF ALL OF THEM, BUT IN A NUMBER OF THE RESPONSES, THERE 20 WAS A RESPONSE: 21 "THIS RESPONSE WILL BE 22 SUPPLEMENTAL AFTER PLAINTIFF HAS HAD AN 23 OPPORTUNITY TO OBTAIN AND REVIEW 24 DOCUMENTS FROM WHICH THE ANSWER CAN BE 25 DERIVED. DISCOVERY IS ONGOING AND 26 INCOMPLETE." 2.7 THERE ARE OTHER ANSWERS WHERE, AS OPPOSED TO 28 MAKING THAT REPRESENTATION ABOUT SUPPLEMENTATION -- AND I COULD GO THROUGH AND FIND THESE -- THE -- WELL, I'M LOOKING RIGHT NOW, AND I'M NOT SEEING WHAT I THOUGHT I SAW BEFORE. BUT A NUMBER OF THE RESPONSES ASKING -- WELL, FOR THE INFORMATION THAT'S BEING OBJECTED TO, THERE WAS AN ANSWER INDICATING, AS I JUST READ TO YOU, A VERY SIMILAR ANSWER TO A NUMBER OF THESE QUESTIONS.

2.7

I THINK IT IS GENERALLY CORRECT THAT A -WHERE SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION IS REQUESTED BY A PARTY
PROPOUNDING THE DISCOVERY, THAT IT IS THEIR OBLIGATION
TO MAKE A MOTION OR FILE SUPPLEMENTAL INTERROGATORIES
ASKING FOR AN UPDATE. AND I DON'T THINK ON THESE
ANSWERS IN HERE, MANY OF THEM, WHERE THEY JUST SAY
THEY'RE INCOMPLETE -- IN FACT, "WE'RE GIVING EVERYTHING
WE'VE GOT. IT'S INCOMPLETE. WE'LL SUPPLEMENT."

THERE'D BE NO REASON TO MAKE A MOTION ON THAT.

AND THE PROBLEM I HAVE IS -- AT LEAST ONE OF THE ISSUES

THAT I THOUGHT ABOUT IN CONNECTION WITH THIS -- IS THAT

WHILE NORMALLY THERE'S NO OBLIGATION TO SUPPLEMENT

ANSWERS, AND IF A PARTY WANTS SUPPLEMENTATION, THEN

THEY CAN PROPOUND FURTHER DISCOVERY. IT WOULD SEEM TO

ME -- AND I NEED TO ADD, IT IS IMPROPER TO PROPOUND AN

INTERROGATORY THAT REQUIRES BY THE INTERROGATORY A

PARTY TO PROVIDE SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES AS THEY REQUIRE

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.

BUT THAT ISN'T WHAT HAPPENED HERE. AND ONE OF
THE THINGS THAT'S A LITTLE TROUBLING TO ME IS THAT
WHILE YOU DIDN'T NEED AND WERE NOT OBLIGED TO
SUPPLEMENT, WHEN YOU REPRESENTED THAT YOU SHALL -- AND

THAT WAS THE WORD USED IN MANY OF THESE, "SHALL 1 2 SUPPLEMENT" -- IT SEEMS TO ME THAT THEY OUGHT TO BE 3 ENTITLED TO TAKE YOU AT YOUR WORD ON THAT. AND THERE'S NOTHING FOR THEM TO MOVE TO COMPEL AT THAT POINT WHEN 4 5 YOU SAY, "I'VE GIVEN YOU EVERYTHING I'VE GOT. WE DON'T 6 HAVE ANYTHING ELSE TO GIVE YOU." WHAT ARE YOU GOING TO 7 DO? ALL YOU'RE GOING TO DO IS MAKE A MOTION TO COMPEL FURTHER, AND THE JUDGE IS GOING TO SAY, "THEY'VE 8 9 ALREADY TOLD YOU THEY DON'T HAVE ANYTHING FURTHER AT 10 THIS TIME. THERE'S NOTHING FOR ME TO COMPEL." 11 SO I'M A LITTLE TROUBLED BY THE FACT THAT THE 12 REPRESENTATION WAS MADE, EVEN WITH ACKNOWLEDGING YOU 13 HAD NO OBLIGATION TO SUPPLEMENT NORMALLY, IT SEEMS TO 14 ME WHEN YOU SAY YOU'RE GOING TO SUPPLEMENT, I THINK THE 15 PARTY OUGHT TO BE ABLE TO RELY ON WHAT YOU REPRESENTED 16 YOU WOULD DO. 17 MR. PRAGER: AND THE DIFFICULTY I HAVE 18 STANDING HERE RIGHT NOW AND DRAFTING THIS PAPER IS I 19 CANNOT REPRESENT TO THE COURT RIGHT NOW WHEN WE 20 SUPPLEMENTED WITH THE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION. AND I 21 APPRECIATE THE COURT'S CONCERN, BUT I JUST HAVE NOT HAD 22 TIME TO GO BACK IN OUR RECORD AND SAY, "JUDGE, I HEAR 23 YOUR CONCERN. THIS WAS ON MONDAY, AND ON THAT THURSDAY, WE GAVE THE DEFENSE X, Y, AND Z PAPER." 24 25 THE COURT: YES. AND I UNDERSTAND THE TIMING OF THIS BECAUSE WE ALL RECEIVED THE MOTION THIS 26 2.7 MORNING. AND SO MS. NAU HAS OBVIOUSLY BEEN BUSY. AND

I'M GOING TO HEAR FROM YOU IN JUST A SECOND.

SO LET ME HEAR WHAT MS. NAU HAS TO SAY, AND I'LL DECIDE WHAT WE'RE GOING TO DO.

2.7

MS. NAU: YES. JUST A FEW THINGS, YOUR HONOR.

FIRST OF ALL, THE REASON FOR THE SKIPPED PAGES

IS JUST TO NOT INCLUDE 100 PAGES OF THE RESPONSES TO

FORM INTERROGATORIES WHEN THERE WERE ONLY TEN OR SO

RELEVANT THAT INCLUDED THE ACTUAL INTERROGATORIES THAT

ARE AT ISSUE HERE. SO THAT'S THE REASON FOR THE JUMP.

AS FOR NOT INCLUDING THE ATTACHMENT, I BELIEVE PLAINTIFF IS RIGHT THAT IT IS A DISK THAT CONTAINS A LOT OF DOCUMENTS. AND AS MY DECLARATION STATES, I'VE REVIEWED THOSE DOCUMENTS, AND THEY DO NOT CONTAIN THE SPECIFIC DOCUMENTS IDENTIFIED HERE. AND, YOU KNOW, IF THE COURT WANTS ALL OF THAT MATERIAL IN FRONT OF IT, WE CAN PROVIDE IT.

BUT YOUR HONOR IS RIGHT THAT IN THE INITIAL
RESPONSES FROM THE PLAINTIFF AND THE SUPPLEMENTAL
RESPONSES, SHE INDICATED THAT SHE WOULD SUPPLEMENT WITH
ADDITIONAL MATERIAL AS IT BECAME AVAILABLE TO HER. IT
SEEMS THAT MOST OF THESE ITEMS ARE ACTUALLY THINGS THAT
SHE WOULD HAVE HAD. MOST OF THE RECEIPTS ARE FROM
2009, 2010. SO I'M NOT SURE WHY IT WASN'T AVAILABLE TO
HER AT THE TIME SHE WAS RESPONDING TO DISCOVERY.

IN ANY EVENT, WE DID SERVE SUPPLEMENTAL

INTERROGATORIES AND SUPPLEMENTAL REQUESTS FOR

PRODUCTION AT THE CLOSE OF THIS CASE AND DID NOT

RECEIVE ANY OF THIS STUFF IN RESPONSE TO THAT EITHER.

SO, YOU KNOW, THE DEFENSE HAS MADE EFFORTS TO GET AS

MUCH INFORMATION ABOUT PLAINTIFF'S DAMAGES AS WE CAN. 1 2 THE COURT: OKAY. 3 MR. PRAGER: YOUR HONOR? THE COURT: YES, GO AHEAD, MR. PRAGER. 4 5 MR. PRAGER: WE DISPUTE THAT WE FAILED TO 6 RESPOND TO THE MOST RECENT SUPPLEMENTAL. AND AGAIN, 7 IF THAT MAY HAVE OCCURRED, I COULD BE MISSPOKEN BECAUSE OF THE TIMING WE'VE HAD TO REVIEW THIS. OUR POSITION 8 9 COULD BE, BECAUSE, AGAIN, I'M NOT 100 PERCENT CERTAIN, 10 THAT THEY COULD HAVE PROPOUNDED DISCOVERY PAST THE 11 CAUSE, PAST THE TIME THAT THEY HAD TO DO SO. 12 WHAT I CAN TELL YOU BASED ON MY RECOLLECTION 13 IS, THIS ISSUE WAS ADDRESSED WITH JUDGE LINFIELD, AND I 14 BELIEVE THE COURT THEN INVITED THE DEFENSE TO DO WHAT 15 THEY THOUGHT NECESSARY ONCE THAT HARD DRIVE WAS SERVED. 16 AND HERE WE ARE ON THE VERGE OF, WHAT, OUR 18TH TRIAL 17 DAY, WHATEVER IT IS? THERE WAS NO MIL ON THE ISSUE. 18 THERE WAS NO DISCUSSION OF THE NUMEROUS FSCS WE HAD ON 19 OVER THE YEARS ON THE ISSUE. SO I JUST AM A BIT 20 STUMPED AT THE TIMING OF THE REQUEST WHEN, IF THE 21 PLAINTIFF WAS WRONG, AT THE FIRST FSC, WE EACH GAVE AN 22 ADDRESS, AND THEN WE COULD HAVE CORRECTED IT OR AT 23 LEAST HAD MORE TIME TO DEAL WITH IT IN A COGENT 24 FASHION. 25 THE COURT: OKAY. SO MS. NAU? 26 MS. NAU: YES, YOUR HONOR. SO THAT HARD DRIVE 2.7 WE BELIEVE WAS SERVED IN 2013. IT WAS A LONG TIME AGO. 28 BUT IN ANY EVENT, WHEN PLAINTIFF SAID THAT, YOU KNOW,

IT WAS INCUMBENT ON US TO FIGURE OUT WHAT WASN'T ON THE HARD DRIVE, IF PLAINTIFF IS PROVIDING DOCUMENTS IN RESPONSE TO SOMETHING, WE'RE NOT GOING TO KNOW WHAT'S OMITTED. WE DID NOT KNOW THAT THESE SPECIFIC DAMAGES ITEMS WERE MISSING UNTIL THEY WERE INCLUDED IN THE TRIAL EXHIBITS THAT PLAINTIFF GAVE US. AND THAT'S THE REASON FOR BRINGING THE MOTION NOW.

2.7

THE COURT: ONLY ONE AT A TIME, ONE PER SIDE, AND MR. PRAGER HAS PREEMPTED YOU, MR. MCMILLAN. AS WE HAVE ON MANY OCCASIONS, YOU CAN PASS A POST-IT TO HIM.

MR. PRAGER: YOUR HONOR, I HAVE A POST-IT.

THE POINT IS WE BELIEVE THIS WAS NOT 2013. WE BELIEVE
IT WAS LATER THAN THAT. WE BELIEVE IT WAS

CONTEMPORANEOUS WITH THE MANAGEMENT OF THE CASE JUST
PRIOR TO THE TRIAL DATE WE HAD BEFORE THE CASE BECAME A
COMPLEX CASE AND SENT TO YOUR DEPARTMENT ULTIMATELY.

AND WE DO BELIEVE THERE WERE AT LEAST TWO EXTERNAL HARD
DRIVES THAT WERE DELIVERED TO THE DEFENSE DURING THE
PENDENCY OF THIS CASE. SO WE DISPUTE THERE WOULD HAVE
BEEN LATENESS ISSUE THERE. AND ALL THE TRIAL EXHIBITS
WERE ALSO DELIVERED.

AND THE OTHER QUESTION WE'VE NOT YET ADDRESSED IS, I'M NOT SURE IT'S DIRECTLY ON POINT, MS. DUVAL HAS ONGOING LOSSES BECAUSE, FOR EXAMPLE, AS THE COURT KNOWS, SHE HAS SUPERVISED VISITS. SO EVEN IF SHE PRODUCED IN 2015 OR '16 OR WHATEVER ELSE, THERE WOULD HAVE BEEN SOME ONGOING EXPENSES ASSOCIATED WITH THOSE ONGOING VISITS AND THINGS OF THAT NATURE SHE WOULDN'T

```
HAVE HAD AT THE TIME SHE LAST RESPONDED.
1
2
               THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. SO MS. NAU, YOU HAVE
3
      SOMETHING FURTHER TO SAY?
 4
               MS. NAU: I JUST FORGOT TO MENTION THAT OUR
5
      SUPPLEMENTAL DISCOVERIES WERE TIMELY SERVED, AND THESE
6
      WERE NOT INCLUDED IN EITHER HARD DRIVE THAT PLAINTIFF
7
      HAS PROVIDED US WITH.
8
               THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. IS THAT SUPPLEMENTAL
9
      DISCOVERY? I DIDN'T SEE THAT IN THERE. I PROBABLY
10
      OVERLOOKED IT.
11
               MS. NAU: YES. IT'S EXHIBIT C, OUR BOOK, THE
12
      SUPPLEMENTAL REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION AND THE
1.3
      SUPPLEMENTAL INTERROGATORY.
14
               THE COURT: OH, YES, I TAKE IT BACK. I DID
15
      SEE THAT.
16
               MR. PRAGER: AND YOUR HONOR, JUST FOR THE
17
      RECORD, THERE'S A PROOF OF SERVICE ON THE RESPONSE --
18
      WELL, I'LL WITHDRAW THAT.
19
               THE COURT: UM...
20
               MR. PRAGER: YOUR HONOR?
21
               THE COURT: YES.
22
               MR. PRAGER: IF I MAY MAKE ONE OBSERVATION FOR
23
      YOU, THERE IS A PROOF OF SERVICE THAT THE PLAINTIFF HAD
24
      SUPPLEMENTED HER RESPONSES, AND THAT'S JUNE 18TH, 2015.
25
      AND SO FAR AS I CAN TELL, I BELIEVE THAT THE LAST
      RESPONSES PROPOUNDED WERE, I THINK, IN MARCH, AROUND
26
2.7
      THAT PERIOD OF TIME. SO THERE IS PROOF OF SERVICE IN
28
      THE FILE THAT THERE WAS A RESPONSE. AND, AGAIN, THE
```

ATTACHMENT WOULD INCLUDE ALL THE TRIAL EXHIBITS AND
DOCUMENTS AVAILABLE AT THE TIME OF THAT RESPONSE, JUST
TO MAKE THAT CLEAR FOR THE RECORD.
THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
YOUR HONOR?

THE COURT: YES.

2.7

MR. PRAGER: IF IT BENEFITS YOU, AS FAR AS I CAN ASCERTAIN, THE SUPPLEMENTAL DISCOVERY WAS SERVED FEBRUARY 16, 2015 -- FEBRUARY 6, 2015, WITH THE RESPONSE IN JUNE 2015. SO PLAINTIFF REMAINS AT A LOSS AS TO WHAT DISCOVERY WE FAILED TO RESPOND TO.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WELL, THE MOTION CAN'T BE DECIDED AT THIS TIME. I KNOW THAT I HAVEN'T HAD ENOUGH TIME TO BE ABLE TO ADDRESS ALL OF THE ISSUES, POTENTIAL ISSUES THAT ARE IMPLICATED IN THIS MOTION.

AND I DON'T THINK THE DEFENSE HAS EITHER, AND HAVING RECEIVED THE MOTION THIS MORNING, I DON'T THINK THAT -- I THINK THAT THE DEFENSE -- EXCUSE ME, THE RESPONDING PARTY, THE PLAINTIFF, IS ENTITLED TO HAVE -- SHOULD HAVE SOME TIME TO RESPOND.

THE PROPOUNDING OF SUPPLEMENTAL DISCOVERY,
WHICH I DO SEE HERE IN BOTH INTERROGATORIES AND THE
REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION MAY HAVE SOME BEARING ON ANY
RULING THAT I WOULD MAKE IN THIS CASE. I ALREADY
MENTIONED THAT WHEN THERE'S A REPRESENTATION THAT
SOMEONE IS GOING TO SUPPLEMENT, THAT'S A -- A PARTY, I
THINK, MIGHT VERY WELL BE BOUND BY THAT. BUT WHEN THE
PROPOUNDING PARTY THEN PROPOUNDS SUPPLEMENTAL DISCOVERY

TO OBTAIN MATTERS THAT HAVE BEEN REQUESTED PREVIOUSLY
IN RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORIES OR A REQUEST FOR
PRODUCTION MAY REPRESENT A LACK OF RELIANCE ON THE
REPRESENTATION PREVIOUSLY MADE. AND IF THAT WAS THE
CASE, AND THEN THE REMEDY COULD VERY WELL HAVE BEEN TO
MAKE A MOTION TO COMPEL RATHER THAN A MOTION IN LIMINE.

2.7

SO HAVING SAID ALL OF THAT, THERE'S JUST TOO
MANY ISSUES PRESENTED BY THIS. AND AS A RESULT, I'M
GOING -- WE'RE GOING TO CONTINUE WITH THE TESTIMONY OF
MS. DUVAL. BUT MR. MCMILLAN, YOU'RE DIRECTED TO NOT
QUESTION HER ABOUT ANY SUBJECT THAT WOULD SEEK A
RESPONSE OF DAMAGES WHICH ARE BEING CLAIMED WHICH ARE
SUBJECT TO THIS MOTION. AND SO THE RESULT OF THAT WILL
BE THAT PROBABLY THE NEXT TIME WE'LL HAVE AN
OPPORTUNITY, IF ANY, FOR HER TO TESTIFY TO THAT WILL
HAVE TO BE NEXT WEEK ON TUESDAY WHEN WE RESUME. I
THINK IT'S THE ONLY WAY WE CAN RESOLVE ALL OF THESE
ISSUES.

I'LL -- IF YOU COMPLETE HER TESTIMONY TODAY, WHICH I
THINK MAY BE DOUBTFUL ANY WAY, YOU WOULDN'T BE RESTING.
BUT IF YOU DO REACH THE POINT OF OTHERWISE YOU'RE
RESTING SUBJECT TO EXHIBITS, ET CETERA, I WILL ORDER AN
EXCEPTION TO THAT FOR THE PURPOSE, POTENTIAL PURPOSE OF
PROVIDING ADDITIONAL TESTIMONY WHICH REMAINS TO BE SEEN
WHETHER IT'S GOING TO BE PERMITTED. I'M JUST NOT
MAKING ANY RULING AT THIS TIME BECAUSE I THINK A NUMBER
OF PROBLEMS ARE PRESENTED BY THIS.

5814

I DO -- IT CERTAINLY WOULD HAVE BEEN 1 ADVANTAGEOUS FOR ALL OF US IF THIS HAD BEEN PRESENTED 3 AT AN EARLIER TIME RATHER THAN IN THE MIDDLE OF MS. DUVAL'S TESTIMONY. SO IT CATCHES ALL OF US A 4 LITTLE SHORT ON TIME, BUT WE'LL ADDRESS IT, AND I'LL DO 5 6 THE BEST -- I'M NOT SURE THAT I CAN HAVE A RULING READY 7 FOR YOU IN THE MORNING, BUT I'LL DO THE BEST I CAN. AND IF NOT, I'LL GET IT DONE WHEN I CAN. 8 9 MR. MCMILLAN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 10 THE COURT: UNFORTUNATELY, WE'RE NOT GOING TO 11 BE IN SESSION TOMORROW FOR THE JURY, BUT YOU ALL ARE 12 GOING TO HAVE A LOT OF WORK TO DO IN WHICH I WILL 13 PARTICIPATE TO A CERTAIN EXTENT, BUT I DO HAVE OTHER 14 MATTERS I SET FOR TOMORROW BECAUSE WE WEREN'T GOING TO 15 HAVE THE JURORS HERE. AND MONDAY, OF COURSE, BEING A HOLIDAY, IT IS POSSIBLE YOU WOULDN'T GET AN ANSWER 16 17 UNTIL TUESDAY, BUT I'LL DO MY BEST TO GET AN ANSWER TO 18 THIS MOTION BY TOMORROW. 19 MR. MCMILLAN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 20 MS. NAU: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 21 MR. MCMILLAN: SO JUST SO THAT I'M CLEAR, YOUR 22 HONOR, SHOULD I JUST -- AND I DON'T KNOW THAT I'LL GET 23 THERE ANY WAY, ALTHOUGH I CAN CONCEIVABLY SEE GETTING 24 CLOSE -- SHOULD I JUST STAY AWAY FROM DAMAGES 25 COMPLETELY, OR ARE WE JUST TALKING ABOUT THE SPECIFIC ISSUES ADDRESSED HERE? BECAUSE I HAVEN'T HAD A CHANCE 26 2.7 TO GO THROUGH THEM IN AN IN DEPTH WAY --28 THE COURT: WELL, YEAH, THAT -- I WOULD SAY,

IF WE'RE ABLE, THERE MAY BE DAMAGE CLAIMS WHICH ARE NOT A SUBJECT OF THIS MOTION, I DON'T KNOW WHETHER THERE ARE OR NOT. THE PROBLEM WOULD BE WHETHER YOU EVEN HAVE THE TIME TO IDENTIFY DAMAGE CLAIMS WHICH ARE SUBJECT TO THE MOTION AND ONES WHICH ARE NOT.

2.7

SO IF YOU'RE ABLE TO FIND AN ITEM OF DAMAGE WHICH THE TESTIMONY WOULD BE THE SAME AS HAVING BEEN PROVIDED BEFORE, IF YOU'RE ABLE TO DO THAT, GO AHEAD. IF YOU'RE NOT ABLE TO DO IT, THEN I WOULD SAY DON'T ADDRESS DAMAGES AT ALL IN FURTHER TESTIMONY UNTIL WE CAN GET A RULING ON THIS.

MR. MCMILLAN: SO JUST SO I'M CLEAR, LIKE,
THERE'S SOME SPECIFIC ITEMS LIKE THESE MONITORING FEES.
SHE HAS TO PAY A MONITOR FOR THE LAST SEVEN YEARS TO
SIT AND WATCH HER WITH HER CHILD.

THE COURT: YES. IF THAT'S BEEN DISCLOSED -- MR. MCMILLAN: OKAY.

THE COURT: -- IN THE PRIOR RESPONSES TO
DISCOVERY, WHICH COULD BE EITHER RESPONSES TO THE
INTERROGATORIES OR REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OR BOTH, IF
THERE'S A DAMAGE THAT YOU'RE CLAIMING THAT WAS
IDENTIFIED PREVIOUSLY, THEN IT WOULDN'T BE SUBJECT TO
THIS MOTION. THE MOTION IS DIRECTED TO INFORMATION
THEY DIDN'T RECEIVE. AND I RECOGNIZE THAT MAY BE A
LITTLE DIFFICULT TO SORT OUT. NOW, YOU DO HAVE THREE
OTHER PEOPLE WHO, AS HAS BEEN THE CUSTOM AND PRACTICE
THROUGHOUT THE CASE, TO PASS POST-ITS. SO MAYBE ONE OF

```
HIS SUPPLY. AND I JUST DON'T KNOW IF IT WILL BE
1
2
      POSSIBLE, SO IF IT'S NOT POSSIBLE, THEN JUST DON'T
3
      ADDRESS DAMAGES AT ALL.
 4
               MR. PRAGER: I'VE READ THE MOTION, YOUR HONOR,
5
      FOR THE PLAINTIFF, AND I WAS GOING TO SUGGEST THAT IF
6
      MR. MCMILLAN COULD STICK TO GENERAL DAMAGES,
7
      NONECONOMIC DAMAGES, WE COULD PROBABLY PERHAPS ADDRESS
8
      SOME OF THAT TODAY.
9
              MR. MCMILLAN: WELL, THE NON -- WELL, I'M
10
      SORRY. IS IT OKAY IF I COMMENT ON THAT?
11
               THE COURT: SURE.
12
               MR. MCMILLAN: THE NONECONOMIC DAMAGES, AT
1.3
      LEAST THE WAY I SEE THEM PRESENTED, IT'S NOT LIKE WE'RE
14
      GOING TO ASK THE --
15
               THE COURT: SHE WOULDN'T TESTIFY --
16
               MR. MCMILLAN: RIGHT.
17
               THE COURT: SHE CAN TESTIFY TO THE SUBJECT
18
      MATTER --
19
               MR. MCMILLAN: RIGHT.
20
               THE COURT: -- BUT OBVIOUSLY NONECONOMIC
21
      THERE'S NO EVIDENCE PRESENTED OF THE AMOUNT BECAUSE
22
      THAT'S FOR A JURY TO DECIDE.
23
               MR. MCMILLAN: RIGHT.
24
               THE COURT: AND SO THE TESTIMONY THAT WE HAVE
25
      BEEN -- THAT WE HAVE BEEN ENGAGED IN IS TESTIMONY, MUCH
      OF IT WHICH WOULD GO TO THE ISSUE OF NONECONOMIC
26
2.7
      DAMAGES. AND I'M SURE BECAUSE OF JUST WHERE WE ARE IN
28
      THE TIME FRAME OF HER TESTIMONY, THERE'S MORE TO COME.
```

SO THERE'S NO PROBLEM WITH CONTINUING WITH THAT

TESTIMONY BECAUSE THAT ISN'T SUBJECT TO THE MOTION.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2.7

28

MR. MCMILLAN: HOW ABOUT WE DO THIS, THEN, BECAUSE FOR ME TO FIGURE OUT EXACTLY WHAT WOULD HAVE BEEN DISCLOSED OR IS DISCLOSED, I ACTUALLY NEED TO BE ABLE TO SIT DOWN -- AS YOU CAN PROBABLY TELL THERE WAS A MASSIVE AMOUNT OF DISCOVERY THAT WENT BOTH WAYS IN THIS CASE. AND BOTH SIDES HAD MOTIONS TO COMPEL AND BOTH SIDES HAD MULTIPLE SUPPLEMENTATIONS OF DISCOVERY RESPONSES. AND I BELIEVE I WAS PERSONALLY INVOLVED IN THE LAST ONE WHERE THE WAY OF RESPONDING TO THE REQUEST, BY THEN WE HAD AN EXHIBIT LIST THAT ITEMIZED OUT WHAT THE EXHIBITS WERE, WHAT THE EVIDENCE WAS, DAMAGES WERE ALL SET ASIDE IN THEIR OWN CATEGORY. AND THEN WE HAD ALL THE EVIDENCE AND PUT IT ALL TOGETHER IN A PACKAGE AND SAID THIS IS IT. IF THERE'S SOMETHING MISSING, SOMETHING MORE YOU NEED, LET US KNOW. WE'LL FIGURE IT OUT.

WITH RESPECT TO OTHER DISCOVERY RESPONSES, I NEED TO HAVE SOME TIME TO LOOK THROUGH THEM AND SEE WHEN AND WHAT THE RESPONSE WAS.

THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND.

MR. MCMILLAN: THERE WAS A LOT. I DON'T EVEN KNOW THAT I COULD NECESSARILY GET THROUGH THAT BY TOMORROW.

THE COURT: I SUSPECT YOU MIGHT HAVE A PROBLEM OF GETTING THROUGH IT TOMORROW. YOU HAVE OTHER THINGS THAT YOU NEED TO BE WORKING ON BECAUSE WE HAVE A

```
NONJURY DAY, AND THE SUBJECT MATTER ON THIS IS
1
2
      IMPORTANT, BUT WE HAVE OTHER THINGS THAT WE'RE GOING TO
3
      BE WORKING ON TOMORROW, AND YOU NEED TO BE PREPARED TO
 4
      DISCUSS THOSE OTHER MATTERS AS WELL. SO I'M NOT
5
      PUTTING A TIME LIMIT AS TO WHEN YOU'LL BE ABLE TO GET
 6
      TO IT, JUST AS I'M NOT PUTTING A TIME LIMIT AS TO WHEN
7
      I'LL BE ABLE TO MAKE THAT ORDER, WHICH I HOPE WILL BE
      SOMETIME TOMORROW.
8
9
               MR. MCMILLAN: OKAY.
10
               THE COURT: SO I UNDERSTAND THE DIFFICULTY
11
      THIS HAS PRESENTED, BUT LIKE MANY THINGS TO THE CASE,
12
      THERE'S NOT A SIMPLE ANSWER TO IT.
13
               MR. MCMILLAN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
14
               THE COURT: BUT I DO WANT TO GET THE JURORS
15
      IN. THANK YOU. WE'LL GET BACK TO THIS.
16
               (JURY PRESENT)
17
               THE COURT: EVERYONE MAY BE SEATED. WE ARE ON
18
      THE RECORD. EVERYBODY IS PRESENT.
19
               MR. MCMILLAN, YOU MAY CONTINUE QUESTIONING
20
      MS. DUVAL.
21
               MR. MCMILLAN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
22
      BY MR. MCMILLAN:
             MS. DUVAL, BEFORE WE TOOK THE NOON RECESS, WE
23
24
      WERE TALKING ABOUT THE DAY OF THE JURISDICTION
25
      DISPOSITION HEARING. AND YOU JUST EXPLAINED TO US HOW
      YOU MET WITH YOUR ATTORNEY OUT IN THE HALLWAY.
26
2.7
              DO YOU RECALL THAT TESTIMONY?
28
          A YES, I DO.
```

1	Q AND WHAT DID IF YOU COULD JUST SHARE WITH
2	US BRIEFLY THAT BRIEF MOMENT THAT YOU HAD WITH YOUR
3	ATTORNEY OUT IN THE HALLWAY, WHAT WENT ON THERE?
4	A WE SAID HELLO, AND THEN HE ASKED ME TO WAIT
5	THERE UNTIL WE GOT CALLED, BUT HE NEEDED TO BE INSIDE
6	IN ORDER TO KNOW WE WERE GOING TO BE CALLED.
7	Q AND I THINK YOU MIGHT HAVE SAID, BUT I DON'T
8	ACTUALLY RECALL, DID HE HAVE A PILE OF PAPER WITH HIM?
9	A YES, HE HAD JUST RECEIVED WHEN HE GOT THERE
LO	THE JURIS DISPO REPORT.
L1	MS. SWISS: OBJECTION. MOVE TO STRIKE
L2	EVERYTHING AFTER "YES." NONRESPONSIVE SPECULATION.
L3	THE COURT: THE UNDERLYING OBJECTION OF
L 4	NONRESPONSIVE AND SPECULATION, THOSE OBJECTIONS ARE
L 5	SUSTAINED. THE MOTION TO STRIKE IS GRANTED. ALL
L 6	PORTIONS OF THE ANSWER AFTER THE WORD "YES" ARE ORDERED
L 7	STRICKEN AND THE JURY WILL DISREGARD IT.
L 8	GO AHEAD.
L 9	MR. MCMILLAN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
20	BY MR. MCMILLAN:
21	Q DID THE TWO OF YOU TALK AT ALL ABOUT THE
22	PAPERS HE HAD IN HIS HAND?
23	A YES.
24	Q CAN YOU SHARE THAT CONVERSATION WITH US?
25	A HE CAME OUT, AND HE HAD THIS STACK OF PAPERS.
26	AND HE TOLD ME, "THIS IS THE REPORT I JUST GOT. I NEED
27	TO GO INSIDE, AND I'LL COME AND GET YOU."
2.8	O AND YOU WAITED OUT IN THE HALLWAY?

A YES. 1 HOW LONG WAS IT BEFORE SOMEBODY CAME OUT TO 3 GET YOU? 4 I DON'T REMEMBER, BUT I CAN ESTIMATE SHORTLY Α 5 BEFORE 9:00. 6 SHORTLY BEFORE 9:00. AND HOW LONG HAD YOU 7 BEEN WAITING OUT IN THE HALLWAY BEFORE SOMEBODY CAME TO GET YOU? 8 9 Α ABOUT 20 MINUTES. 10 SO YOU CAME INTO THE COURTROOM? Q 11 YES. Α 12 O SHARE WITH US WHAT HAPPENED THERE. 13 A I REMEMBER GOING THROUGH, OR THE JUDGE GOING 14 THROUGH THE ALLEGATIONS. THERE WERE SOME PARTS OF THE 15 REPORT THAT WERE ADDRESSED FIRST, AND THAT KIND OF TOOK A LITTLE BIT OF TIME. AND THEN THERE WERE OTHER ISSUES 16 17 THAT WERE ADDRESSED AS PART OF THE REPORT TOO. BUT 18 AFTER THAT, I DON'T -- I DON'T REMEMBER EVERY SINGLE 19 THING THAT HAPPENED. 20 WELL, WHEN YOU SAY THERE WERE SOME ISSUES THAT 21 WERE ADDRESSED, DO YOU RECALL WHAT SOME OF THOSE ISSUES 22 WERE? 23 A YES. 24 CAN YOU SHARE WITH THAT WITH US? 25 THE MAIN ISSUE WAS THAT SOMEONE -- IT WAS SAID Α 26 ON THE REPORT THAT I HAD TAKEN AN APPLE, AND I HAD 2.7 TAKEN A PIECE OF THE APPLE WITH MY TEETH AND JUST CUT

IT AND GIVEN IT TO THE BABY. AND THAT WAS AN ISSUE AS

BEING UNSANITARY OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT FROM WHAT I 1 2 REMEMBER RIGHT NOW. 3 DO YOU REMEMBER WHO IT WAS THAT WAS 4 COMPLAINING ABOUT YOU FEEDING THE BABY AN APPLE IN THAT 5 WAY? 6 Α YES. 7 O WHO WAS THAT? A MINOR'S COUNSEL. 8 9 Q I'M SORRY? 10 Α MINOR'S COUNSEL. 11 WHO WOULD THAT HAVE BEEN? Q 12 A CARRIE LEE (PHONETIC). O AND WHAT WAS THE RESOLUTION OF THAT ISSUE? 13 14 A I WAS ASKED TO BRING A KNIFE NEXT TIME TO CUT 15 FOOD, OR LIKE A PLASTIC KNIFE, SINCE I CAN'T BRING AN ACTUAL KNIFE, BUT LIKE A PLASTIC KNIFE. 16 17 WHAT ARE SOME OF THE OTHER ISSUES THAT YOU 18 RECALL BEING ADDRESSED AT THAT JURISDICTION DISPOSITION 19 HEARING? A I DON'T REMEMBER THE SPECIFICS. I WILL HAVE 20 21 TO LOOK AT THE REPORT. I KNOW THERE WAS ONE OTHER 22 ISSUE THAT WAS ADDRESSED, I JUST DON'T REMEMBER RIGHT 23 NOW. 24 Q SURE. IF YOU NEED TO TAKE A MOMENT, THAT'S 25 FINE. THE ONLY THING I WOULD ASK IS THAT WHEN YOU FIND WHATEVER IT IS YOU'RE LOOKING FOR TO REFRESH YOUR 26 2.7 RECOLLECTION, PLEASE IDENTIFY FOR US THE PAGE NUMBER BY 28 PROVIDING THE BATES NUMBER IN THE UPPER RIGHT-HAND

1	CORNER.
2	A OKAY.
3	Q MAYBE I CAN HELP YOU. IS THERE SOMETHING IN
4	PARTICULAR YOU'RE LOOKING FOR?
5	A SOMETHING STOOD OUT, BUT RIGHT NOW, I REALLY
6	CAN'T REMEMBER WHAT PEOPLE SAID ABOUT IT. SO I WOULD
7	JUST BE GUESSING. BUT THERE'S ONE MORE ISSUE I WILL
8	HAVE TO HAVE SOME TIME TO THINK BACK.
9	Q OKAY. THAT'S FINE. FOR THE MOMENT, WHY DON'T
10	WE JUST MOVE ON AND GET A LITTLE BIT DEEPER INTO THIS
11	JURISDICTION DISPOSITION HEARING.
12	ABOUT HOW LONG DID THE HEARING LAST?
13	A I DON'T REMEMBER HOW LONG IT LASTED.
14	Q WELL, DO YOU REMEMBER WHETHER OR NOT YOU WERE
15	FINISHED WITH IT BY LUNCH?
16	A I THINK WE HAD TO STAY THROUGH LUNCH. I DON'T
17	KNOW WHY, BUT I DON'T KNOW I DON'T REMEMBER IF IT
18	WAS BECAUSE WE HAD TO GO BACK OR MY ATTORNEY HAD TO GO
19	BACK IN THE AFTERNOON. BUT THERE WAS A REASON WHY. I
20	REMEMBER BEING IN THE CAFETERIA AND JUST, YOU KNOW,
21	FINDING OUT THAT WE HAVE TO GO BACK. SO I DON'T
22	REMEMBER THE REASON RIGHT NOW.
23	Q DID YOU TESTIFY IN THAT HEARING? THEY PUT YOU
24	UP ON STAND?
25	A I DID NOT GO ON THE STAND, NO.
26	Q DID ANY WITNESS TAKE THE STAND AT THAT
27	JURISDICTION DISPOSITION HEARING?
28	A NO. I WAS TOLD THAT WITNESSES DON'T TAKE THE

1	STAND ON THAT HEARING.
2	Q OKAY. WHO WAS PRESENT AT THAT HEARING? I
3	MEAN, OBVIOUSLY YOU WERE, BUT WHO ELSE?
4	A MY MOM. MY FRIEND NORISSA CAME BRIEFLY AND
5	LEFT BY 10:00 O'CLOCK, OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT, AND MY
6	ATTORNEY.
7	Q WAS MR. MILLS THERE?
8	A I DON'T REMEMBER. HE MAY HAVE BEEN. I DON'T
9	REMEMBER.
LO	Q WERE ANY OF THE ANY OF THE SOCIAL WORKERS
L1	HERE OR THERE?
L2	A I DIDN'T SEE THEM AND I DON'T THINK THEY WERE
L3	THERE.
L 4	Q DO YOU KNOW WHETHER OR NOT THE COUNTY HAD AN
L 5	ATTORNEY THERE?
L 6	A YES.
L 7	Q AND I THINK YOU TOLD US THE CHILD HAD AN
L 8	ATTORNEY, YOUR BABY?
L 9	A YES.
20	Q YOU MAY NOT RECALL AND YOU MAY NOT KNOW, JUST
21	TELL US THAT. DO YOU KNOW WHETHER OR NOT THE COURT
22	ACCEPTED ANY EVIDENCE OTHER THAN DOCUMENTS ANY EVIDENCE
23	AT THAT HEARING?
24	A IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING ALL THAT WAS ACCEPTED
25	THAT DAY WAS THIS. THIS IS ALL WHAT WAS PUT INTO
26	EVIDENCE THAT DAY.
27	Q AT THE END OF THAT HEARING WELL, JUST SHARE
28	WITH US, HOW DID THE HEARING END?

I BELIEVE THERE WAS ANOTHER HEARING SET, AND I 1 2 DON'T REMEMBER THE DATE OF THAT HEARING, BUT, LIKE, MAYBE WITHIN A FEW WEEKS OR ALMOST A MONTH, SOMETHING 3 4 LIKE THAT. SO, BUT I DON'T REMEMBER THE DATE RIGHT 5 NOW. I WILL HAVE TO LOOK UP MINUTE ORDERS AND STUFF. DID YOU GET YOUR BABY BACK THAT DAY? 6 7 Α NO. DID YOU GET EXPANDED VISITATION WITH YOUR BABY 8 9 THAT DAY? 10 Α NO. DID YOU ASK FOR EXPANDED VISITATION? 11 0 12 A A FEW WEEKS AFTER, YES. Q DID THE COUNTY'S ATTORNEYS, WHAT DID THEY HAVE 13 14 TO SAY ABOUT THAT WHEN YOU ASKED FOR EXPANDED 15 VISITATION? 16 I FIRST ASKED VICTORIA SCHEELE IF THERE'S Α 17 ANYTHING I CAN DO TO LIBERALIZE THE VISITS, GET MORE 18 TIME, OR MAYBE WE CAN GO OUTSIDE THE FACILITY. AND I 19 WAS TOLD NO. AND THEN I HAD PUT IN A 388, A REQUEST 20 FOR LIBERALIZED VISITS, AND IT WAS UP TO THE DEPARTMENT 21 TO MAKE THAT DECISION. AND I WAS TOLD NO. 22 MS. SWISS: OBJECTION. MOVE TO STRIKE AS 23 NONRESPONSIVE. 24 THE COURT: THE OBJECTION IS SUSTAINED. THE 25 MOTION TO STRIKE IS GRANTED. THE ENTIRE ANSWER WILL BE STRICKEN AND THE JURY DISREGARD IT, BUT YOU CAN ASK THE 26 2.7 QUESTION AGAIN.

MR. MCMILLAN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

1	THE COURT: DO YOU REMEMBER IT?
2	MR. MCMILLAN: I'M STRUGGLING WITH THAT.
3	THE COURT: WELL, WE CAN HAVE THE REPORTER
4	READ IT BACK.
5	MR. MCMILLAN: I'D APPRECIATE THAT.
6	(THE PREVIOUS PORTION WAS READ BACK BY
7	THE COURT REPORTER AS FOLLOWS:
8	"QUESTION: DID THE COUNTY'S
9	ATTORNEYS, WHAT DID THEY HAVE TO SAY
10	ABOUT THAT WHEN YOU ASKED FOR EXPANDED
11	VISITATION?)
12	BY MR. MCMILLAN:
13	Q LET ME TRY AND REPHRASE IT.
14	AT SOME POINT, YOU DID ASK FOR EXPANDED
15	VISITATION; CORRECT?
16	MS. SWISS: OBJECTION. LEADING.
17	THE COURT: OVERRULED. IN THIS INSTANCE.
18	GO AHEAD.
19	THE WITNESS: YES.
20	BY MR. MCMILLAN:
21	Q HOW DID YOU GO ABOUT DOING THAT?
22	A I ASKED THE SOCIAL WORKER TO LIBERALIZE THE
23	VISITS.
24	Q WHICH SOCIAL WORKER?
25	A VICTORIA SCHEELE.
26	Q WHAT DID SHE TELL YOU?
27	A NO.
28	Q DID YOU DO ANYTHING FURTHER TO TRY TO GET
	1

```
1
      EXPANDED VISITATION?
 2
         A YES.
 3
          O WHAT DID YOU DO?
 4
          А
              I PUT IN A 388 PETITION.
 5
             DID YOU DO THAT YOURSELF, OR DID SOMEBODY HELP
      YOU WITH THAT?
 6
 7
         A I REOUESTED THE ATTORNEY TO DO IT.
          O SO THE ATTORNEY DID IT?
 8
9
         Α
              YES.
10
              SHARE WITH US WHAT HAPPENED WITH THAT -- WELL,
      HOLD ON A SECOND.
11
12
              DO YOU KNOW WHETHER OR NOT THE COUNTY OPPOSED
1.3
      YOUR 388 PETITION?
14
         A I -- I DON'T KNOW. I KNOW THAT IT WAS DENIED,
      SO I DON'T KNOW.
15
16
         Q WAS THERE A HEARING ON IT?
17
         A I DON'T KNOW.
18
         O HOW DID YOU FIND OUT THAT YOUR REQUEST FOR
19
      EXPANDED VISITATION BY THIS 388 PETITION HAD BEEN
20
     DENIED?
21
         Α
             E-MAIL.
22
         O I'M SORRY?
23
         A E-MAIL.
24
         O FROM WHO?
25
         Α
             MY ATTORNEY.
             AND I THINK YOU GAVE US A TIME FRAME ON THAT,
26
2.7
      IT WAS WITHIN A COUPLE WEEKS OF THE JURISDICTION
28
      DISPOSITION HEARING. DID I GET THAT RIGHT?
```

1	A YEAH. YEAH, I CAN'T TELL YOU EXACTLY HOW MANY
2	WEEKS, BUT IT WAS A FEW WEEKS.
3	Q GOING BACK FOR A MOMENT TO THE JURISDICTION
4	DISPOSITION REPORT, I UNDERSTAND YOU WERE THERE AT
5	COURT FOR A FEW HOURS THERE THAT DAY, OR AT LEAST IN
6	THE BUILDING DOING THINGS.
7	DID YOU HAVE A CHANCE THEN TO ACTUALLY SIT
8	DOWN AND GO THROUGH AT LEAST THE FIRST PART OF THE
9	REPORT?
10	A YOU MEAN IN THE MORNING?
11	Q ANY TIME DURING THE DAY IN COURT THAT DAY. AT
12	LEAST AS I UNDERSTAND IT, AND CORRECT ME IF I'M WRONG,
13	YOU WERE THERE FOR QUITE A WHILE, LIKE 8:30 UNTIL SOME
14	TIME IN THE AFTERNOON?
15	A THAT'S CORRECT.
16	Q AT ANY POINT DURING THAT WINDOW OF TIME, DID
17	YOU HAVE ANY CHANCE TO SIT DOWN AND AT LEAST START
18	READING THE REPORT?
19	A OVER LUNCH. I SAW SOME OF IT OVER LUNCH.
20	Q I'M GOING TO ASK YOU TO TURN TO PAGE NUMBER
21	0004666 NO, I'M SORRY. 000466. IT'S INTERNAL PAGE
22	NO. 21 TO THE REPORT.
23	THE LAST PARAGRAPH ON THE PAGE THERE ABOUT
24	HALFWAY THROUGH 8TH LINE DOWN OF THE MIDDLE OF THE
25	PAGE, IT STARTS WITH "IN ADDITION."
26	ARE YOU THERE?
27	A YES.
28	Q IT SAYS:

"IN ADDITION, THE CHILD'S REGULAR 1 PEDIATRICIAN, DR. YIM, DISCONTINUED 3 SERVICES DUE TO THE MOTHER'S FAILURE TO 4 COMPLY WITH HER RECOMMENDATIONS." 5 FIRST, DID I READ THAT CORRECTLY? 6 Α YES. 7 ARE THERE ANY OF DR. YIM'S RECOMMENDATIONS 8 THAT YOU FAILED TO COMPLY WITH? 9 Α NO. 10 DO YOU KNOW WHY DR. YIM DISCONTINUED SERVICES IN TREATING BABY RYAN? 11 12 A YES. 13 Q WHY DON'T YOU SHARE THAT WITH US. 14 WHAT DR. YIM AND I HAD SPOKEN WHEN SHE 15 DISMISSED US WAS THAT THE CONFLICT OF THE CUSTODIAL 16 ISSUES GOING ON MADE -- HER AND HER PARTNER MADE THE 17 DECISION, AS WELL HER HAVING TO KIND OF GO BACK AND 18 FORTH AND MEDIATE BETWEEN US AS JUST TO HAVE DOCTOR'S 19 VISITS, IT WAS TOO MUCH FOR THEIR PRACTICE. THAT'S 20 WHAT I UNDERSTOOD. 21 OKAY. SHE DIDN'T -- OR DID SHE RAISE WITH YOU 22 IN THAT CONVERSATION ANYTHING ABOUT YOUR FAILURE, 23 MOTHER'S FAILURE, YOUR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH HER 24 RECOMMENDATIONS? 25 Α NO. 26 Q. EVER? 2.7 NO. A 28 Q THEN THIS CONTINUES ON THE NEXT SENTENCE SAYS:

1		"WITH THE CONCERN FOR POSSIBLE
2		MUNCHAUSEN BY PROXY AND OTHER
3		PERSONALITY DISORDERS FOR MOTHER
4		COUPLED WITH THE SURE UNCERTAINTY OF
5		THE EVENTS WHICH LED TO THE CHILD'S
6		SIGNIFICANT STUNTED DEVELOPMENT WHILE
7		IN MOTHER'S CARE, FURTHER ASSESSMENT IS
8		NECESSARY."
9		FIRST, DID I READ THAT CORRECTLY?
LO	А	YES.
L1	Q	DO YOU RECALL READING THAT SENTENCE THAT DAY?
L2	A	YES.
L3	Q	WHAT DID YOU THINK THAT MEANT?
L 4		MS. SWISS: OBJECTION. LACKS FOUNDATION.
L5	CALLS FOR	R SPECULATION.
L 6		THE COURT: SUSTAINED
L7		MS. SWISS: RELEVANCE.
L 8		THE COURT: SUSTAINED AS TO SPECULATION.
L 9	BY MR. MO	CMILLAN:
20	Q	LET ME ASK IT THIS WAY: WHEN YOU READ IT,
21	WHAT DID	YOU UNDERSTAND IT TO MEAN?
22		MS. SWISS: OBJECTION. SPECULATION.
23		THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
24	BY MR. MO	CMILLAN:
25	Q	WHEN YOU READ IT HERE TODAY, WHAT DO YOU
26	UNDERSTAI	ND IT TO MEAN?
27		MS. SWISS: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE,
28	SPECULAT	ION.

1	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
2	BY MR. MCMILLAN:
3	Q LET ME ASK YOU THIS: THE PHRASE "SURE
4	UNCERTAINTY" FORGET THAT. LET ME BACK UP.
5	"WITH A CONCERN FOR POSSIBLE MUNCHAUSEN BY
6	PROXY AND OTHER PERSONALITY DISORDERS FOR MOTHER."
7	THEN IT SAYS HERE "COUPLED WITH THE SURE UNCERTAINTY."
8	WHEN YOU READ THAT, DID YOU TALK TO WELL,
9	LET ME ASK YOU: WHEN YOU WERE LOOKING AT THIS REPORT
LO	OVER THE LUNCH BACK DURING THAT HEARING, WERE YOU ALONE
L1	OR WERE YOU WITH YOUR ATTORNEY OR SOMEBODY ELSE?
L2	A I DON'T REMEMBER RIGHT NOW WHO I WAS WITH.
L3	Q AT ANY POINT IN TIME DID YOU HAVE AN
L 4	OPPORTUNITY TO SIT DOWN WITH YOUR ATTORNEY AND TALK
L5	ABOUT THIS?
L 6	A YES.
L7	Q WHEN WAS THAT?
L 8	A ABOUT AN HOUR AND A HALF OR 2 HOURS AFTER.
L 9	Q HOUR AND A HALF OR 2 HOURS AFTER WHAT?
20	A AFTER BEING IN THE CAFETERIA.
21	Q WAS THAT AFTER THE DAY IN COURT WAS FINISHED?
22	A YES.
23	Q DO YOU REMEMBER WHERE YOU HAD THAT
24	CONVERSATION?
25	A YES.
26	Q DURING THAT CONVERSATION WERE YOU ABLE TO SIT
27	DOWN WITH YOUR ATTORNEY AND KIND OF GO OVER THIS?
28	A YES.

OKAY. AND WAS YOUR ATTORNEY ABLE TO HELP YOU 1 OUT IN UNDERSTANDING WHAT WAS BEING SAID THERE? 3 MS. SWISS: OBJECTION. LEADING. THE COURT: OVERRULED. 4 5 THE WITNESS: YES. WE DISCUSSED THE ISSUES 6 HERE. 7 BY MR. MCMILLAN: O OKAY. CAN YOU SHARE WITH US SOME OF THOSE 8 9 ISSUES THAT YOU DISCUSSED? 10 WHOEVER MADE THIS STATEMENT, I, AT THE TIME, I Α HAD NOT BEEN SEEN BY AN EXPERIENCED PSYCHOLOGIST OR NO 11 12 ONE HAD DIAGNOSED ME WITH THAT I KNEW WITH ANYTHING 13 LIKE THAT. SO I HAD AN ISSUE WITH IT BECAUSE I WAS IN 14 THERAPY. I HAD NOT BEEN DIAGNOSED WITH THAT IN 15 THERAPY, SO I DIDN'T KNOW WHERE THIS WHOLE THING CAME 16 FROM. 17 O AT SOME POINT IN TIME, DO YOU RECALL ATTENDING 18 AN UP-FRONT ASSESSMENT -- OR LET ME ASK YOU THIS WAY: 19 BECAUSE YOU MAY NOT HAVE KNOWN: DO YOU RECALL MEETING 20 WITH A -- DO YOU RECALL MONIQUE BUSTOS? 21 A YES. 22 O EXPLAIN FOR US WHO IS MONIQUE BUSTOS? 23 A SHE WAS A SOCIAL WORKER FROM HILLSIDES. SHE WAS COMING TO DO AN ASSESSMENT REFERRED BY DCFS, AND 24 25 SHE JUST WANTED TO UNDERSTAND, HAVE SOME BACKGROUND 26 HISTORY ON ME, FAMILY, AND JUST UNDERSTAND WHAT MY 2.7 PERCEPTION OF EVERYTHING THAT WAS GOING ON AND WHAT WAS 28 GOING ON -- WHAT LED TO MY SON BEING DETAINED. BUT IT

WAS MORE GETTING BACKGROUND OF ME AND MY FAMILY AND MY 1 CARE OF THE BABY. BUT THAT WAS THAT. 2 3 OKAY. WHERE DID THIS HAPPEN, THIS INTERVIEW? Α MY HOME. 4 5 Q SHE CAME OUT TO YOUR HOME? 6 Α YES. 7 OKAY. ABOUT HOW LONG DID THIS INTERVIEW TAKE? I DON'T REMEMBER, BUT I CAN ESTIMATE. 8 Α 9 0 PLEASE. 10 BETWEEN AND HOUR AND A HALF TO 2 HOURS, Α 11 SOMETHING LIKE THAT. 12 OKAY. AND DURING THAT HOUR AND A HALF TO 13 2 HOURS, YOU'VE TOLD US SOME OF THE THINGS YOU TALKED 14 ABOUT: FAMILY SITUATION, YOUR PERCEPTION OF WHAT WAS 15 GOING ON; RIGHT? 16 Α YES. 17 CAN YOU SHARE WITH US SOME OF THE DETAILS 18 ABOUT YOUR DISCUSSIONS WITH MS. BUSTOS? 19 Α YES. 20 Q PLEASE DO. 21 THERE WAS QUESTIONS SHE WAS ASKING ME, WHERE I 22 WAS BORN, CHILDHOOD, WHAT KIND OF CHILDHOOD I HAD, 23 EDUCATION, WORK, WHAT I DO AT WORK, MY DUTIES AT WORK. 24 QUESTIONS REGARDING FAMILY MEMBERS, LIKE, YOU KNOW, 25 THEIR HEALTH AND OTHER STUFF. SHE ALSO ASKED ME ABOUT WHAT I THOUGHT WERE THE ISSUES WITH THE BABY AND HOW 26 2.7 EVERYTHING CAME ABOUT. SHE ASKED ME HOW I FELT 28 ABOUT -- ABOUT SPECIFIC THINGS THAT WE TALKED ABOUT.

```
SO THE CONVERSATION WAS JUST TO THAT EXTENT.
1
              FOCUSING JUST FOR A MOMENT ON ISSUES WITH THE
      BABY -- ACTUALLY, WAIT A MINUTE. YOU DID THIS AT YOUR
3
 4
      HOUSE.
               DID YOU HAVE YOUR MEDICAL RECORDS THERE WITH
5
 6
      YOU?
7
          A YES.
          O THE BINDERS?
8
9
          Α
              YES.
10
              OKAY. DURING THIS INTERVIEW WITH MS. BUSTOS,
          Q
      DID YOU TALK ABOUT ANY OF THOSE MEDICAL RECORDS?
11
12
          A
             WE TALKED ABOUT IT.
         Q DID YOU SHOW THEM TO HER?
13
14
              I DON'T REMEMBER RIGHT NOW IF I SHOWED IT TO
15
      HER OR NOT, BUT WE -- WE SPOKE ABOUT ISSUES WITH
      DOCTORS, THE FEEDING ISSUES THAT WERE GOING AND WE
16
17
      TALKED ABOUT IT.
          Q OKAY. SPECIFICALLY, DID YOU TALK TO HER ABOUT
18
19
      THE BABY'S EVALUATION AT EASTERN LOS ANGELES REGIONAL
20
      CENTER?
21
             THAT WAS PART OF IT, YES.
         A
22
          O WHAT DID YOU TELL HER ABOUT THAT?
23
          A I WAS EXPLAINING TO HER THAT MY -- MY BELIEF
24
      AT THE TIME WAS THE BABY WAS HAVING SENSORY
25
      INTEGRATION, SENSORIAL ISSUES AND THAT MAYBE THAT WAS
26
      THE REASON WHY HE WAS -- BEEN, YOU KNOW, STRIKING TO
2.7
     EAT AND UP AND DOWN IN HIS FEEDINGS. I HAD TALKED TO
28
      HER ABOUT THE EFFORTS THAT I WAS DOING TO FEED THE
```

BABY, PROVIDE DIFFERENT TYPE OF FOODS, MAINTAIN THE
BREAST-FEEDING SO THAT AT LEAST I KNOW HE IS GETTING
SOME SORT OF NUTRIENTS IN HIS BODY AND JUST ALL THE
DIFFERENT EFFORTS I WAS MAKING TO GET HIM TO A DOCTOR
TO FIND A PEDIATRICIAN, EVEN ME TAKING CLASSES OR
READING BOOKS ON HOW TO HAVE A BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF
HOW TO HELP MY BABY.

Q AND THEN IN ADDITION TO THAT, DID YOU TALK TO MS. BUSTOS AT ALL ABOUT MR. MILLS IN THE -- WHAT WAS GOING ON WITH MR. MILLS?

A YES.

2.7

Q TELL US A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF THAT PART OF YOUR CONVERSATION WITH MS. BUSTOS?

A ONE OF HER QUESTIONS WAS IF I HAD HAD
CONFLICTS IN THE LAST 30 DAYS, AND I SAID "YES, I
HAVE." AND SHE WENT ON TO ASK ME WHAT KIND OF
CONFLICTS. SO I GAVE HER MANY THAT I CAN REMEMBER
RIGHT NOW, I GAVE HER FOUR DIFFERENT CONFLICTS THAT I
HAD IN THE LAST 30 DAYS.

Q WHAT WERE THEY?

A DEFINITELY TRYING TO GET HELP FOR OUR SON, FOR RYAN, CONFLICTS AT WORK BECAUSE OF WHAT I DO. I HAVE TO TELL PEOPLE WHAT TO DO. SOMETIMES PEOPLE DON'T LIKE THAT, SO -- BECAUSE THE QUESTION CALLED ANY KIND OF CONFLICT, I SAID THAT. ONE OF THEM WAS CONFLICT WITH COWORKERS BECAUSE IF I'M GIVEN AN ASSIGNMENT TO GO TO SOMEONE AND SAY, "WHERE'S THE RECEIPTS?" SO I HAD TO GO IN AND ENFORCE THAT. AND SO THAT WAS PRETTY MUCH THE

```
EXTENT OF THE CONFLICTS THAT I -- OH, THE LAST CONFLICT
1
2
      WAS CONFLICT WITH MY DAD ARGUING OVER WHAT HAPPENED.
3
             CONFLICT WITH YOUR FATHER?
 4
         Α
              YES.
5
              CAN YOU SHARE WITH US A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THIS
      CONFLICT WITH YOUR FATHER.
6
7
              MS. SWISS: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE.
8
               THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
9
      BY MR. MCMILLAN:
10
             YOU JUST TOLD US THAT ONE OF THE CONFLICTS
      THAT YOU SHARED WITH MS. BUSTOS RELATED TO AN ISSUE
11
12
     WITH YOUR FATHER THAT AROSE OUT OF NOVEMBER 3RD.
13
              DO YOU REMEMBER THAT TESTIMONY?
14
         Α
              YES.
              MS. SWISS: OBJECTION. LEADING.
15
16
              THE COURT: OVERRULED.
17
               THE WITNESS: YES.
18
    BY MR. MCMILLAN:
19
          Q WHAT WAS THE CONFLICT WITH YOUR FATHER ABOUT
20
      NOVEMBER 3RD?
21
              MS. SWISS: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE.
22
               THE COURT: OVERRULED.
23
              GO AHEAD.
24
              THE WITNESS: I WAS VERY ANGRY BECAUSE I
25
      SPECIFICALLY ASKED MY FATHER AT THE TDM TO LET ME
26
     HANDLE THIS, TO LET ME -- LET ME DO THIS. AND THAT
2.7
     DIDN'T GO THAT WAY.
2.8
      ///
```

BY MR. MCMILLAN: 1 IS THERE ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU TALKED ABOUT 3 WITH MS. BUSTOS, ANYTHING SPECIFIC TO MR. MILLS? YES, WE WENT INTO -- INTO CONVERSATIONS. 4 5 OKAY. ABOUT WHAT? Q 6 CUSTODY, VISITATION ISSUES, CHOOSING MEDICAL 7 HELP FOR RYAN. I SPECIFICALLY HAD TALKED TO HER THAT I WAS CONSTANTLY BLAMED AT DOCTOR'S APPOINTMENTS OR --8 9 I'M CONSTANTLY HAVING TO DEFEND MYSELF IN PLACES 10 BECAUSE RYAN MILLS IS CONSTANTLY ACCUSING ME OF DOING 11 THINGS THAT I'M NOT DOING. AND WE -- WE TALKED A LOT 12 ABOUT THAT. 13 WHAT SORTS OF THINGS WAS HE ACCUSING YOU OF? Q 14 MS. SWISS: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE. 15 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. LET ME SEE COUNSEL. 16 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD AT 17 SIDEBAR.) 18 THE COURT: WE'RE AT SIDEBAR WITH COUNSEL. 19 WHAT IS THE RELEVANCE OF, IN FACT, THE WHOLE LINE OF 20 QUESTIONING OF WHAT SHE TOLD MS. BUSTOS DURING -- WHY 21 ARE WE GOING THROUGH ALL OF THIS? 22 MR. MCMILLAN: I'M TRYING NOT TO ASK LEADING 23 QUESTIONS, AND I WAS HOPING THAT SHE WOULD JUST GET TO 24 IT, BUT ONE OF THE THINGS WITH THE UP-FRONT ASSESSMENT 25 IS THE FACT THAT IT WAS MS. DUVAL THAT BROUGHT UP THE 26 ISSUE OF MR. MILLS ACCUSING HER OF MUNCHAUSEN BY PROXY, 2.7 AND THAT'S WHY THE ASSESSMENT FOR MUNCHAUSEN BY PROXY

EVEN GOT DONE. IT WAS AT HER REQUEST, NOT DEFENSE'S.

AND THAT'S -- I JUST WANT TO GET IT OUT THERE THAT IT 1 2 WAS HER BRINGING IT UP. IT'S NOT SOMETHING SHE WAS 3 BEING ACCUSED OF BY THE AGENCY. AND THEN THEY LATCHED 4 ON TO THIS MUNCHAUSEN THING AND JUST BLEW IT UP IN AN EXCUSE TO RAILROAD HER THROUGH THE WHOLE CASE. THAT'S 5 6 ULTIMATELY WHERE IT GOES, BUT NOT WITH THIS WITNESS, 7 OBVIOUSLY. 8 THE COURT: I THINK I MISSED A LITTLE BIT OF 9 THIS. EVENTUALLY, THIS IS GOING TO LEAD TO SOME 10 TESTIMONY ABOUT --MR. MCMILLAN: NO, THERE'S ALREADY BEEN 11 12 SUBSTANTIAL TESTIMONY ABOUT THE UP-FRONT ASSESSMENT AND 1.3 THIS MUNCHAUSEN BUSINESS. 14 THE COURT: RIGHT. 15 MR. MCMILLAN: OKAY. THE WAY THE WHOLE MUNCHAUSEN BUSINESS GOT STARTED WAS AT THE UP-FRONT 16 17 ASSESSMENT WHEN MS. DUVAL WAS ASKED ABOUT THESE 18 CONFLICTS. MS. DUVAL BROUGHT UP WITH MS. BUSTOS --19 WELL, DAD KEEPS ACCUSING ME OF MUNCHAUSEN BY PROXY. 20 HIS FAMILY, EVERYBODY IN THE CHURCH, THAT'S WHY 21 MS. BUSTOS EVEN ASSESSED BRINGING IT UP BUT FOR 22 MS. DUVAL BRINGING IT UP AS A CONFLICT AND CAUSATION. 23 THE COURT: OKAY. WHY DON'T WE JUST ASK THE 24 OUESTION AS OPPOSED TO GOING THROUGH --25 MR. MCMILLAN: BECAUSE I DON'T WANT TO LEAD HER. I DON'T KNOW HOW TO GET THERE. 26 2.7 THE COURT: WELL, YOU CAN CERTAINLY ASK 28 WITHOUT LEADING WHETHER SHE HAD ANY CONVERSATION WITH

1	MS. BUSTOS ABOUT MUNCHAUSEN BY PROXY.
2	MR. MCMILLAN: OKAY.
3	THE COURT: THAT'S A DIRECT QUESTION. THAT'S
4	NOT LEADING.
5	MR. MCMILLAN: BECAUSE IT'S SUGGESTING WHAT
6	WE'RE GOING TO TALK ABOUT
7	THE COURT: THAT'S NOT LEADING. THAT'S A
8	QUICK WAY OF GETTING TO THE SUBJECT.
9	MR. MCMILLAN: ALL RIGHT. ALL RIGHT. FAIR
10	ENOUGH.
11	THE COURT: OKAY.
12	(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN
13	OPEN COURT IN THE PRESENCE OF THE
14	JURY.)
15	MR. MCMILLAN: MAY I?
16	THE COURT: YES, GO AHEAD.
17	BY MR. MCMILLAN:
18	Q SO IN THE CONVERSATIONS WITH MS. BUSTOS, DID
19	YOU TALK TO HER AT ALL ABOUT THE MUNCHAUSEN BY PROXY
20	BUSINESS?
21	A I HAD EXPRESSED TO HER THAT THE FATHER WAS
22	CONSTANTLY AND HIS FAMILY CONSTANTLY ACCUSED ME OF
23	NOT FEEDING THE BABY, AND YEAH.
24	Q WELL, LET ME ASK YOU SPECIFICALLY: DID YOU
25	BRING UP WITH HER THE WORD OR PHRASE "MUNCHAUSEN
26	SYNDROME BY PROXY"?
27	A YES.
28	O OKAY SHARE WITH HIS JUST THAT SNIPPET OF YOHR

1	CONVERSATION.
2	A I HAD TOLD HER THAT RYAN MILLS AND HIS FAMILY
3	WERE CONSTANTLY ACCUSING ME OF BEING SOMEONE WHO WAS
4	LIKE MUNCHAUSEN.
5	Q AND THEN AS PART OF HER ASSESSMENT, DID SHE DO
6	ANYTHING IN RELATION TO THIS MUNCHAUSEN BY PROXY?
7	A NOT THAT I KNOW OF. SHE JUST WROTE IT DOWN.
8	Q SHE HAD A LITTLE NOTE PAD OR SOMETHING?
9	A SHE HAD LIKE A TABLET, I THINK. SHE HAD
10	SOMETHING SHE WAS WRITING DOWN WHATEVER SHE ASKED ME.
11	SHE WAS WRITING DOWN WHATEVER I SAID.
12	Q ONCE YOU FINISHED YOUR INTERVIEW, DID YOU EVER
13	MEET OR TALK WITH HER AGAIN?
14	A NO.
15	Q DID YOU EVER RECEIVE ANY CORRESPONDENCE FROM
16	HER, A REPORT OR LETTER, ANYTHING LIKE THAT?
17	A NO.
18	Q DID ANYBODY EVER EVER TALK TO YOU OR TELL
19	YOU ANYTHING ABOUT WHAT IT WAS WHAT PURPOSE WHAT
20	FUNCTION SHE WAS PERFORMING THERE THAT DAY?
21	A OTHER THAN JUST COMING TO DO AN ASSESSMENT ON,
22	YOU KNOW, ME AND MY, YOU KNOW, THE HISTORY OF
23	BACKGROUND AND THAT'S THAT. THAT WAS IT AS TO WHAT I
24	UNDERSTOOD.
25	Q OKAY. DID SHE OR ANYBODY ELSE EXPLAIN TO YOU
26	AT ANY POINT IN TIME WHAT THAT ASSESSMENT, THE
27	INFORMATION THAT SHE GATHERED THAT DAY WOULD BE USED
28	FOR?

1	A NO.
2	Q GOING BACK TO THAT CONVERSATION THAT YOU HAD
3	WITH YOUR ATTORNEY AT THE END OF THE DAY ON THE DAY OF
4	THE JURISDICTION DISPOSITION HEARING, HOW MUCH OF THIS
5	PILE OF DOCUMENTS DID YOU GO THROUGH WITH HIM?
6	A JUST A FEW PAGES OF IT.
7	Q OKAY. SO JUST A FEW PAGES. WOULD THAT HAVE
8	BEEN LIKE THE BODY OF THE REPORT ITSELF OR MORE?
9	A THE BODY OF THE REPORT AND A FEW OF THE
10	EXHIBITS. I DON'T REMEMBER HOW MANY OF THE EXHIBITS,
11	BUT JUST A FEW OF THE EXHIBITS.
12	Q OKAY. AND FROM THAT POINT GOING FORWARD, I
13	THINK YOU TOLD US EARLIER THAT YOUR VISITATIONS WITH
14	YOUR BABY STAYED THE SAME AS THEY HAD BEEN AT THE
15	DETENTION. AM I RIGHT ON THAT?
16	A YES.
17	Q AT SOME POINT IN TIME DID THAT CHANGE?
18	A NO.
19	Q SO YOU AT WHAT POINT IN TIME LET ME ASK
20	YOU THIS WAY: AT SOME POINT IN TIME, WE KNOW THE
21	DEPENDENCY PROCEEDINGS ENDED; RIGHT?
22	A YES.
23	Q FROM THE DETENTION HEARING, NOVEMBER 6TH,
24	2009, UP TO THE END OF THE DEPENDENCY PROCEEDINGS, DID
25	YOUR VISITATION SCHEDULE WITH YOUR BABY EVER CHANGE?
26	A NO.
27	Q SO IT REMAINED THE 1.5 HOURS A WEEK TWICE A
28	WEEK?

Α YES. 1 DID YOU EVER MISS ANY VISITS? 3 MAYBE ONE, BUT NO, I WAS -- I RELIGIOUSLY 4 ATTENDED MY VISITS. 5 Q THAT WAS IMPORTANT TO YOU? 6 Α YES. 7 WHY? WHY IS THAT? 0 8 I WANTED TO SEE MY BABY. Α 9 AND AT THESE VISITS, SHARE WITH US SOME OF THE 10 THINGS YOU WOULD DO WITH YOUR BABY AT THESE VISITS. 11 WE WOULD PLAY, WE WOULD SING, I WOULD BRING 12 TWO HUGE BAGS OF TOYS, ALL KINDS OF STUFF, HAVE MUSIC ON THE COMPUTER OR THERE WAS THIS NURSERY RHYME THING 1.3 14 THAT HE LIKED. HE WASN'T WATCHING IT, HE LIKES TO HEAR 15 IT, SO I WOULD COME IN, SET UP MY COMPUTER, AND HAVE 16 HIM HEAR HIS NURSERY RHYMES, JUST LIKE HE WOULD DO AT 17 HOME. Q WHAT SORTS OF NURSERY RHYMES? 18 19 MOTHER GOOSE, VOLUME I AND II, THE 20 DIFFERENT -- ALL THE VOLUMES, I GUESS. 21 AND THE GAMES AND TOYS, THINGS LIKE THAT, THAT 22 YOU PLAYED WITH, WERE THERE ANY SPECIAL TOYS THAT YOU'D 23 BRING? 24 Α SOME. 25 LIKE WHAT? Q. 26 Α STACKING CUPS. 2.7 LIKE THIS? Q 28 Α YES.

WHAT WAS THE PURPOSE OF THOSE? 1 Q THOSE, THEY HELP WITH FINE MOTOR SKILLS THAT, 3 WHAT'S CALLED THE PINCER GRASP BECAUSE THE BABY HAS TO 4 PUT HIS LITTLE FINGERS AROUND AND TRY TO MANIPULATE THE 5 CUPS. OR IT HELPS WITH EYE COORDINATION TO BE ABLE TO 6 HOLD OR JUST ATTEMPT, IF IT IS ON THE FLOOR, TO ATTEMPT 7 TO PUT OR MANIPULATE WHICH ONE GOES INSIDE OR -- OF THE OTHER. SO JUST DIFFERENT THINGS, WHATEVER HE WANTED TO 8 9 TRY THAT DAY OR WHATEVER I MODEL FOR HIM AS TO HOW TO 10 PLAY WITH THE TOYS.

Q SO SPECIFICALLY WITH THIS IDEA OF WORKING ON PINCER AND FINE MOTOR ISSUES, WHERE DID YOU LEARN ABOUT ALL THAT?

MS. SWISS: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE.

THE COURT: OVERRULED.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2.7

28

THE WITNESS: IN ONE OF THE EXHIBITS, I HAD
TOLD THE WORKER THAT I HAD, AS PART OF THE BIRTHING
CLASSES, I HAD READ BOOKS ABOUT PLAY TIME AND THAT I
ALSO HAD SIGNED UP FOR A CLASS ON HOW, YOU KNOW, WITH
CHILDREN WHO HAD SENSORIAL OR DEVELOPMENTAL DELAY AND
THINGS YOU CAN USE, PROPS, TOYS YOU CAN USE TO KIND OF
HELP THEM WITH WHATEVER ISSUE YOU WANTED TO WORK THAT
DAY, WHETHER IT IS FINE GROSS MOTOR OR PUSHING
SOMETHING TO BALANCE OR JUST DIFFERENT THINGS.

I HAD BOOKS AND MAGAZINES WITH TOYS.
BY MR. MCMILLAN:

Q AND THESE CUPS, WERE THOSE ONE OF THE THINGS
THAT YOU LEARNED ABOUT IN YOUR BOOKS AND CLASSES?

Α YES. 1 2 WHAT SORTS OF OTHER THINGS -- WELL, LET ME ASK 3 YOU THIS FIRST: DID YOU BRING ANY OTHER, I DON'T KNOW, 4 WHAT WOULD YOU CALL THESE, LIKE, THERAPEUTIC TOYS? 5 Α SOME. NOT ALL OF THEM WERE THERAPEUTIC. 6 THERE WAS ANOTHER ONE THAT MOST CHILDREN USE, MOST 7 CHILDREN PLAY WITH. THEY LOOK LIKE A DONUT, AND THE CHILD JUST PUTS IT, HOPEFULLY TO TEACH THEM THERE'S A 8 9 BIGGER ONE -- ORGANIZATION, TO TEACH ORGANIZING SKILLS. 10 THE BIGGER ONE GOES ON THE BOTTOM, THEN SMALLER, 11 SMALLER, SMALLER GOES TO THE TOP. IT'S JUST DIFFERENT 12 WAYS TO TEACH ORGANIZATION SKILLS. 13 AND IN SOME OF THESE DOCUMENTS, THERE'S A 14 PHRASE "INSIDE/OUTSIDE"? DO YOU KNOW WHAT THAT MEANS? 15 Α YES. WHAT IT REFERENCES? 16 Q 17 YES. Α Q CAN YOU EXPLAIN THAT TO US? 18 19 Α IT'S RELATED TO THE CUPS, STACKING CUPS. OKAY. EXPLAIN TO US, WHAT ARE YOU TALKING 20 21 ABOUT WHEN YOU'RE SAYING INSIDE/OUTSIDE? 22 ONE DAY, I WAS TRYING TO SHOW THE BABY HOW TO 23 PLAY WITH THE CUPS, PUTTING THEM TOGETHER. AND I TOOK 24 TWO CUPS AND DIFFERENT COLORS AND I SAID, "THE BIGGER 25 ONE INSIDE, OUTSIDE. INSIDE, OUTSIDE." AND SOMEHOW THAT MADE IT INTO THIS REPORT AS SOMETHING BAD. 26 2.7 Q WHO WAS THE VISITATION MONITOR THAT DAY?

A VICTORIA SCHEELE.

1	Q DO YOU RECALL WHEN IT WAS THAT VICTORIA
2	SCHEELE STARTED REGULARLY MONITORING YOUR VISITS?
3	A DECEMBER 28TH I BELIEVE. I THINK SHE WAS ON
4	VACATION ON THE 24TH. 28TH, I BELIEVE, WAS THE FIRST
5	TIME SHE MONITORED MY VISITS.
6	Q YOU'VE GOT TO SPEAK UP A LITTLE BIT.
7	A I THINK IT WAS EITHER THE 24TH OR THE 28TH,
8	BUT DEFINITELY BY THE 28TH, SHE WAS MONITORING MY
9	VISITS OF DECEMBER OF 2009.
L 0	Q DO YOU HAVE ANY UNDERSTANDING AS TO WHY IT WAS
L1	THAT SHE WAS NOW MONITORING YOUR VISITS AS OPPOSED TO
L2	MS. ENNIS?
L3	A ALL SHE HAD TOLD ME WAS THE DEPARTMENT WANTED
L 4	SOMEONE FROM THE DEPARTMENT TO MONITOR THE VISITS.
L 5	Q DID THE LOCATION OF YOUR VISITS CHANGE AT ALL
L 6	AT THAT POINT?
L 7	A THE CASE WAS TRANSFERRED FROM METRO NORTH TO
L 8	LAKEWOOD, SO I HAD JUST BEGUN GOING TO LAKEWOOD.
L 9	Q AND THAT WAS WHEN MS. SCHEELE STARTED
20	REGULARLY MONITORING YOUR VISITS WAS AT LAKEWOOD?
21	A YES.
22	Q I'M CURIOUS WHERE LAKEWOOD IS, BUT I'M NOT
23	GOING TO ASK THAT QUESTION BECAUSE I'M PROBABLY THE
24	ONLY ONE THAT DOESN'T EXACTLY KNOW.
25	NOW, MS. SCHEELE, WHEN SHE FIRST PICKED UP THE
26	RESPONSIBILITY TO MONITOR OR SUPERVISE YOUR VISITS, DID
27	YOU HAVE A CHANCE TO MEET WITH HER AND TALK WITH HER AT
2.8	ALL ABOUT HER EXPECTATIONS AND YOURS?

YES, WE TALKED FOR A LITTLE BIT. 1 Α WAS THAT THAT FIRST DAY ON THE 28TH? 3 YES. Α BEFORE OR AFTER THE VISIT? 4 5 Α I DON'T REMEMBER. 6 DO YOU RECALL THE SUBSTANCE OF YOUR 7 CONVERSATION WITH MS. SCHEELE AT THAT POINT IN TIME ON THE 28TH? 8 9 A YES. 10 OKAY. CAN YOU SHARE THAT WITH US? Q 11 YES. SHE HAD ASKED ME ABOUT WHY I WAS IN THIS 12 SITUATION. SHE TOLD ME SHE WANTED TO HELP ME REUNIFY 13 WITH RYAN, AND I WAS SO HAPPY ABOUT THAT. SO PRETTY 14 MUCH ASKED ME QUESTIONS ABOUT FEEDINGS, FEEDING ISSUES, 15 AND I TALKED TO HER ABOUT FEEDING ISSUES. I DID 16 DISCLOSE TO HER THAT I HAD ALLERGIES, THAT I TREMOR, 17 AND THAT I HAD LOW BLOOD PRESSURE, AND I WOULD GET 18 HEADACHES AS WELL. 19 SHE HAD ASKED ME AT THAT VISIT WHY I TREMOR. 20 I TOLD HER THAT MY FATHER AND MY BROTHER, WE HAD ALL 21 BEEN DIAGNOSED WITH A PARKINSONIAN DISORDER. AND WHEN 22 WE'RE STRESSED OUT, WE TREMOR. 23 DID SHE HAVE ANY -- WHAT DID SHE HAVE TO SAY 24 ABOUT THAT, IF ANYTHING? 25 ACTUALLY, SHE ASKED ME IF MY DAD WAS GOING TO Α COME TO THE VISITS SO SHE COULD MEET HIM, AND I SAID 26 2.7 NO, HE WAS OUT OF TOWN.

Q WAS YOUR MOM STILL COMING TO VISITS AT THAT

1	POINT IN TIME?
2	A YES.
3	Q FOR HOW LONG WAS YOUR MOM PERMITTED TO
4	CONTINUE COMING TO THOSE VISITS?
5	A I DON'T REMEMBER EXACTLY WHEN SHE ASKED ME TO
6	NOT LET MY MOM COME TO THE VISITS, BUT AT SOME POINT,
7	SHE DID ASK FOR MY MOM NOT COME TO THE VISITS.
8	Q WHEN YOU SAY "SHE," WHO ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT?
9	A MS. SCHEELE.
10	Q DO YOU HAVE ANY UNDERSTANDING WHY IT WAS THAT
11	MS. SCHEELE DIDN'T WANT YOUR MOTHER COMING WITH YOU TO
12	THE VISITS ANYMORE?
13	A SHE SAID THAT THE VISITATION WAS ONLY FOR ME
14	AND MY SON, AND THAT MY MOM WAS NOT ALLOWED TO COME.
15	Q DID YOUR MOM, EVEN THOUGH SHE WASN'T ALLOWED
16	TO COME INTO THE VISIT WITH YOU, DID SHE CONTINUE
17	COMING WITH YOU TO THE BUILDING?
18	A YES.
19	Q HOW LONG DID THAT GO ON?
20	A TILL THE END.
21	Q TILL AUGUST SOMETIME?
22	A YES.
23	Q WHAT WOULD SHE DO WHILE YOU WERE IN YOUR
24	VISITS, IF YOU KNOW?
25	A STAY IN THE CAR.
26	Q NOW, DO YOU RECALL A VISIT THIS WOULD HAVE
27	BEEN IN THE TIME AFTER MS. SCHEELE WAS YOUR VISITATION
28	SUPERVISOR DO YOU RECALL A VISIT WHEN YOU BECAME

PRETTY ILL? 1 DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN THAT WAS? 3 YES. Α WHEN WAS THAT? 4 5 Α THERE WERE SEVERAL VISITS WHEN THAT HAPPENED. 6 ONE WAS IN FEBRUARY OF 2010, AND THERE WAS ANOTHER ONE 7 PROBABLY A FEW WEEKS AFTER. OKAY. LET'S START WITH FEBRUARY 2010. 8 0 9 CAN YOU TELL US A LITTLE BIT ABOUT WHAT WAS 10 GOING ON IN THAT VISIT? I CAME IN, I LET HER KNOW THAT I HAD A 11 12 POUNDING HEADACHE AND THAT MY BLOOD PRESSURE WAS VERY LOW AND THAT I NEEDED TO JUST LAY DOWN AND I WAS GOING 13 14 TO JUST BE ON THE FLOOR WITH THE BABY. AND THAT I -- I 15 WAS ASKING HER TO PLEASE NOT TO HARASS ME, DON'T TALK 16 TO ME ABOUT ANYTHING. WHATEVER YOU HAVE TO TALK TO ME, 17 IF WE CAN DO IT ON THE NEXT VISIT, BUT TO NOT ARGUE 18 WITH ME THAT DAY. 19 WELL, UP UNTIL THAT DAY, I MEAN, "DON'T HARASS 20 ME, DON'T ARGUE WITH ME." PRIOR TO THAT DAY HAD SHE 21 BEEN ARGUING WITH YOU OR HARASSING YOU? 22 Α YES. 23 O HOW? 24 SHE WOULD WAIT EITHER BEFORE THE VISIT OR 25 AFTER THE VISIT WHEN I'M PICKING UP THE TOYS, SHE WOULD 26 COME TO ASK ME QUESTIONS. BUT OFTENTIMES, THE 2.7 CONVERSATION WAS VERY OFFENSIVE AT TIMES. Q OFFENSIVE. WHAT DO YOU MEAN? 28

IN ONE OCCASION, SHE ASKED ME IF I WASN'T 1 TIRED OF BREAST-FEEDING. AND I SAID NO. MY BABY -- I 3 LIKE TO BREAST-FEED MY BABY. AND SHE -- SHE SAYS, 4 "WELL, DON'T YOU FEEL LIKE A COW FOR BREAST-FEEDING?" 5 AND I JUST STORMED OUT. I WAS LIKE, I'M DONE. I 6 STORMED OUT. 7 WHEN DID THAT CONVERSATION HAPPEN? SOMETIME IN JANUARY OR MID-JANUARY, SOMETHING 8 Α 9 LIKE THAT. 10 BETWEEN THAT EPISODE IN MID-JANUARY AND THIS TIME IN FEBRUARY WHEN YOU LAID DOWN AND ASKED HER NOT 11 12 TO HARASS YOU OR ARGUE WITH YOU, HAD THERE BEEN ANY 1.3 OTHER INSTANCES WHERE SIMILAR SORTS OF THINGS HAPPENED? THAT WAS USUALLY THE NORM. THE QUESTION WOULD 14 15 BE HOW LONG IT WOULD HAPPEN, LIKE THE DURATION. SO THAT WAS AT ALMOST EVERY VISIT FOR ME. 16 17 YOU SAID THAT THERE WAS ANOTHER EPISODE AFTER 18 THIS FIRST ONE IN FEBRUARY WHERE YOU WERE HAVING SOME 19 TROUBLE. CAN YOU SHARE WITH US WHAT THAT WAS ALL 20 ABOUT? 21 YES. THAT WEEK, TUESDAY, WE HAD GOTTEN INTO Α 22 AN ARGUMENT. RIGHT NOW I DON'T REMEMBER WHY. 23 THURSDAY, I CAME IN, I WAS VERY ILL, AND I ASKED HER 24 FOR AN ACCOMMODATION. I ASKED HER TO ALLOW MY MOM TO 25 BE IN THE VISIT BECAUSE I WASN'T FEELING WELL. AND SHE 26 SAID NO. AND MAYBE 15, 20 MINUTES INTO THE VISIT, I 2.7 WAS ABOUT TO PASS OUT. AND I -- BY THEN, SHE HAD LEFT

THE ROOM. SHE LEFT ANIKA LOUIS, THE SERVICE AID, IN

THE ROOM. I ASK ANIKA, I SAID, "ANIKA, I'M GOING TO

PASS OUT. I NEED MY MOTHER." SHE TEXT VICTORIA TO

COME DOWN. VICTORIA COMES DOWN, AND SHE ASKS ME WHAT

THE PROBLEM WAS. I SAID, "LISTEN, I'M ABOUT TO PASS

OUT HERE. I NEED MY MOM. AND IF SOMETHING HAPPENS TO

ME, YOU ARE RESPONSIBLE."

AND AT THAT POINT, SHE ALLOWED MY MOM TO COME

IN. MY MOM CAME INTO THE ROOM AND PLAYED WITH THE BABY

IN. MY MOM CAME INTO THE ROOM AND PLAYED WITH THE BABY WHILE I JUST LAID DOWN IN A CORNER TRYING TO GET MYSELF WELL.

Q NOW, YOU'D MENTIONED SOMETHING BEFORE ABOUT HOW SOMETIMES BEFORE AND SOMETIMES AFTER THE MEETING MS. SCHEELE WOULD HAVE CONVERSATIONS WITH YOU ABOUT WHAT WAS GOING ON?

A YES.

2.7

Q DO YOU RECALL HER EVER HAVING A CONVERSATION WITH YOU WHERE SHE ASKED YOU WHETHER OR NOT YOU WERE PREGNANT?

A YES.

Q SHARE THAT WITH US, THAT CONVERSATION.

A THOSE MONTHS, I PUT ON A LOT OF WEIGHT, YOU KNOW. SHE ASKED ME IF I WAS PREGNANT. I SAID, "NO, I'M JUST FAT." AND THEN SHE SAID, "WELL, I SEE YOU'RE WEARING BAGGY CLOTHES. AND I JUST WANT TO KNOW IF YOU'RE PREGNANT." AND I SAID TO HER, "I ALREADY TOLD YOU I'M NOT PREGNANT. I'M FAT, AND" --

Q WELL, DID YOU ASK HER AT SOME POINT IN THAT

CONVERSATION WHAT DIFFERENCE DOES IT MAKE OR SOMETHING

1	LIKE THAT? WHY SHE WANTS TO KNOW?
2	A SHE TOLD ME WHY. I DON'T RECALL, I DON'T
3	REMEMBER ASKING. SHE SAID THAT IF I WAS PREGNANT, THE
4	DEPARTMENT HAD A RIGHT TO KNOW BECAUSE THEY WOULD SEEK
5	AN ORDER TO ATTEND, I GUESS OBG VISITS BECAUSE I HAVE
6	AN OPEN CASE WITH THE DEPARTMENT. I WAS JUST UPSET. I
7	WAS STUNNED, AND I JUST LEFT.
8	Q SO IS THAT HOW THAT CONVERSATION ENDED,
9	JUST
LO	A YEAH.
L1	Q DID YOU EVER AT ANY POINT IN TIME FIND OUT
L2	WHETHER OR NOT YOU HAD BEEN REPORTED TO THE CALIFORNIA
L3	JUSTICE DEPARTMENT CHILD ABUSE CENTRAL INDEX?
L 4	A I DIDN'T KNOW THAT UNTIL THIS CASE.
L5	Q YOU LEARNED THAT IN THIS CASE THAT WE'RE IN
L 6	RIGHT NOW? NOBODY EVER SENT YOU A LETTER FROM THE
L7	COUNTY?
L 8	A NO.
L 9	Q DO YOU RECALL WHEN IT WAS THAT YOU LEARNED IN
20	THIS CASE THAT THE COUNTY HAD REPORTED YOU TO THE
21	CALIFORNIA JUSTICE DEPARTMENT CHILD ABUSE CENTRAL
22	INDEX?
23	A WHEN IN A CONVERSATION YOU AND I HAD.
24	Q I'M SORRY?
25	A IN A CONVERSATION YOU AND I HAD.
26	Q NOW, AFTER JURIS DISPO, OR AFTER THE INITIAL
27	JURISDICTION DISPOSITION LET ME JUST START OVER.
28	AFTER THE INITIAL JURISDICTION DISPOSITION

HEARING, DO YOU RECALL HOW LONG IT WAS BEFORE YOUR NEXT 1 2 HEARING? 3 THERE WERE HEARINGS SCHEDULED ALL ALONG, BUT I Α 4 WASN'T REQUIRED TO BE THERE, I THINK. SO I DON'T --5 THERE WERE SEVERAL HEARINGS THAT I WASN'T THERE BECAUSE 6 I WAS NOT REQUIRED TO BE THERE. 7 OKAY. SO THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN YOUR ATTORNEYS OR SOMETHING, AND YOU DON'T NECESSARILY KNOW BECAUSE 8 9 YOU WEREN'T THERE? 10 A RIGHT. 11 WOULD YOUR ATTORNEY REPORT BACK TO YOU, IF YOU 0 RECALL? 12 13 Α YES, AT SOME POINT. 14 DO YOU RECALL -- LET ME FIND IT -- I'LL GET 15 YOU THE BOOK, BUT WE'RE LOOKING FOR EXHIBIT NUMBER 260. 16 IF I CAN GET YOU TO TURN TO EXHIBIT NUMBER 262. AND 17 BEFORE WE GET INTO THAT, DO YOU KNOW A -- WHO A DR. IRA 18 LOTT IS? 19 Α YES. 20 WHO IS DR. IRA LOTT? 21 DR. LOTT IS A PEDIATRICIAN AND -- A 22 NEUROLOGIST WHO WORKS AT UCI. HE DOES PEDIATRIC 23 NEUROLOGY. 24 HOW IS IT THAT YOU KNOW OF DR. LOTT? 25 I HAD, THROUGHOUT THE DEPENDENCY PROCEEDINGS, I HAD REQUESTED A SECOND OPINION TO THE INFORMATION AT 26 2.7 HARBOR UCLA, AND I HAVE HIRED A FIRM TO SEEK FOR A 28 PEDIATRIC NEUROLOGIST.

1	Q WHEN YOU SAY "PEDIATRIC," CAN YOU EXPLAIN FOR
2	US WHAT DOES THAT MEAN?
3	A THERE IS A SERVICE WHERE YOU CAN IF THEY
4	FIND YOU EXPERTS. AND I HAD REQUESTED MY ATTORNEY TO
5	FIND A FIRM THAT YOU GIVE THEM THE MEDICAL RECORDS,
6	AND THEY FIND AN EXPERT.
7	Q DO YOU REMEMBER THE NAME OF THAT FIRM?
8	A YES.
9	Q WHAT'S THE NAME OF THAT FIRM?
10	A FORENSIS.
11	Q IS THAT FORENSISGROUP?
12	A YES.
13	Q HOW DID YOU FIND OUT ABOUT THE FORENSISGROUP?
14	A THROUGH MY ATTORNEY.
15	Q THAT WAS A SERVICE THAT YOUR ATTORNEY USED OR
16	SOMETHING?
17	A YES.
18	Q AT SOME POINT IN TIME THROUGH THAT
19	FORENSISGROUP AND YOUR ATTORNEY, WERE YOU ABLE TO
20	YOURSELF TALK WITH OR MEET DR. LOTT?
21	A YES.
22	Q WHEN YOU MET WITH HIM, SHARE WITH US, WHAT DID
23	YOU TALK ABOUT. THE SUBSTANCE OF THAT CONVERSATION?
24	A THE FIRST TIME I MET HIM, IT WAS AN ASSESSMENT
25	THAT HE WAS GOING TO PERFORM FOR RYAN, A NEUROLOGICAL
26	ASSESSMENT ORDERED BY THE COURT. THAT'S THE FIRST TIME
27	I MET HIM AND I TALKED TO HIM.
28	Q DO YOU KNOW WHETHER OR NOT BEFORE YOU MET HIM

1	YOUR ATTORNEY HAD ALSO MET OR SPOKEN WITH HIM?
2	A I DON'T I DON'T KNOW EXACTLY WHEN THEY MET.
3	Q DID YOU HAVE TO PAY FOR DR. LOTT'S SERVICES?
4	A YES.
5	Q AND WHEN YOU MET WITH DR. LOTT, DID YOU
6	EXPLAIN TO HIM WHAT IT WAS AND WHY IT WAS YOU NEEDED
7	HIM THERE FOR ASSESSMENT?
8	A YES.
9	Q WHAT DID YOU TELL HIM?
10	A HE HAD ASKED FOR A HISTORY OF THE BABY'S
11	FEEDING FROM BIRTH TO THAT POINT. WE HAD DISCUSSED THE
12	DIFFERENT DOCTORS THAT HE HAD SEEN AND WHAT THE
13	DIAGNOSIS, WHERE FOR EACH OF THOSE VISITS TO EACH OF
14	THOSE PROVIDERS.
15	Q DID YOU DISCUSS WITH HIM IN THAT CONVERSATION
16	THE ALLEGATIONS THAT HAD BEEN LEVELED AGAINST YOU?
17	A I DON'T REMEMBER IF THAT WAS DISCUSSED. I
18	THINK WHEN HE PICKED UP THE CASE, HE PROBABLY KNEW
19	THAT WHAT THE ALLEGATIONS WERE.
20	Q THAT'S SOMETHING THAT WOULD HAVE HAPPENED WITH
21	YOUR ATTORNEY?
22	A YES.
23	MR. MCMILLAN: YOUR HONOR, WOULD NOW BE A GOOD
24	TIME TO DO THE AFTERNOON BREAK?
25	THE COURT: YES. WE'LL TAKE AN AFTERNOON
26	RECESS AT THIS TIME, APPROXIMATELY 10 MINUTES.
27	ALL JURORS, PLEASE REMEMBER THE ADMONITION.
28	(JURY EXCUSED)

1	MR. MCMILLAN: YOUR HONOR, WE'D TALKED ABOUT
2	IT THIS MORNING, BUT MS. DUVAL HAS HER VISITATION WITH
3	HER SON, AND SHE'S GOT TO GET ON THE ROAD TO MAKE IT TO
4	HER VISITATION ON TIME.
5	THE COURT: OKAY. WE DID TALK ABOUT IT, AND I
6	HADN'T CONNECTED THAT WITH A SPECIFIC TIME. SO I'LL
7	GET THE JURORS BACK IN AND EXCUSE THEM THEN.
8	MR. MCMILLAN: OKAY. I SHOULD HAVE PROBABLY
9	PRESENTED IT A LITTLE BIT DIFFERENTLY THAN JUST THE
10	THE COURT: THAT'S ALL RIGHT. WE HAD TALKED
11	ABOUT IT, AND SO WE'RE IN RECESS FOR ABOUT TEN
12	MINUTES.
13	AND MS. DUVAL, YOU CAN LEAVE.
14	THE WITNESS: THANK YOU. SORRY ABOUT THAT.
15	THE COURT: IT'S ALL RIGHT. AND WE'LL GET THE
16	JURORS BACK IN, I'LL SEND THEM HOME FOR THE DAY.
17	MR. MCMILLAN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
18	THE COURT: NOT JUST FOR THE DAY, UNTIL
19	TUESDAY.
20	MR. MCMILLAN: RIGHT. PROBABLY A MUCH NEEDED
21	BREAK.
22	(RECESS)
23	THE COURT: EVERYBODY READY? I'M JUST GOING
24	TO GET THE JURORS IN. LET'S GET THE JURORS IN.
25	(JURY PRESENT)
26	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. EVERYONE MAY BE
27	SEATED. WE'RE ON THE RECORD. EVERYBODY IS PRESENT.
28	LADIES AND GENTLEMEN OF THE JURY, WE ARE GOING

TO RECESS FOR THE DAY. AND WE'LL RESUME AS FAR AS YOUR CONCERNED ON TUESDAY MORNING. IF YOU RECALL, I TOLD YOU WE WOULD NOT BE IN SESSION TOMORROW FOR THE JURY TO ACCOMMODATE SEVERAL PERSONS INVOLVED IN THE TRIAL, INCLUDING AT LEAST ONE JUROR THAT I RECALL. AND MONDAY, AS YOU RECALL, IS A COURT HOLIDAY. SO THE NEXT BUSINESS DAY NEXT WEEK WILL BE TUESDAY.

1.3

2.7

SO WE'LL RESUME NEXT TUESDAY AT 9:00 A.M. AS FAR AS YOU'RE CONCERNED. BETWEEN NOW AND THEN, I'LL JUST REMIND YOU THE ADMONITION. YOU ALL KNOW IT. YOU ALL COULD RECITE IT BETTER THAN I COULD AT THIS POINT. YOU KNOW, IT DOESN'T GET ANY BETTER. THERE'S ONLY SO MANY WAYS YOU CAN STATE IT.

BUT YOU UNDERSTAND THE PURPOSE OF THE

ADMONITION IS TO REMIND YOU OF TWO THINGS: THAT YOU

NEED TO DECIDE ALL ISSUES IN THE CASE BASED ON EVIDENCE

YOU RECEIVED IN THIS TRIAL. THAT'S WHY WE GIVE YOU THE

FIRST PART ABOUT NOT HAVING COMMUNICATION WITH ANYBODY,

NOT SEEKING NOR RECEIVING ANY INFORMATION.

AND THEN THE SECOND PART OF NOT FORMING ANY
OPINION OR EXPRESSING ONE IS REALLY A REMINDER TO KEEP
AN OPEN MIND BECAUSE YOU'RE REALLY NOT IN A POSITION TO
MAKE ANY DECISIONS UNTIL YOU'VE HEARD ALL THE EVIDENCE
AND THE COURT'S INSTRUCTIONS OF LAW.

AND IN FACT, WHILE WE CONTINUED IN THE VERY
BEGINNING OF THE CASE, I'VE TOLD YOU A NUMBER OF
OCCASIONS THAT WHAT THE ATTORNEYS SAY IS NOT EVIDENCE.
THAT REMAINS TRUE. AND SO IN THEIR CLOSING ARGUMENTS,

EVEN THOUGH THOSE ARGUMENTS ARE NOT EVIDENCE, THEY ARE NEVERTHELESS A VERY IMPORTANT PART OF THE CASE TO ASSIST YOU AS YOU DEEM USEFUL THEIR VIEWS OF WHAT THE EVIDENCE HAS SHOWN AND WHAT THE IMPORTANCE OF THAT EVIDENCE MAY BE AS TO THE ISSUES IN THE CASE.

2.7

SO I DO SHORTEN IT AS WE GO ALONG BECAUSE I
KNOW YOU CAN RECITE THAT ADMONITION AS WELL AS I DO.
WE'VE BEEN OVER IT MANY TIMES. PARTICULARLY
MID-MORNING, MID AFTERNOON, I JUST TELL YOU "REMEMBER
THE ADMONITION." THAT REMAINS TRUE WHETHER YOU'RE
OUTSIDE FOR 10 MINUTES OR YOU'RE GOING TO BE GONE FOR A
FEW DAYS FROM THE TRIAL, IT REMAINS TRUE NO MATTER
WHAT. SO THAT IS THE ADMONITION.

DON'T RECEIVE, DON'T SEEK NOR RECEIVE ANY
INFORMATION BECAUSE INFORMATION OUTSIDE THIS COURTROOM
IS SIMPLY NOT EVIDENCE. AND ALL OF US ARE COMMITTED TO
DECIDING ALL MATTERS BASED ON THE EVIDENCE RECEIVED.
AND DON'T FORM ANY OPINIONS NOR EXPRESS ANY BECAUSE
THERE'S STILL SUBSTANTIAL EVIDENCE TO BE HEARD. AND I
THINK ONE OF THE MAJOR REASONS WE TELL YOU THAT IS WE
ALL KNOW AS YOU'RE HEARING EVIDENCE IN THE COURSE OF
THE CASE THAT YOU WILL BE THINKING ABOUT IT AND TRY TO
PUT IT IN ITS PLACE AND PROBABLY THINK ABOUT, YOU KNOW,
HOW MUCH DOES THAT MAKE SENSE TO ME? THAT'S A NATURAL
PART OF HOW THE BRAIN WORKS, AND WE'RE NOT TELLING YOU
NOT TO LET THE BRAIN WORK, NOT TO DO WHAT'S NATURAL.
WE'RE SAYING DON'T LET IT GET TO A DECISION BECAUSE
IT'S HARDER ONCE SOMEONE MAKES UP THEIR MIND TO CHANGE

THEIR MIND THAN IT IS TO HOLD OFF AND WAIT UNTIL 1 2 THEY'VE GOT ALL THE INFORMATION TO MAKE A DECISION. 3 SO WE GIVE YOU THAT PART OF THE ADMONITION. I THINK IT'S JUST A VERY PRACTICAL REMINDER. IT'S GOING 4 5 TO BE EASIER TO MAKE AN INFORMED DECISION IF YOU WAIT 6 UNTIL YOU'VE HEARD EVERYTHING. AND IT'S BASIC 7 UNFAIRNESS TO THE PARTIES IF YOU MAKE DECISIONS BEFORE YOU'VE HEARD EVERYTHING BECAUSE IT'S HARDER TO CHANGE 8 9 YOUR MIND ONCE IT'S MADE UP. 10 SO ALL OF THIS, I HOPE, MAKES GOOD SENSE TO 11 YOU, AND THAT'S THE REASON FOR THE CONTINUED 12 ADMONITION, PLUS THE FACT I AM BOUND BY LAW TO GIVE YOU 13 THE ADMONITION EVERY TIME WE TAKE A RECESS, SO I HAVE 14 TO DO IT LIKE IT OR NOT. BUT I THINK IT'S A GOOD 15 REMINDER NOW YOU'RE GOING TO BE A FEW DAYS BEFORE YOU'RE BACK HERE, AND YOU'RE GOING TO GET ON WITH THE 16 17 OTHER THINGS IN YOUR OWN LIFE. IT'S A GOOD REMINDER, A 18 GOOD TIME TO GO BACK OVER IT A LITTLE BIT. 19 SO WITH THAT, WE'RE NOW IN RECESS AS FAR AS 20 YOU'RE CONCERNED. AND WE'LL SEE YOU BACK AT 9:00 A.M. 21 ON TUESDAY. AND THANKS VERY MUCH. WE LOOK FORWARD TO 22 SEEING YOU NEXT WEEK. 23 (JURY EXCUSED) THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. SO ALL JURORS HAVE 24 25 LEFT THE COURTROOM AND COUNSEL ARE PRESENT. SO I THINK WE HAD TALKED ABOUT THAT YOU WOULD 26 2.7 COME IN AT 9:00 O'CLOCK TOMORROW. AND I DO HAVE TWO

MATTERS THAT WILL BE -- ONE IS AT 8:30 WHICH,

HOPEFULLY, WON'T TAKE VERY LONG. AND AT 9:00, I HAVE THE CASE THAT'S FOLLOWING YOURS COMING IN. SO WE'RE TAKING ADVANTAGE OF THE FACT WE WEREN'T GOING TO BE IN SESSION TOMORROW TO DO SOME OF THE PRELIMINARY, MOSTLY MOTIONS IN LIMINE. BUT WHEN YOU ALL GET HERE, WHOEVER IS COMING, AND I'LL LEAVE IT UP TO YOU AS TO WHO WILL BE HERE, IT DOESN'T HAVE TO BE EVERYBODY, BUT JUST SOMEONE WHO IS GOING TO BE ABLE TO WORK ON THE THINGS WE HAVE TO WORK ON AND MAKE ANY DECISIONS THAT MAY NEED TO BE MADE. SO WHEN YOU GET HERE, I'LL TALK WITH YOU FIRST BECAUSE THE OTHER ATTORNEYS, YOU KNOW, WE DON'T HAVE TO GET STARTED ON THEIR MOTIONS UNTIL WE GET YOU STARTED.

2.7

SO I'M HOPING THAT AT 9:00, I CAN GIVE YOU A
TENTATIVE RULING ON THE MOTION IN LIMINE THAT WE
DISCUSSED EARLIER, AND THEN GET YOU DIRECTED TOWARDS
WORKING ON WHAT WE HAVE TO DO. AND THAT WILL BE THEN
THE DISCUSSION YOU HAVE. AND I'LL HAVE TO BRING YOU
BACK PERIODICALLY, AND I MAY PARTICIPATE IN SOME OF THE
DISCUSSIONS WITH YOU FOR US TO GET TO WHERE THE VERDICT
FORM NEEDS TO BE. WE'RE ALSO GOING TO HAVE TO ADDRESS
THE ISSUE ABOUT THE REQUEST FOR ADMISSIONS, WHICH ONES,
AND HOW WE'RE GOING TO PRESENT IT, AND ALSO THE ISSUE
OVER THE EXHIBITS.

AND BY THEN, MR. PARIS WILL HAVE PUT IT ALL IN ORDER FOR US, AND THE PRODUCT OF THAT GOOD WORK WILL GIVE US GUIDANCE TO WHAT WE HAVE TO DO. AND I KNOW YOU'LL DO THIS, BUT YOU'LL NEED TO TALK TO EACH OTHER.

```
MOST OF THESE THINGS OUGHT TO BE SOLVED BY YOU, NOT BY
1
2
           I'M WILLING TO DO IT IF WE HAVE TO. BUT YOUR
3
      SOLUTIONS, I THINK, ARE ALMOST INVARIABLY SUPERIOR TO
 4
      ANYTHING THE COURT CAN DECIDE. COURT DECISIONS TEND TO
5
      MAKE SOMEONE THE WINNER AND SOMEONE THE LOSER, AND A
 6
      LOT OF THESE THINGS, WE DON'T NEED TO HAVE A WINNER OR
7
      LOSER, WE JUST NEED TO GET THEM TAKEN CARE OF.
8
               SO ANYWAY, I'LL SEE YOU BACK AT 9:00 O'CLOCK.
9
               MR. GUTERRES: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
10
               MR. KING: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
               MR. PRAGER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
11
12
               MR. MCMILLAN: I HAVE ONE QUESTION, DOESN'T
13
      NEED TO BE ON THE RECORD, YOUR HONOR, BUT IT SOUNDS
14
      LIKE WE'RE ACTUALLY GOING TO BE DOING LIKE SUBSTANTIVE
15
      EDITING-TYPE WORK ON THE VERDICT FORM. SHOULD I BRING
16
      A PRINTER?
17
               THE COURT: YEAH, ONE OF THE THINGS YOU COULD
18
      DO, WE COULD HAVE YOU E-MAIL IT TO DON.
19
               MR. MCMILLAN: OKAY.
20
               THE COURT: WHO COULD PRINT IT OUT FOR US.
21
               MR. MCMILLAN: OKAY.
22
               THE COURT: SO IF YOU HAVE A PRINTER, FINE,
23
      BUT THAT'S CERTAINLY A GOOD ALTERNATIVE IS TO E-MAIL IT
24
      TO DON WHO CAN PRINT IT OUT FOR US.
25
               MR. MCMILLAN: I DO HAVE A PRINTER, BUT IT'S
      PROBABLY EASIER BECAUSE I HAVE TO CART IT IN HERE AND
26
2.7
      SET IT UP.
28
               THE COURT: OKAY. AND FOR YOUR DISCUSSIONS,
```

THERE WILL BE A LOT OF THINGS GOING ON HERE IN THE

COURTROOM, I'M GOING TO HAVE THE JURY ROOM AVAILABLE

FOR YOU, AND YOU CAN ALL TAKE THE STUFF IN AND SIT DOWN

AND BE ABLE TO TALK IN A NORMAL MANNER. SO WE'LL TRY

TO MAKE IT A PRODUCTIVE DAY TO GET A LOT OF THESE

THINGS TAKEN CARE OF.

2.7

MR. MCMILLAN: ALSO ONE OTHER THING IS

MR. DANER IS COMING UP. IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING -- I

HOPE I GOT THIS RIGHT SO HE DOESN'T HAVE A WASTED

TRIP -- IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT WE WILL BE MAKING

SOME SUBSTANTIVE DECISIONS TOMORROW OR AT LEAST

APPROACHING SOME SUBSTANTIVE DECISIONS TOMORROW ON

INSTRUCTIONS AND VERDICT FORMS. I THINK THERE'S THAT

BRIEFING THAT'S BEEN FLOATING AROUND. AND HE'S COMING

IN PREPARED TO DEAL WITH QUITE A BIT OF THAT.

THE COURT: YES. I DON'T KNOW WHETHER THERE
WILL BE FINAL DECISIONS ON THINGS, BUT I HOPE THE TIME
WILL BE USED EFFECTIVELY SO THAT WE CAN DO SOMETHING IF
NOT FINALLY DEFINITIVE, NEVERTHELESS DEFINITIVE IF FOR
NO OTHER REASON TO DIRECT US AS TO WHAT FURTHER WOULD
HAVE TO BE DONE. BUT YES, I INTEND TO DO THAT.

NOW, I KNOW ON THE INSTRUCTIONS -- I DON'T KNOW HOW FAR WE'LL GET, BUT JUST AS AN EXAMPLE, A COUPLE OF DAYS AGO, THE DEFENSE FILED THEIR SUGGESTED PROPOSED INSTRUCTIONS AS WELL AS A BRIEF ACCOMPANYING THAT, THAT ADDRESSED IN BROAD TERMS THE SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF INSTRUCTIONS THAT HAVE BEEN REQUESTED BY PLAINTIFF. AND THAT'S A DISCUSSION YOU SHOULD HAVE.

BUT I WILL TELL YOU THAT IN THAT DISCUSSION AND POINTS 1 2 AND AUTHORITIES, I BELIEVE THAT THEY ARE CORRECT, THAT 3 I DO NOT REALLY -- AND I'M NOT SAYING I WON'T GIVE SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS. I THINK THERE ARE SOME THINGS 4 5 WHICH WE'LL NEED, AND I HOPE WE CAN IDENTIFY THAT 6 TOMORROW, WHERE WE MIGHT NEED A SPECIAL INSTRUCTION. 7 BUT THEIR POINT WAS THAT BY AND LARGE IF THERE IS A CACI INSTRUCTION THAT APPLIES UNDER THE CALIFORNIA 8 9 RULES OF COURT, THAT IS THE PREFERRED INSTRUCTION. 10 NOW, I HAVEN'T LOOKED AT THAT RULE LATELY, BUT I'M WELL 11 AWARE OF IT, AND THAT'S THE REASON WE HAVE PATTERNED 12 INSTRUCTIONS TO BRING SOME CONSISTENCY TO INSTRUCTIONS 13 IN LAWSUITS. SO IT CERTAINLY IS MY INTENT WHERE THERE 14 IS A CACI INSTRUCTION THAT APPLIES TO AN ISSUE, IT'S MY 15 INTENT THAT HIGHLY LIKELY I'M GOING TO USE THAT. 16 I THINK THE OTHER THING THEY ADDRESSED, AGAIN, 17 IN BROAD TERMS WAS THAT A NUMBER OF THE INSTRUCTIONS, 18 ONE OF THEM IS A QUOTE FROM THOMAS JEFFERSON, IF I 19 RECALL. 20 MR. MCMILLAN: I THINK, YOUR HONOR, THAT'S 21 BEEN WITHDRAWN. 22 THE COURT: HAS IT? 23 MR. MCMILLAN: IT DID MAKE IT'S WAY --THE COURT: WELL, I HAVE GREAT REGARD FOR 24 25 THOMAS JEFFERSON, AND IF YOU'VE NEVER HAD AN 26 OPPORTUNITY TO VISIT MONTICELLO, HIS HOME JUST ON THE 2.7 HILL UP ABOVE CHARLOTTESVILLE, VIRGINIA, IT'S WELL WORTH THE TIME. IT'S REALLY INTERESTING. 28

AND I'M AWARE NOW THAT MANY OF THE PERSONS

BACK AT THAT TIME WERE BEING QUESTIONED AS TO WHO THEY

WERE AND WHAT THEY DID, BUT THERE WASN'T ANY QUESTION

ABOUT, I THINK, THE VERY HIGH LEVEL OF INTELLIGENCE -
HAVE YOU BEEN TO MONTICELLO?

MR. MCMILLAN: I HAVEN'T.

2.7

THE COURT: I FORGET THE EXACT DATE IT WAS
BUILT, BUT IT WAS LATE 1700S PERHAPS. BUT I THINK HE
WAS ALREADY -- I KNOW HE LIVED IN MONTICELLO AFTER HIS
PRESIDENCY. AND I DON'T REMEMBER IF HE WAS LIVING
THERE BEFORE HE BECAME PRESIDENT OR NOT. I JUST DON'T
REMEMBER. WHEN YOU SEE THE THING, IT'S REALLY
EXTRAORDINARY. HE JUST HAD PERSONALLY A VERY INQUIRING
MIND. AND ANOTHER GOOD EXAMPLE OF THAT IS, IF YOU
RECALL, HE'S THE ONE WHO DISPATCHED LOUIS AND CLARK ON
THE FAMOUS EXPEDITION. AND BEFORE -- AND WHAT IS
LITTLE KNOWN -- WE ALL KNOW MUCH MORE ABOUT THE
JOURNEY, BUT HE DIDN'T LEAVE IT TO HALF MEASURES.

AND I FORGET HOW MANY MONTHS AND PERHAPS EVEN MORE THAN A YEAR THAT HE REQUIRED LOUIS AND CLARK TO GO TO SCHOOL, BASICALLY, ON A WIDE VARIETY OF ISSUES. AND HE BROUGHT IN WHAT AT THE TIME WERE SOME VERY LEADING EXPERTS ON ALL KINDS OF ISSUES THAT WOULD ADDRESS WHAT THEY MIGHT ENCOUNTER ON THE TRIP. I MEAN, HE BROUGHT IN BOTANISTS, GEOGRAPHERS, EXPERTS ABOUT THE WESTERN INDIAN TRIBES, WHICH AT THAT TIME, NO ONE KNEW ANYTHING ABOUT. THAT'S REALLY -- THEIR KNOWLEDGE ABOUT THE INDIAN TRIBES ENDED AT ABOUT THE MISSISSIPPI RIVER,

AND, OF COURSE, THAT'S WHERE THE EXPEDITION REALLY BEGAN.

2.7

SO IT'S REALLY -- HE WAS A FASCINATING MAN. I
DIGRESS. SO THE FACT I WOULDN'T GIVE AN INSTRUCTION
WAS NOT A REFLECTION THAT I DON'T THINK HE'S WORTHY OF
CONSIDERATION, IT'S JUST THERE'S NOTHING ABOUT IT
THAT'S A JURY INSTRUCTION. AND A NUMBER OF THOSE
THINGS WHICH THE DEFENSE COUNSEL POINTED OUT BY AND
LARGE, QUOTATIONS, YOU KNOW, A SENTENCE OR TWO OUT OF A
CASE RARELY SHOULD BE THE BASIS FOR A JURY INSTRUCTION.
SO OF THAT BROAD NUMBER THAT WERE SUBMITTED, WHILE I
HAVE LOOKED AT THEM, I ALSO DON'T REMEMBER THEM ALL AS
I'M SITTING HERE NOW -- I PROBABLY DON'T REMEMBER ANY
OF THEM -- BUT I DID LOOK AT THEM AND MADE MY OWN NOTES
THAT NO THIS IS NOT GOING TO SUFFICE AS JURY
INSTRUCTION.

I DON'T INTEND TO TELL THE JURY ANY MORE THAN
THEY NEED TO BE ABLE TO UNDERSTAND THE VERDICT FORM AND
UNDERSTAND WHAT IT IS THEY'RE BEING ASKED AND TO
UNDERSTAND, THEN, WHY THE VERDICT FORM ASKS THESE
QUESTIONS BY TELLING THEM WHAT IT IS THAT EVERYONE HAS
TO PROVE. AND THE REST I LEAVE TO YOUR ARGUMENT, BUT
AS FAR AS THE INSTRUCTIONS ARE CONCERNED, I THINK
THAT'S WHAT THE INSTRUCTIONS ARE PROPERLY FOR. AND
THAT'S WHAT WE'LL WANT TO ACCOMPLISH. AND THAT'S WHY
WE DO NEED TO TAKE A LOOK AT THEM TO SEE IS THERE A
CACI INSTRUCTION THAT DOES APPLY, AND DOES IT APPLY
FULLY? IF IT DOES, IT'S EXTREMELY LIKELY I'M GOING TO

1	USE IT. IF THERE IS NO CACI INSTRUCTION, BUT IT DOES
2	APPEAR THIS IS SOMETHING WHICH REQUIRES SOME FORM OF
3	EXPLANATION, THEN THAT'S WHERE WE'LL FOCUS ON GETTING A
4	SPECIAL INSTRUCTION PUT TOGETHER.
5	SO I HOPE WE'LL BE ABLE TO HAVE THAT
6	DISCUSSION TOMORROW TOO, BUT THERE'S A LOT TO BE DONE,
7	AND I RECOGNIZE THAT. WE'LL JUST DO THE BEST WE CAN
8	WITH ALL OF THIS TOMORROW.
9	MR. MCMILLAN: SOUNDS GOOD, YOUR HONOR.
10	MR. GUTERRES: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
11	THE COURT: OKAY.
12	
13	(WHEREUPON, AT THE HOUR OF 3:46 P.M.,
14	THE PROCEEDING ADJOURNED.)
15	
16	000
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	(NEXT PAGE IS 6001.)